

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:

Kinnco, Inc.
8389 M-72
P.O. Box 219
Williamsburg, MI 49690

REPORT NUMBER(S) 2012-01

2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE

Region III
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210
Lisle, IL 60532-4352

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)

030-19707

4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)

21-21045-01

5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION

May 22, 2012

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:




- ☒ 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- ☐ 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- ☐ 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

Non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s):

- ☐ 4. During this inspection, certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited in accordance with NRC Enforcement Policy. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.
(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Statement of Corrective Actions

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the Inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

TITLE	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Aaron T. McCraw		5/22/12
BRANCH CHIEF			6/3/12

Docket File Information

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: Kinnco, Inc. 8389 M-72 P.O. Box 219 Williamsburg, MI 49690 REPORT NUMBER(S) 2012-01	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352
--	---

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-19707	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 21-21045-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION May 22, 2012
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED IP 87124	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01 - 03.07	

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 03121	2. PRIORITY 5	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Don Wainman, RSO	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER (231) 267-5180
---------------------------------	----------------------	---	---

☒ Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: 5/1/2017

☐ Field Office Inspection

☐ Temporary Job Site Inspection

PROGRAM SCOPE

This was a routine inspection of a small oilwell piping supply company outside of Williamsburg, Michigan. The licensee possessed one NDT Systems, Inc. tube wall calibrator, Model 13640B. Although considered portable, the licensee has not moved the gauge from its property since 1992. The licensee has no plans to transport the device. The licensee indicated that the gauge has only been used three times in the past 12 months.

PERFORMANCE OBSERVATIONS

The inspector observed the gauge in its permanent location and noted that security was adequate. The gauge itself was secured and locked to prevent removal, as well as all routes of entry into the trailer were secured with combination locks. Interviews conducted with available staff revealed an adequate level of understanding of emergency and material handling procedures and techniques.

Independent measurements taken did not indicate readings in excess of Title 10 Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Part 20 limits in unrestricted areas. Dosimetry records indicated that all gauge users were well below regulatory limits. A records review indicated that leak tests were completed every 6 months, as required on the Sealed Source and Device Registry Certificate for this device. The inspector noted that the markings on the source itself had degraded and were becoming indistinguishable.