

7
Lehman, Bryce

From: Conte, Richard
Sent: Monday, August 29, 2011 4:46 PM
To: Galloway, Melanie
Cc: Auluck, Rajender; Khanna, Meena; Chaudhary, Suresh; Thomas, George; Sheikh, Abdul; Manoly, Kamal; Modes, Michael; Miller, Chris; Wilson, Peter; Roberts, Darrell; Holian, Brian; Raymond, William; Burritt, Arthur; Plasse, Richard; Powell, Raymond
Subject: Division Level Brief RE: Brief Summary of Seabrook ASR Call Today

I am on CWS for Friday 9/9 so we will shoot for 9/7 or 9/8 200 or 300pm. 9/6 will be a zoo coming off the labor day weekend – we have weekly meetings on Tuesday.

By way of agenda:

1. Raymond/Burritt/Conte – Plant Status – Plans that have been finalized and delivery dates (we will try to make this the agenda for the Wednesday status call with NextEra on 9/7 at 1030am)
2. Auluck - Aging Effect – Critique of RAI response dated Aug 11, 2011
3. Conte- CB and other building Operability – URI followup in order to get details related to plans, when to be done – (TIA focus is on Control Building (CB) should be issued by then and week of September 26 is the planned inspection week).
4. Plasse – Developments in Hearing, if any
5. Auluck – Industry Feedback – status of information Notice
6. All – Staff Feedback – changes to ROP, if any???
7. Commission Feedback, if any, based on TIA copy sent to EDO office to forward to Tech Assistants (should we send the August 11 RAI response?).
8. All (DLR lead) – Media/Public Relations – preps for DEIS meeting 9/15 (C-10 questions and information provided) Who should attend from Region I – conflicts with management retreat.

From: Galloway, Melanie
Sent: Monday, August 29, 2011 2:42 PM
To: Conte, Richard
Cc: Auluck, Rajender; Khanna, Meena; Chaudhary, Suresh; Thomas, George; Sheikh, Abdul; Manoly, Kamal; Modes, Michael; Miller, Chris; Wilson, Peter; Roberts, Darrell; Holian, Brian
Subject: RE: Brief Summary of Seabrook ASR Call Today

Thanks, Rich.

Yes, I was reflecting to Raj that the last Division-level briefing had been in May and that there would be value in ensuring that we all remain on the same page with the same understanding of status. I understand that the inspection will provide valuable information and we will surely want to share that but 5 months between such briefings is a long time. And we do have an environmental public meeting on Sept. 15 so a Division-level briefing in before that would be

Information in this record was deleted
in accordance with the Freedom of Information
Act, exemptions
FOIA- 2012-0119

valuable in our preparation for that public meeting. As you know, the fact that it is environmental will not affect folks' asking about safety issues of which this one is likely to come up.

With all of that said, can we set up an hour call at the Division level by 9/9?

R

From: Conte, Richard, R
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 12:33 PM
To: Galloway, Melanie; Holian, Brian
Cc: Auluck, Rajender; Khanna, Meena; Chaudhary, Suresh; Thomas, George; Sheikh, Abdul; Manoly, Kamal; Modes, Michael; Miller, Chris; Wilson, Peter; Roberts, Darrell
Subject: RE: Brief Summary of Seabrook ASR Call Today

Melanie, Raj reported they your were curious about the next Division Executive Level brief. At the end of the NRR brief two weeks ago, I thought I heard you say that we should NOT go back to "them" unless we have better information on operability and when it will be done.

From the below we initiated action to schedule an inspection to get a better understanding of their status and plans. This is primarily related to operability (last RAI response did not say much on a comprehensive plan). DLR folks are invited but the TIA is focused on the control building and what issues need to be addressed in the final OD by when. I hope the TIA will be signed out early next week (NRR staff agreed with it, and it is at the final stage of concurrence).

Perhaps a debrief with Division Executives would be best a week or two after the inspection for which we are homing in on the Week of 9/26/11.

From: Modes, Michael, R
Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2011 1:25 PM
To: Conte, Richard
Cc: Auluck, Rajender; Khanna, Meena; Chaudhary, Suresh; Thomas, George; Sheikh, Abdul; Manoly, Kamal
Subject: Brief Summary of Seabrook ASR Call Today

Seabrook reported forward movement,

1. Release of engineering work order to take additional core samples for
 - a. Confirmatory compressive strength samples interrogating scatter in prior data
 - b. Compressive strength in additional suspect areas
 - c. Tensile strength determination
2. Finalized 5 condition reports supporting two operability determination
3. Final draft of 50.59 evaluation for unresolved issue
4. Final plan in concurrence to address issue including
 - a. Walk down scoping document
 - b. Walk down procedure
 - c. Crack mapping plan
 - d. Trending/tracking plan
 - e. State of ASR depletion
 - f. Schedule of completion
 - g. Final operability determination
5. Walk downs are in progress
6. Crack mapping in progress
7. SGH has preliminary modeling results of Containment Enclosure Bldg they are bench marking against actual conditions
8. Root cause team formally kicked off today
9. Mined the original construction documentation (Suresh Chaudhary will call Ted Vassallo
(b)(6))
10. Completed ASR outreach

9.9

- a. Consultation with academic experts
- b. Scientific literature search

Note to Rich Conte ... Paul Willoughby appreciates Monday calls.

Discussed logistics of PI&R sample inspection originally proposed week of 9/12.

Note to Abdul and George ... can you delay visit to Seabrook to week of 9/19? Week of 26th would be perfect.
Call or email Michael Modes (610.337.5198)

#####

This e-mail, and its attachments, is an official record of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. This email, its attachments, and any responses to this email from persons working for a licensee of the NRC may be subject to a Freedom of Information Request and may be made publically available. This e-mail is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are prohibited from disseminating, distributing, or copying, this email and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and permanently delete the original, any copy of this e-mail, and any printout.

#####