

Facility: SusquehannaDate of Examination: 1/17/12Developed by: Written - Facility ☐ NRC ☒ // Operating - Facility ☐ NRC ☒

Target Date*	Task Description (Reference)	Chief Examiner's Initials
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c)	
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 3)]	
{-75}	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1's, ES-401-1/2, ES-401-3, and ES-401-4, as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d)	
{-70}	{7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)}	
{-45}	8. Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6, and any Form ES-201-3 updates), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d)	
-30	9. Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.i; C.2.g; ES-202)	
-14	10. Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.i; C.2.i; ES-202)	
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g)	
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	
-7	14. Final applications reviewed; 1 or 2 (if >10) applications audited to confirm qualifications / eligibility; and examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 5; ES-202, C.2.e; ES-204)	
-7	15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k)	
-7	16. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)	

* Target dates are generally based on facility-prepared examinations and are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee.

[Applies only] {Does not apply} to examinations prepared by the NRC.

Facility: Susquehanna		Date of Examination: 1/17/12			
Item	Task Description	Initials			
		a	b*	c#	
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	MPP	N/A	JA	
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	MPP	N/A	JA	
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	MPP	N/A	JA	
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	MPP	N/A	JA	
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	MPP	N/A	JA	
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	MPP	N/A	JA	
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	MPP	N/A	JA	
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	MPP	N/A	JA	
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	MPP	N/A	JA	
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	MPP	N/A	JA	
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	MPP	N/A	JA	
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	MPP	N/A	JA	
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	MPP	N/A	JA	
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	MPP	N/A	JA	
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	MPP	N/A	JA	
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	MPP	N/A	JA	
a. Author		Chris Lilly		Date	1/15/12
b. Facility Reviewer (*)		Manan Patel			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)		John Caruso			1/16/12
d. NRC Supervisor		DONALD E. JACKSON			1/16/12
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines					

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Jan 10, 2011 and Jan 17, 2011 at Susquehanna as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of Jan 10, 2011 and Jan 17, 2011 at Susquehanna. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. TRACY NORTH	Exam Coordinator / lead developer	[Signature]	4/26/11	Per telecon w/ m. jones	1/24/12	#1
2. Mike Jacopetti	Exam Developer / Reviewer	[Signature]	4/29/11	Per telecon w/ m. jones	1/25/12	
3. LEON DODGE	Sim Support	[Signature]	4/29/11	[Signature]	1/24/12	
4. LISA WAUGH	SUPV. Trng Support Svcs.	[Signature]	4/29/11	[Signature]	1/25/12	
5. Bill DeLuch	Supv. COMPUTER SYSTEMS - Nuc	[Signature]	6/23/11	[Signature]	2/5/12	
6. Chung-Jung Chyau	Change Manager	[Signature]	6/23/11	[Signature]	1/25/2012	
7. JAMES SCHWITZ JR	SR. COMPUTER SYS. ANALYST	[Signature]	6/23/11	[Signature]	1/27/2012	
8. SCOTT DIEFENBACHER	SR. Computer Sys. Analyst	[Signature]	6/23/11	[Signature]	1/24/2012	
9. Frank Tarselli	Sim Support / Test Oper.	[Signature]	6/29/11	[Signature]	1/25/12	
10. RICH BALDUCCI	DPS INSTRUCTOR	[Signature]	8/20/11	[Signature]	2/16/12	
11. Michael J. B...	PCO	[Signature]	9/18/11	[Signature]	2/1/12	
12. ROBERT PHOISE	LOR EXAM DEVELOPER	[Signature]	9/13/11	[Signature]	1/25/12	
13. GORDON SHELLENBERGER	PCO	[Signature]	9/23/11	[Signature]	1/25/12	
14. MARK SUMMER	PCO	[Signature]	9-23-11	[Signature]	1-25-12	
15. MARK WOZINSKI	PCO	[Signature]	9-23-11	Per telecon m. jones	2/1/12	

NOTES:

#1 Tracy's contract was not extended, & his last day was prior to completion of the exams. Post exam I contacted him to ensure exam security was maintained.

