

Mendiola, Doris

Subject: FW: GEH Comments on NRC-2012-0069 (Draft ISG, Containment Vents)
Attachments: MFN-12-081-ltr-encl.pdf

From: Bagnal, Charles (GE Power & Water) [<mailto:Charles.Bagnal@ge.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2012 12:40 PM

To: Bladey, Cindy

Cc: Caro, Jose M (GE Power & Water); Cowen, Robert H (GE Power & Water); Fretz, Robert; Head, Jerald G (GE Power & Water)

Subject: GEH Comments on NRC-2012-0069 (Draft ISG, Containment Vents)

Ms Bladey...

Please find attached your courtesy copy of our comments on NRC-2012-0069 (Draft ISG, Containment Vents). These have been sent FedEx to you for delivery tomorrow. We recognize that the deadline has passed, but appreciate your consideration, to the extent practical.

Many thanks.

Charles W Bagnal Jr
Senior Licensing Engineer
GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy
3901 Castle Hayne Road
Wilmington, NC 28401
910-819-6785
charles.bagnal@ge.com

6/7/2012
77FR 33777
6

RECEIVED

2012 JUL 11 AM 1:02

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
124110

SONSI Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

FKIDS = ADM-03
Add = R. Fretz (rxf)



HITACHI

GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy

Jerald G. Head
Senior Vice President – Regulatory Affairs

PO Box 780 M/C A-18
Wilmington, NC 28402-0780
USA

T 910-819-5692
F 910-362-5692
jerald.head@ge.com

MFN 12-081

July 10, 2012

Cindy Bladey, Chief
Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch (RADB)
Office of Administration
Mail Stop: TWB-05-B01M
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

**Subject: Comments on Draft Interim Staff Guidance JLD-ISG-2012-02
(Docket NRC-2012-0069)**

Attachment: GEH Comments on Draft ISG for Order EA-12-050

Dear Ms. Bladey:

In response to the June 7 Federal Register Notice, GE Hitachi hereby submits comments on the proposed Interim Staff Guidance for Order EA-12-050 (Reliable Hardened Containment Vents). We realize the deadline has passed, and NRC will consider our comments if it is practical to do so. We understand NRC will issue the final ISG in August, and appreciate this opportunity to comment.

If you have any questions regarding the GE Hitachi comments or would like to further discuss them, please contact me at (910) 819-5692 or Jose Caro at (910) 819-6993.

Sincerely,

Jerald G. Head
Senior Vice President, Regulatory Affairs

cc: Robert Cowen, GEH
Robert Fretz, NRC

Enclosure

ATTACHMENT

**GEH Comments on Draft ISG for Order EA-12-050
July 10, 2012**

**Docket ID NRC-2012-0069 - GE Hitachi Comments and Questions on
NRC Interim Staff Guidance Positions for RHV ORDER EA-12-050
July 10, 2012**

NRC ORDER EA-12-050 REQUIREMENTS	NRC DRAFT ISG STAFF POSITIONS	GE Hitachi COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS ON NRC DRAFT ISG
1. Functional Requirements		General Comment/Question – In the introduction, it is stated that, “The hardened vent system shall be capable of reliable operation under a range of plant conditions, including a prolonged SBO and inadequate containment cooling.” These are clearly beyond design basis situations. The introduction section goes on to say, “If core cooling were to fail, closure of the vent valves may be necessary under severe accident conditions.” This implies that an HCVS is expected to be operable in a severe accident. Although much of the wording of the Order and the Draft ISG are tailored to the system’s function of protecting the core, please clarify the conditions to be considered in the design of the HCVS.
1.1 HVCS Performance Objectives		

