



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION IV
612 EAST LAMAR BLVD, SUITE 400
ARLINGTON, TEXAS 76011-4125

COPY

September 5, 2008

Mr. Ross T. Ridenoure
Senior Vice President and
Chief Nuclear Officer
Southern California Edison Company
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station
P.O. Box 128
San Clemente, CA 92674-0128

SUBJECT: ALLEGATION NO. RIV-2008-A-0117

Dear Mr. Ridenoure:

This is in reference to information that was provided by Messrs (b)(7)(C) to Mr. Michael Hay on August 26, 2008, regarding safety-related battery surveillance. Messrs (b)(7)(C) advised that Southern California Edison Company was investigating discrepancies identified between the times recorded on the surveillance data sheets and the actual key card data. 11

We request that you provide the results of your investigation within 30 days of the date of this letter to NRC, Region IV, ATTN: Harry Freeman, Senior Allegation Coordinator, and make records of the investigation available for NRC inspection. Your response to this request should not be docketed, and the distribution of your response should be limited.

Should you have any further questions concerning our requests, our role in this matter, or require additional time to accomplish this request, please contact Mr. Freeman at 817-860-8245.

Sincerely,

Dwight D. Chamberlain

Dwight D. Chamberlain, Director
Division of Reactor Projects

Enclosure: As Stated

Dockets: 50-361; 50-362
Licenses: NPF-10; NPF-15

Information in this record was deleted
in accordance with the Freedom of Information
Act, exemptions 7(C)
FOIA-
A-11-0157

~~CERTIFIED MAIL~~ *has*
~~RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED~~ *has*

E/29

Received By: Michael Hay	Receipt Date: 08/26/2008
Receipt Method: <u>Phone Call</u>	Other Method
FACILITY	
Facility Name: San Onofre	
Location: San Clemente, CA	
Docket(s): 50-361/362	
General Discussion: Failure to perform Tech Spec Surveillance - potential willful issue	

CONCERN 1	
<u>Summary of Concern (be brief)</u> Electrical technicians failed to perform Tech Spec SR3.8.4.1, "Verify battery terminal voltage is greater than or equal to 129 V on float charge." Specifically, during a licensee investigation it was identified that performance of the surveillance was documented as being completed satisfactory. However, key card checks were performed indicating that time spent in the battery areas by the electricians wouldn't be adequate to perform the surveillance.	
<u>Obtain concern specifics. What is the concern, when did it occur, who was involved, etc. If the concern involves discrimination, fill in the last section of the form.</u>	
<u>What is the potential safety impact? Is this an ongoing concern?</u> Failure to perform surveillance impacts ability to assess functionality of the electrical bus. This surveillance has a frequency for being performed every 7 days.	
<u>What requirement/regulation governs this concern?</u> Tech Spec SR 3.8.4.1	
<u>What records should the NRC review?</u> Completed Tech Spec surveillance procedure records for SR 3.8.4.1, key card entry reports for the control building 50 foot level (location of all batteries)	
<u>What other individuals could the NRC contact for information?</u> Licensee is performing an investigation of the issue. Contacts are (b)(7)(C) 7c	
<u>Was the concern brought to management's attention? If so, what actions have been taken, if not, why not?</u> Yes	
<u>Why was the concern brought to the NRC's attention?</u> Potential willful aspects	