

**Rulemaking Comments****PRM-50-104  
(77FR25375)**DOCKETED  
USNRC

250

**From:** Karen Stone [karsto41@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 16, 2012 7:55 PM  
**To:** Rulemaking Comments  
**Subject:** Comments on PRM-50-104, Docket ID NRC-2012-0046

July 17, 2012 (1:35 pm)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
RULEMAKINGS AND  
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Dear NRC Members,

I have a feeling that you are more interested in protecting the electric companies than the public. One of your members resigned because he didn't think you should allow two more nuclear plants here in the US after the Fukushima incident. I think he had more concern for the public than the rest of you do and he and others with his level of concern should be the ones on the Commission. Your agency was created for the purpose of keeping the public safe...but I think you have, to some extent, just sided with the utilities even though you try to make it look like you really care more about the public.

If you do care about the public, you will at least expand the emergency areas out much farther, have emergency drills at plants, notify the public of when releases of radiation will take place, get monitoring of radiation devices near every plant and take the public's point of view at all times. What are you going to do with all the spent fuel? You have no business creating more plants until you have a safe way to store it! Since that may never be possible, please start shutting down the 104 plants in the US and moving over to safe renewable energy sources. For sure, the San Onofre Plant should be the first to be shut down because those wires that could cause a fire still, after all these years, have not been separated requiring worker checks, but the workers didn't do all the checks they were supposed to do. With that plant having way more violations than any other plant in the US, it should be the first to be shut down!

Karen Stone

Laguna Hills, CA 92653  
US

Template = SECY-067

DS10