NRC ORDER EA-12-050 REQUIREMENTS	NRC DRAFT ISG STAFF POSITIONS	GE Hitachi COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS ON NRC DRAFT ISG
<p>1.1.1 The HCVS shall be designed to minimize the reliance on operator actions.</p>	<p>During events that significantly challenge plant operations, individual operators are more prone to human error. In addition, the plant operations staff may be required to implement strategies and/or take many concurrent actions that further places a burden on its personnel. During the prolonged SBO condition at the Fukushima Dai-ichi units, operators faced many significant challenges while attempting to restore numerous plant systems that were necessary to cool the reactor core, including the containment venting systems. The difficulties faced by the operators related to the location of the HCVS valves, ambient temperatures and radiological conditions, loss of all alternating current electrical power, loss of motive force to open the vent valves, and exhausting DC battery power. The NRC staff recognizes that operator actions will be needed to operate the HCVS valves; however, the licensees shall consider design features for the system that will minimize the need and reliance on operator actions to the extent possible during a variety of plant conditions, as further discussed in this ISG.</p> <p>The HCVS shall be designed to be started from a control panel located in the main control room or a remote but readily accessible location. The HCVS shall be designed to be fully functional and self sufficient with permanently installed equipment in the plant, without the need for portable equipment or connecting thereto, until such time additional on-site or off-site personnel and portable equipment become available. The HCVS shall be capable of operating in this mode (i.e., relying on permanently installed equipment) for at least 24 hours during the prolonged SBO, unless a shorter period is justified by the licensee. The HCVS operation in this mode depends on a variety of conditions, such as the cause for the SBO (e.g., seismic event, flood, tornado, high winds), severity of the event, and time required for additional help to reach the plant, move portable equipment into place and make connections to HCVS.</p>	

NRC ORDER EA-12-050 REQUIREMENTS	NRC DRAFT ISG STAFF POSITIONS	GE Hitachi COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS ON NRC DRAFT ISG
<p>1.1.2 The HCVS shall be designed to minimize plant operators' exposure to occupational hazards, such as extreme heat stress, while operating the HCVS system.</p>	<p>During a prolonged SBO, the drywell, wetwell (torus) and nearby areas in the plant where HVCS components are expected to be located will likely experience an excursion in temperatures due to inadequate containment cooling combined with loss of normal and emergency building ventilation systems. In addition, installed normal and emergency lighting in the plant may not be available. Licensees should take into consideration plant conditions expected to be experienced during design basis accidents when locating valves, instrument air supplies, and other components that will be required to safely operate the system. Components required for manual operation should be placed in areas that are readily accessible to plant operators, and not require additional actions, such as ladders, temporary scaffolding, to operate the system.</p> <p>When developing a design strategy, the NRC staff expects licensees to analyze potential plant conditions and use its acquired knowledge of these areas, in terms of how temperatures would react to extended SBO conditions and the lighting that would be available. This knowledge also provides an input to system operating procedures, the choice of protective clothing, required tools and equipment, and portable lighting that would be kept in nearby storage locations.</p>	<p>Question – First paragraph states that, "Licensees should take into consideration plant conditions expected to be experienced during design basis accidents when locating valves, instrument air supplies..." Second paragraph states that plant unique knowledge of how extended SBOs would affect temperature (and lightning). Then later in Section 1.2.1 Staff Position begins with, "Beyond design basis external events..." Should not beyond design basis events (worst case for a particular parameter; temperature, lightning, etc.) be more appropriate for this section to refer to in the occupational hazards considered?</p>

NRC ORDER EA-12-050 REQUIREMENTS	NRC DRAFT ISG STAFF POSITIONS	GE Hitachi COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS ON NRC DRAFT ISG
<p>1.1.3 The HCVS shall also be designed to minimize radiological consequences that would impede personnel actions needed for event response.</p>	<p>The design of the HCVS should take into consideration the radiological consequences resulting from the event that could negatively impact event response. During the Fukushima event, personnel actions to manually operate the vent valves were impeded due to the location of the valves in the torus rooms. The HCVS shall be designed to be placed in operation by operator actions at a control panel, located in the main control room or in a remote location. The system shall be designed to function in this mode with permanently installed equipment providing electrical power (e.g., DC power batteries) and valve motive force (N2/air cylinders). The system shall be designed to function in this mode for a minimum duration of 24 hours with no operator actions required or credited, other than the system initiating actions at the control panel. Durations of less than 24 hours will be considered if justified by adequate supporting information from the licensee. To ensure continued operation of the HCVS beyond this duration, licensees are allowed to credit manual actions, such as moving portable equipment to supplement electrical power and valve motive power sources. In response to Generic Letter (GL) 89-16, a number of facilities with Mark I containments installed vent valves in the torus room, near the drywell, or both. The HCVS improves the chances of core cooling by removing heat from containment and lowering containment pressure, when core cooling is provided by other systems. If core cooling were to fail, closure of the vent valves would become necessary. Therefore, wetwell is the preferred venting location to take advantage of the scrubbing from the suppression pool during the elapsed time between the onset of core damage and closure of the vent valves. If venting from locations other than wetwell is desired, licensees must provide sufficient justification for their request. In any case, licensees with valves or other HCVS equipment in these areas will need to justify continued use of these locations, and demonstrate that reliable operator actions are possible. In addition, leakage from the HCVS within the plant and the location of the external release from the HCVS could also impact the event response from on-site operators and off-site help arriving at the plant. An adequate strategy to minimize radiological consequences that could impede personnel actions should include the following:</p>	

