

26

**Valentine, Nicholee**

**From:** Thomas, George *incc*  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 20, 2011 1:19 PM  
**To:** Cruz, Holly  
**Subject:** FW: Briefing Material for Tomorrow's Call at 200pm on Seabrook ASR

Holly,

FYI – there is going to be some changes to the schedule for TIA 2011-013 (TAC ME7107) on the Seabrook ASR issue, especially as the licensee has not provided its firm action plan. See emails below. Will keep you posted after we decide on a new schedule.

Thanks.  
George

**From:** Miller, Chris *RC1*  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 20, 2011 7:53 AM  
**To:** Hiland, Patrick  
**Cc:** Conte, Richard; Thomas, George; Wilson, Peter  
**Subject:** RE: Briefing Material for Tomorrow's Call at 200pm on Seabrook ASR

Thanks for the heads up Pat. Our staffs have been working on a path forward to be able to understand Seabrook's efforts and tell them where we think they need to do more (some staff members have this view), and then put some regulatory stamp on it (CAL etc). We thought this TIA might be able to inform that effort. For planning purposes, do you have a date (or range) in mind that we might expect it?  
chris

**From:** Hiland, Patrick *incc*  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 20, 2011 7:47 AM  
**To:** Miller, Chris  
**Cc:** Conte, Richard; Thomas, George  
**Subject:** FW: Briefing Material for Tomorrow's Call at 200pm on Seabrook ASR  
**Importance:** High

Chris, my staff discussed the attached briefing notes with me last night. In particular, I was briefed on the TIA which George Thomas of my staff is working on, that has a due date of 12/29. Not sure what the expectations are, but it appears that answering the TIA with firm guidance is not achievable by 12/29. George has put together a number of specific criteria that could be used to compare with licensee's "plan" when delivered. I've advised George Thomas to send out his draft information as a brainstorm effort to solicit input from NRR/DLR, RES, and Region I. I've been told that the NRC doesn't have any specific engineering/technical guidance available regarding ASR and that we are searching out info from other industries. Obviously, the licensee has the responsibility to evaluate under XVI and should do the engineering work to defend their corrective action. Our staff will continue to search out current information, but we do not anticipate providing an accurate list of criteria by 12/29. The purpose of this email is info only.

**From:** Conte, Richard *RT*  
**Sent:** Monday, December 19, 2011 1:30 PM  
**To:** Auluck, Rajender; Ayres, David; Bahadur, Sher; Burritt, Arthur; Chaudhary, Suresh; Chernoff, Harold; Clifford, James; Cline, Leonard; Conte, Richard; Cruz, Holly; Delligatti, Mark; Evans, Michele; Ferrer, Nathaniel; Galloway, Melanie; Hiland, Patrick; Howe, Allen; Khanna, Meena; Lamb, John; Lehman, Bryce; Lund, Louise; Manoly, Kamal; Miller, Chris; Miller, Ed; Modes, Michael; Morey, Dennis; Murphy, Martin; Plasse, Richard; Raymond, William; Roberts, Darrell; Sakai, Stacie; Sheikh, Abdul; Thomas, George; Wilson, Peter  
**Subject:** Briefing Material for Tomorrow's Call at 200pm on Seabrook ASR

Information in this record was deleted  
in accordance with the Freedom of Information  
Act, exemptions 6  
FOIA- 2012-0119

9  
13

See attached agenda and talking points along with attachments for more details.

Seabrook Concrete Degradation  
PREDECISIONAL INFORMATION

**REGION I**  
**Seabrook Concrete Degradation & Implications to Part 50 and 54**  
**December 20, 2011**  
**200pm**

**Conference Call Logistics:** Dial in number: 888-790-8833 Passcode:

(b)(6)

R:6

**Objectives (Purpose):**

1. To communicate on efforts to date in a summary way on the alkali-silica reaction problem at Seabrook.
2. Provide next steps in January 2012 in order to elevate regulatory footprint on the issue,
3. Also update on the developments in other areas that need to be kept in mind.

**Success Criteria (Potential Outcomes):**

1. Enhanced understanding of the topics discussed (communications is the key).
2. All input and views obtained in order to get the issues addressed with final decisions reflected in the action plan.
3. Achieve alignment on next steps for January 2012.

**Agenda (Process – 30-45 min):**

<b>(5 min)</b>	<b>Conte</b>	<b>Overview</b> <b>(roll call, check alignment, summary of main points)</b>
<b>(10 min)</b>	<b>Burritt</b>	<b>(Conte) Summary of Actions To Date</b>
<b>(5 min)</b>	<b>Conte</b>	<b>Developments in Other Areas</b> <b>(Hearing, Public, Safety Review, License Renewal,</b> <b>Commission)</b>
<b>(10 min)</b>	<b>Conte</b>	<b>(Burritt) Next Steps</b>
<b>(5 min)</b>	<b>Conte/All</b>	<b>Immediate Safety/Longer Term</b>
<b>(Time Left)</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>Summary/Critique</b>

See Attendees, Decision and Actions at the end of the Talking Points

---