

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Jun 04, 2012 16:25

PAPER NUMBER: LTR-12-0264

LOGGING DATE: 06/04/2012

ACTION OFFICE:

EDO

To: Leeds, NRR

aps:

EDQ
DEDMT
DEDR
DEDCM
AQAO

Harrison

OEDO

AUTHOR: Tom Gurdziel

AFFILIATION: NY

ADDRESSEE: Chairman Resource

SUBJECT: Management configuration...provides a letter he plans to send to the White House

ACTION: Appropriate

DISTRIBUTION: Chrm, Comrs

LETTER DATE: 05/24/2012

ACKNOWLEDGED No

SPECIAL HANDLING:

NOTES:

FILE LOCATION: ADAMS

DATE DUE:

DATE SIGNED:

Template: SECY-017

E-RIDS: SECY-01

Joosten, Sandy

From: Tom Gurdziel [tgurdziel@twcny.rr.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 24, 2012 10:01 PM
To: CHAIRMAN Resource
Cc: hillsc@INPO.org; Bridget.Frymire@dps.ny.gov; Screnci, Diane; 'Newal Agnihotri'; 'Tom Henry'; 'Vanags, Uldis'; rich@oswegocounty.com; barclaw@assembly.state.ny.us; 'Holden, Tammy'; 'Lyon, Jill'; senator_leahy@leahy.senate.gov
Subject: Management Configuration
Attachments: The Commission.doc

Good morning,

I hope to get this out tomorrow or Saturday.

Thank you,

Tom Gurdziel

9 Twin Orchard Drive
Oswego, NY 13126
May 24, 2012

The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20500

Dear President Barack Obama:

I am not happy with the present US Nuclear Regulatory Commission management configuration at the highest level. Specifically, based on recent actions, I see no substantial value from one chairman and four commissioners. In my opinion, this is at least 2 commissioners too many.

I am writing to you because, although I pay for these people with my taxes, I believe they work for you directly.

Here is the basic problem: we found out in Japan on March 11, 2011 that things could happen that we never expected. As a result there has been a continuing need for urgent action to restore the level of (U.S.) commercial nuclear industry safety that we thought we had before.

The U.S. commercial nuclear industry has, in my opinion, responded appropriately. (They have decided to obtain extra equipment to be used in responding to previously unanticipated events. It has been announced that all U.S. plants have already ordered additional equipment. Additionally, the industry plans to establish regional mobile-equipment centers.)

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, in my opinion, has not responded with prompt, appropriate action. For example, has the total loss of offsite and emergency onsite AC electric power at Fukushima Daiichi, and their unacceptable delay, (9 to 11 days), in restoring AC power led any of the 4 commissioners to actually study multi-unit events or even the present adequacy of off site AC power to U.S. commercial nuclear multi-plant sites?

I request that you individually invite each of the 4 commissioners to your office to tell you what they individually have gotten ACCOMPLISHED by the agency since March 11, 2011.

If there is no personal accomplished, please ask yourself, is this position needed?

Thank you,

Thomas Gurdziel