NRC ORDER EA-12-050 REQUIREMENTS	NRC DRAFT ISG STAFF POSITIONS	GE Hitachi COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS ON NRC DRAFT ISG
	<p>1. Licensees shall provide permanent radiation shielding where necessary to facilitate personnel access to valves and allow manual operation of the valve locally. Licensee may use alternatives such as providing features to facilitate manual operation of valves from remote locations, as discussed further in this guidance under Requirement 1.2.2 or relocate the vent valves to areas that are significantly less challenging to operator access/actions.</p> <p>2. In accordance with Requirement 1.2.8, the HCVS shall be designed for pressures that are consistent with maximum containment design pressures as well as dynamic loading resulting from system actuation. In addition, the system shall be leak-tight. As such, ventilation duct work (i.e., sheet metal) shall not be utilized in the design of the HCVS. Licensees should perform appropriate testing, such as hydrostatic or pneumatic testing, to establish the leak-tightness of the HCVS.</p> <p>3. The HCVS release to outside atmosphere shall be at an elevation higher than adjacent plant structures. Release through existing plant stacks is considered acceptable, provided the guidance under Requirement 1.2.6 is satisfied. If the release from HCVS is through a vent stack different than the plant stack, the elevation of the stack should be higher than the nearest building or structure.</p>	
1.2 HCVS Design Features:		

NRC ORDER EA-12-050 REQUIREMENTS	NRC DRAFT ISG STAFF POSITIONS	GE Hitachi COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS ON NRC DRAFT ISG
<p>1.2.1 The HCVS shall have the capacity to vent the steam/energy equivalent of 1 percent of licensed/rated thermal power (unless a lower value is justified by analyses), and be able to maintain containment pressure below the primary containment design pressure.</p>	<p>Beyond design basis external events such as a prolonged SBO could result in the loss of active containment heat removal capability. The primary design objective of the HCVS is to provide sufficient venting capacity to prevent a long-term overpressure failure of the containment. NRC staff has determined that a vent sized under conditions of constant heat input at a rate equal to 1 percent of rated thermal power and containment pressure equal to the primary containment pressure limit is sufficient to prevent the containment pressure from increasing any further. This determination is based on studies that have shown that the torus suppression capacity is sufficient to remove decay heat generated during the first three hours following the shutdown of the reactor, that decay heat is typically less than 1 percent of rated thermal power three hours following shutdown of the reactor, and continues to decrease to well under 1 percent, thereafter. Licensees shall have an auditable engineering basis that provides reasonable assurance that the HCVS will have sufficient venting capacity under such conditions. Licensees may also use a venting capacity sized under conditions of constant heat input at a rate lower than 1 percent of thermal power if it can be justified by analysis that containment design pressure would not be exceeded. In cases where plants were granted, have applied, or plan to apply for extended power uprate (EPU), the licensees shall use 1 percent thermal power corresponding to the EPU thermal power. The basis for the venting capacity shall give appropriate consideration of where venting is being performed from (i.e., wetwell or drywell) and the difference in pressure between the drywell and the suppression chamber.</p>	<p>Comment - Section 1.2.1 states that, "The HCVS shall have the capacity to vent the steam/energy equivalent of 1 percent of licensed/rated thermal power, and be able to maintain containment pressure below the <u>primary containment design pressure</u>." It is understood that this is taken directly from the Order (12-050). This is in conflict with the follow-up "Staff Position" statement which is written, "...a vent sized under conditions of constant heat input at a rate equal to 1 percent of rated thermal power and <u>containment pressure equal to the primary containment pressure limit</u> is sufficient to prevent the containment pressure from increasing any further."</p> <p>The concern, in this case, is that several Mark I BWRs have indicated that their PCPL values are below their containment design pressures. In a case such as that, if venting at 1% RTP begins at PCPL (and the system is designed to control below containment design pressure), containment pressure would actually rise above PCPL before beginning to drop off due to the venting. Such a scenario would bring into question the ability of the plant to make use of needed systems to maintain the vessel in a stable condition and properly mitigate conditions brought on by the accident. —</p>

