

**PRM-50-104**  
**(77FR25375)**

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> July 17, 2012 <b>Received:</b> July 16, 2012 <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post <b>Tracking No.</b> 8109cd47 <b>Comments Due:</b> July 16, 2012 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
---

274

**Docket:** NRC-2012-0046

Petition for Rulemaking Submitted by Nuclear Information and Resource Service and Multiple Co-Petitioners - Expansion of Emergency Planning Zone

**Comment On:** NRC-2012-0046-0003

Emergency Planning Zone; Notice of Receipt and Request for Comment

DOCKETED  
USNRC

July 17, 2012 (1:35 pm)

**Document:** NRC-2012-0046-DRAFT-0178

Comment on FR Doc # 2012-10314

OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
RULEMAKINGS AND  
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Jim Kammel

**Address:**

Stuart, FL,

## General Comment

Ms. Cindy Bladey

Chief, Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch

Division of Administrative Services

Office of Administration

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Washington DC 20555-0001

To: the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Re. Petition to expand the Emergency Planning Zone, and Ingestion Pathway Zone around nuclear power plants. 201200046

I urge the NRC to deny all of the petitioner's requests.

Post TMI a team of extraordinary personnel did a very thorough review of that event and produced guidelines for utilities and off site responders to follow in creating and testing the skills needed to protect the public. They did a phenomenal job. With FEMA off site monitoring and evaluating, there are high quality plans with very skilled responders ready to take the actions necessary to protect the public at every nuclear power plant in the country. The fact that we use 10 miles and 50 miles as a basis does not in any way limit our responses should an event occur.

In addition there have been countless improvements to the plants, emergency plans, and training for responders. In our area, we use comprehensive planning which covers the entire county, and all hazards. It would be of no benefit to increase the size of the planning zones.

Perhaps most importantly the NRC should use only the science in this determination, and not the hysteria created by international "news services" that sensationalized Fukushima, and all but ignored 1200 linear miles on tsunami devastation.

## Attachments

nrcregist

Template = SECY-067.

DS 10

Ms. Cindy Bladey  
Chief, Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch  
Division of Administrative Services  
Office of Administration  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington DC 20555-0001  
To: the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Re. Petition to expand the Emergency Planning Zone, and Ingestion Pathway Zone around nuclear power plants.

I urge the NRC to deny all of the petitioner's requests.

Post TMI a team of extraordinary personnel did a very thorough review of that event and produced guidelines for utilities and off site responders to follow in creating and testing the skills needed to protect the public. They did a phenomenal job. With FEMA off site monitoring and evaluating, there are high quality plans with very skilled responders ready to take the actions necessary to protect the public at every nuclear power plant in the country. The fact that we use 10 miles and 50 miles as a basis does not in any way limit our responses should an event occur.

In addition there have been countless improvements to the plants, emergency plans, and training for responders. In our area, we use comprehensive planning which covers the entire county, and all hazards. It would be of no benefit to increase the size of the planning zones.

Perhaps most importantly the NRC should use only the science in this determination, and not the hysteria created by international "news services" that sensationalized Fukushima, and all but ignored 1200 linear miles on tsunami devastation.

Finally attached is a copy of the State of Florida Div. of Emergency Management's perspective.

The *State of Florida, Division of Emergency Management* appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the Petition for Rulemaking (PRM-50-104 or Petition) noticed in the Federal Register on April 30, 2012. The petition was filed with NRC by Mr. Michael Mariotte and was dated February 15, 2012. The petition was filed on behalf of the Nuclear Information and Resource Service (NIRS) and 37 co-petitioners. In short, the petitioner requests the NRC amend its regulations to expand the Emergency Planning Zones for nuclear power plants in the United States.

The attachment is a summary of Florida's overall emergency preparedness for "All Hazards" within the state, as well as the statutory directives of Emergency Management's responsibilities. The Radiological Emergency Preparedness (REP) community of Florida includes the State's Division of Emergency Management, the State's Bureau of Radiation Control, the identified Risk, Host and Ingestion counties and the utilities, Florida Power and Light and Progress Energy. In cooperation, these represented groups form the alliance that upholds Florida's Statute, Chapter 252.60, the Department of Homeland Security directives, and the 44 CFR 350.5 - Criteria for review and approval of State and local radiological emergency plans and preparedness.

## Rulemaking Comments

---

**From:** Gallagher, Carol  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 17, 2012 8:43 AM  
**To:** Rulemaking Comments  
**Subject:** Comment on PRM-50-104  
**Attachments:** NRC-2012-0046-DRAFT-0178.pdf

Van,

Attached for docketing is a comment from Jim Kammel on the above noted PRM that I received via the regulations.gov website on July 16, 2012.

Thanks,  
Carol