NRC ORDER EA-12-050 REQUIREMENTS	NRC DRAFT ISG STAFF POSITIONS	GE Hitachi COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS ON NRC DRAFT ISG
<p>1.2.2 The HCVS shall be accessible to plant operators and be capable of remote operation and control, or manual operation, during sustained operations.</p>	<p>The preferred location for remote operation and control of the HCVS is from the main control room. However, alternate locations to the control room are also acceptable, provided the licensees take into consideration the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sustained operations mean the ability to open/close the valves multiple times during the event. 2. An assessment of temperature and radiological conditions that operating personnel may encounter both in transit and locally at the controls. Licensee may use alternatives such as providing features to facilitate manual operation of valves from remote locations or relocating/reorienting the valves. 3. All permanently installed HCVS equipment, including any connections required to supplement the HCVS operation during a prolonged SBO (electric power, N2/air) shall be located above the maximum flood level or protected from any postulated flood that could potentially occur at the plant concurrent with the prolonged SBO 4. During a prolonged SBO, manual operation/action may also become necessary to operate the HCVS. As demonstrated during the Fukushima event, the valves lost motive force including electric power and pneumatic air supply to the valve operators, and control power to solenoid valves. If direct access and local operation of the valves is not feasible due to temperature or radiological hazards, licensees should include design features to facilitate remote manual operation of the HCVS valves by means such as reach rods, chain links, hand wheels, and portable equipment to provide motive force (e.g., air/N2 bottles, diesel powered compressors, and DC batteries). The connections between the valves and portable equipment should be designed for quick deployment. If a portable motive force (e.g., air or N2 bottles, DC power supplies) is used in the design strategy, licensees shall provide reasonable protection of that equipment from external events (e.g., seismic, flood, tornado) at readily accessible storage locations. 5. The design shall preclude the need for operators to move temporary ladders or operate from atop scaffolding to access the valves or remote operating locations. 	<p>Comment – Please clarify a uniform basis for determining the number of valve operating cycles to be designed for, alternately consider providing a lower limit of valve operating cycles.</p>

NRC ORDER EA-12-050 REQUIREMENTS	NRC DRAFT ISG STAFF POSITIONS	GE Hitachi COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS ON NRC DRAFT ISG
1.2.3 The HCVS shall include a means to prevent inadvertent actuation.	<p>The design of the HCVS shall incorporate features, such as control panel key-locked switches, locking systems or administrative controls to prevent the inadvertent use of the vent valves. The system shall be designed to preclude inadvertent actuation of the HCVS due to any single active failure. The design should consider general guidelines such as single point vulnerability and spurious operations of any plant installed equipment associated with HCVS.</p> <p>The objective of the HCVS is to provide sufficient venting of containment and prevent long-term overpressure failure of containment following the loss of active containment heat removal capability or prolonged SBO. However, inadvertent actuation of HCVS due to a design error, equipment malfunction, or operator error during a design basis loss-of-coolant accident (DBLOCA) could have an undesirable effect on the containment accident pressure (CAP) to provide adequate NPSH to the emergency core cooling system (ECCS) pumps. Therefore, prevention of inadvertent actuation, while important for all plants, is extremely more important for plants relying on CAP. The licensee submittals on HCVS shall specifically include details on how this issue will be addressed on their individual plants for all situations when CAP credit is required.</p>	Question – Rupture discs have been a long accepted solution for the prevention of inadvertent actuation of a hardened vent. Does the conspicuous lack of direct references to rupture disks, such as those installed under GL 89-16, mean that the NRC Staff discourages the use of these features? The use of a completely passive system utilizing rupture discs is still considered as a reasonable method of assuring containment remains intact with no active operator intervention. Please state the Staff's position on the inclusion/exclusion of the use of a rupture disc in the HCVS.
1.2.4 The HCVS shall include a means to monitor the status of the vent system (e.g., valve position indication) from the control room or other location(s). The monitoring system shall be designed for sustained operation during a prolonged SBO.	Plant operators must be able to readily monitor the status of the HCVS at all times, including being able to understand whether or not valves are open or closed, system pressure and effluent temperature. Other important information includes the status of supporting systems, such as instrument air (or N2, if used) valve position indication and pressure. The means to monitor system status shall support sustained operations during a prolonged SBO. "Sustained operations" may include the use of portable equipment to provide an alternate source of power to components used to monitor HCVS status.	

NRC ORDER EA-12-050 REQUIREMENTS	NRC DRAFT ISG STAFF POSITIONS	GE Hitachi COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS ON NRC DRAFT ISG
1.2.5 The HCVS shall include a means to monitor the effluent discharge for radioactivity that may be released from operation of the HCVS. The monitoring system shall provide indication in the control room or other location(s), and shall be designed for sustained operation during a prolonged SBO.	Licensees shall provide a means to monitor overall radioactivity that may be released from the HCVS discharge. The radiation monitor does not need to meet the requirements of NUREG 0737 for monitored releases, nor does it need to be able monitor releases quantitatively to ensure compliance with 10 CFR Part 100 or 10 CFR Section 50.67. A wide range monitoring system to monitor the overall activity in the release providing indication that effluent from the reactor are passing by the monitor is acceptable. The monitoring system shall be provided with indication in control room or a remote location for the first 24 hours after the initiation of the HCVS with electric power provided by permanent DC battery sources, supplemented by portable power sources for sustained operations. The remote indicating location should be in the close proximity to other operator actions required for sustained operation of the HCVS, such as manual connections to the portable motive force (N2 or air bottles, air compressor) and electric power for the system. Monitoring is required only during the events that necessitate operation of the HCVS.	
1.2.6 The HCVS shall include design features to minimize unintended cross flow of vented fluids within a unit and between units on the site.	At Fukushima, an explosion also occurred in Unit 4, which was in a shutdown at the time of the event. Although the facts have not been fully established, a likely cause of the explosion is that hydrogen leaked from Unit 3 to Unit 4 through a common venting system. System cross-connections present a potential for steam, hydrogen, and airborne radioactivity leakage to other areas of the plant and to an adjacent plant at multi-unit sites if the units are equipped with common vent piping. In this context, the most preferable method is to have a dedicated vent for each plant with no cross-connections. If this is determined to be not feasible, licensees shall provide design features to prevent the cross flow of vented fluids to migrate to other areas within the plant or to an adjacent plant at multi-unit sites. The current design of the hardened vent at many plants the U.S. includes a tie in with the standby gas treatment system, which contains sheet metal ducts that are not as leak tight as hard pipes. In addition, dual unit plant sites are often equipped with a common plant stack. Licensees shall provide design features to eliminate or minimize the unintended cross flow from the HCVS to other areas within the plant or to another plant on the site. Acceptable means for prevention of cross flow is by valves, leak-tight dampers, and check valves, which shall be designed to automatically close upon the initiation of the HCVS and shall remain closed for as long as the HCVS is in operation. If power is required for the interfacing valves to fail in the isolation position, it shall be from the same power sources as the vent valves. Leak tightness of any such barriers shall be periodically verified by testing.	Comment – Initial documentation from the NTF indicated that multi-unit sites with a common stack (which acts as the release point for more than one unit) must be able to vent all units simultaneously. Is this still the case, or are the units allowed to vent at different times so long as each unit meets the requirements of Requirement 1.2.1 when it is venting? - Also, it is stated that, "Licensees shall provide design features to eliminate or minimize the unintended cross flow from the HCVS to other areas within the plant..." It is assumed that this is intended to address the typical tie-in to the SGTS (as is the case with some such systems). Relative to the final statement (containing the stipulation "periodically verified by testing"), please provide basis and acceptance criteria for such testing which justifies the acceptability of cross ties with associated low pressure systems.

NRC ORDER EA-12-050 REQUIREMENTS	NRC DRAFT ISG STAFF POSITIONS	GE Hitachi COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS ON NRC DRAFT ISG
1.2.7 The HCVS shall include features and provision for the operation, testing, inspection and maintenance adequate to ensure that reliable function and capability are maintained.	<p>The HCVS piping run shall be designed to eliminate the potential for condensation accumulation, as subsequent water hammer could complicate system operation during intermittent venting or to withstand the potential for water hammer without compromising the functionality of the system. Licensees shall provide a means (e.g., drain valves, pressure and temperature gauge connections, etc.) to periodically test system components, including exercising (opening and closing) the vent valve(s).</p> <p>The HCVS valves and the interfacing system valves shall be cycled every operating cycle. System visual inspections and walkdowns shall be conducted every operating cycle. The venting procedure shall be validated every other operating cycle by conducting an open/close test of the HCVS from its control panel and ensuring that all interfacing system valves move to their proper (intended) positions.</p>	
1.2.8 The HCVS shall be designed for pressures that are consistent with maximum containment design pressures as well as dynamic loading resulting from system actuation.	<p>The vent system shall be designed for the higher of the primary containment pressure limit or 100 psig, and a saturation temperature corresponding to the design pressure. The piping, valves, and the valve actuators shall be designed to withstand the dynamic loading resulting from the actuation of the system, including piping reaction loads from valve opening and potential for water hammer from accumulation of steam condensation during multiple venting cycles.</p>	
1.2.9 The HCVS shall discharge the effluent to a release point above main plant structures.	<p>The HCVS release to outside atmosphere shall be at an elevation higher than adjacent plant structures. Release through existing plant stacks is considered acceptable, provided the guidance under Requirement 1.2.6 is satisfied. If the release from HCVS is through a stack different than the plant stack, the elevation of the stack should be higher than the nearest building or structure. The release point should be situated away from ventilation system intake and exhaust openings or emergency response facilities. The release stack or structure exposed to outside shall be designed or protected to withstand missiles that could be generated by the external events causing the prolonged SBO (e.g., tornadoes, high winds).</p>	

NRC ORDER EA-12-050 REQUIREMENTS	NRC DRAFT ISG STAFF POSITIONS	GE Hitachi COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS ON NRC DRAFT ISG
2. HCVS Quality Standards for reliable HCVS		
<p>2.1 The HCVS vent path up to and including the second containment isolation barrier shall be designed consistent with the design basis of the plant. These items include piping, piping supports, containment isolation valves, containment isolation valve actuators and containment isolation valve position indication components.</p>	<p>The design out to and including the second containment isolation barrier shall meet safety-related requirements consistent with the design basis of the plant, including General Design Criteria (GDC)-54 "Piping systems penetrating containment" and GDC-56 "Primary containment isolation." The piping and piping supports shall be designed to meet Seismic Category I requirements. The staff notes that in response to GL 89-16, in many cases, the HCVS vent line connections were made to existing systems. In some cases, the connection was made in between two existing containment isolation valves and in others to the vacuum breaker line. A design that is free of physical and control interfaces with other systems offers the potential outcome for a highly reliable vent system. The NRC staff prefers HCVS designs with a dedicated penetration and dedicated vent valves that would be kept closed at all conditions except for periodic testing and when the HCVS is called into operation with a short run of piping leading to the vent release point. If the licensee determines that dedicated penetrations are not feasible, the existing containment isolation valves and the vent valve also become part of the containment isolation barrier. The HCVS system design shall not preclude the containment isolation valves, including the vent valve from performing their intended containment isolation function consistent with the design basis for the plant. The design shall include all necessary overrides of containment isolation signals and other interface system signals to enable the vent valves to open upon initiation of the HCVS from its control panel.</p>	<p>Comment – There are existing NRC approved containment overpressure protection systems (Ref NUREG-1503) and GL-89-16 systems that do not comply with the Staff guidance of;</p> <p>"The NRC staff prefers HCVS designs with a dedicated penetration and dedicated vent valves that would be kept closed at all conditions except for periodic testing and when the HCVS is called into operation with a short run of piping leading to the vent release point."</p> <p>Since the goal of the Order and guidance is prevention of core damage and containment protection, narrowing the configurations to that outlined in the sentence could be limiting. Consider deleting this specific sentence or modifying it so that other configurations that meet the order and remaining guidance in this section can be presented.</p>

NRC ORDER EA-12-050 REQUIREMENTS	NRC DRAFT ISG STAFF POSITIONS	GE Hitachi COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS ON NRC DRAFT ISG
2.2 All other HCVS components shall be designed for reliable and rugged performance that is capable of ensuring HCVS functionality following a seismic event. These items include electrical power supply, valve actuator pneumatic supply and instrumentation (local and remote) components.	All components of the HCVS beyond the second containment isolation barrier shall be designed to ensure HCVS functionality following the plant's design basis seismic event. These components include, in addition to the hardened vent pipe, electric power supply, pneumatic supply and instrumentation. The design of power and pneumatic supply lines between the HCVS valves and remote locations (if portable sources were to be employed) shall also be designed to ensure HCVS functionality. Licensees shall ensure that the HCVS will not impact other safety related structures and components and that the HCVS will not be impacted by other non-seismic components. The staff prefers that the HCVS components, including the piping run, be not located in nonseismic structures. However, short runs of HCVS piping in non-seismic structures are acceptable if the licensee provides adequate justification on the seismic ruggedness of these structures. The hardened vent shall be designed to conform to the requirements of the applicable American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and the applicable Specifications, Codes and Standards of the American Institute of Steel Construction.	
3. Hardened Containment Venting System Programmatic Requirements		
3.1 The Licensee shall develop, implement, and maintain procedures necessary for the safe operation of the HCVS. Procedures shall be established for system operations when normal and backup power is available, and during SBO conditions.	<p>Procedures shall be developed describing when and how to place the HCVS in operation, the location of system components, discussion of instrumentation available, normal and backup power supplies, directions for sustained operation, including the storage location of portable equipment, training on operating the portable equipment, and testing of equipment. The procedures shall identify appropriate conditions and criteria for use of the HCVS. The procedures shall clearly state the nexus between CAP and ECCS pumps during a DBLOCA and how an inadvertent opening of the vent valve could have an adverse impact on this nexus.</p> <p>The licensee shall establish provisions for out-of-service requirements of the HCVS and compensatory measures. These provisions shall be documented in the Technical Requirements Manual (TRM) or other similar document. The allowed out-of-service time for the HCVS shall not exceed seven days during modes 1, 2, and 3.</p>	

Mendiola, Doris

From: Bladey, Cindy
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2012 12:45 PM
To: Mendiola, Doris; Gallagher, Carol
Subject: FW: GEH Comments on NRC-2012-0069 (Draft ISG, Containment Vents)
Attachments: MFN-12-081-ltr-encl.pdf

From: Bagnal, Charles (GE Power & Water) [<mailto:Charles.Bagnal@ge.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2012 12:40 PM
To: Bladey, Cindy
Cc: Caro, Jose M (GE Power & Water); Cowen, Robert H (GE Power & Water); Fretz, Robert; Head, Jerald G (GE Power & Water)
Subject: GEH Comments on NRC-2012-0069 (Draft ISG, Containment Vents)

Ms Bladey...

Please find attached your courtesy copy of our comments on NRC-2012-0069 (Draft ISG, Containment Vents). These have been sent FedEx to you for delivery tomorrow. We recognize that the deadline has passed, but appreciate your consideration, to the extent practical.

Many thanks.

Charles W Bagnal Jr
Senior Licensing Engineer
GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy
3901 Castle Hayne Road
Wilmington, NC 28401
910-819-6785
charles.bagnal@ge.com