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Jan 10, 2011 and Jan 17, 2011 at Susquehanna as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of Jan 10, 2011 and Jan 17, 2011 at Susquehanna. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. KENNETH GREEN	PCO	<i>[Signature]</i>	30 SEPT 11	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/14/12	
2. KENY GONZALEZ	OPS TRNG Sup	<i>[Signature]</i>	13 OCT 11	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/25/12	
3. Mike Hancheruck	LOR Instructor	<i>[Signature]</i>	13 OCT 11	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/25/12	
4. Vicky A. HAVAT	CLERICAL	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/17/11	<i>[Signature]</i>	01/25/12	
5. Tim R. Charles Jr	PCO/RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/24/11	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/25/12	
6. JOHN A. TRAUPMAN	PCO/RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/24/11	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/6/12	
7. Richard Klinefelter	ADM Shift	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/24/11	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/26/12	
8. STEVE KOCH	UNIT SUPV	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/24/11	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/1/12	
9. JOHN KANUTE	SENIOR ENGINEER ISE	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/26/11	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/26/12	
10. Melanie Peterael	Maintenance CAPCO	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/26/11	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/23/12	
11. Gordon Phail	Consultant-Recovery Team	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/26/11	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/16/12	#1
12. LORRIE K. WILLIE	OUTAGE SCHEDULER	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/26/11	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/25/12	
13. William Mack	Consultant - Training Improvement	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/26/11	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/16/12	#2
14. Joe Nechtrey	Manager - Field Projects	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/26/11	<i>[Signature]</i>	1-26-12	
15. WE MORRISSEY	MANAGER - ELEC MAINT	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/26/11	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/25/12	

NOTES:

#13 Last day w/ PPL was 29-Nov-11. Can contact him on cell

post exam. (ms 29-Nov-11)

(1) All Employee left - last day - (W.D. Associates)

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 1/6/12 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 1/12/12 + 1/17/12. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. J.M. Boiwka	Plant Control Operator	<i>J.M. Boiwka</i>	11/26/11	<i>J.M. Boiwka</i>	1/26/12	
2. H. Burke Jensen	Off Shift Unit Supervisor	<i>H. Burke Jensen</i>	10/26/11	<i>H. Burke Jensen</i>	1/27/12	
3. JOHN M. SCRANTON	PET ENGINEER	<i>John M. Scranton</i>	10/26/11	Per telecon with [unclear]	2/1/12	
4. JOSEPH J. METER	TECH PROC SUPV.	<i>Joseph J. Meter</i>	10/26/11	Per telecon with [unclear]	2/15/12	
5. ROBERT A. THOMPSON	INSTRUCTOR	<i>Robert A. Thompson</i>	10/26/11	Per telecon with [unclear]	1/25/12	
6. Minda Pollock	Chief	<i>Minda Pollock</i>	10/27/11	<i>Minda Pollock</i>	1/25/12	
7. Anna J. Brue	Shift Supervisor	<i>Anna J. Brue</i>	10/28/11	Per telecon with [unclear]	3/10/12	
8. Paul J. Moran	Sim Instructor	<i>Paul J. Moran</i>	11/11/11	<i>Paul J. Moran</i>	1/25/12	
9. Alice Seibert	Sim Instructor / Unit Supervisor	<i>Alice Seibert</i>	11/17/11	<i>Alice Seibert</i>	1/25/12	
10. Charles Robbins	Sim Instructor	<i>Charles Robbins</i>	11/28/11	<i>Charles Robbins</i>	1/24/12	
11. Scott Cawley	Plant Control Operator	<i>Scott A. Cawley</i>	12/1/11	<i>Scott A. Cawley</i>	1/27/12	
12. WILLIAM DEMLER	PLANT CONTROL OPERATOR	<i>William Demler</i>	12/1/11	<i>William Demler</i>	1/25/12	
13. TODD CREAMY	SHIFT MANAGER	<i>Todd Creamy</i>	12-1-11	<i>Todd Creamy</i>	2-21-12	
14. Doug LaMarr	UNIT SUPERVISOR	<i>Doug LaMarr</i>	12-5-11	<i>Doug LaMarr</i>	1/25/12	
15. Robert Bingham	UNIT SUPERVISOR	<i>Robert Bingham</i>	12-12-11	<i>Robert Bingham</i>	1/24/12	

NOTES:

4 Last day was 01/20/12

Pg 3 of 7

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of _____ as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 1/16/12. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Jeffery Miller	PCO	<i>Jeffery Miller</i>	1/16/11	<i>Jeffery Miller</i>	1/30/12	
2. BRIAN WYGANT	PCO	<i>Brian Wygant</i>	12/12/11	<i>Brian Wygant</i>	1/25/12	
3. Patrick Bore	PCO	<i>Patrick Bore</i>	12/12/11	<i>Patrick Bore</i>	2/16/12	
4. DAVID T. WALSH	ASIA	<i>David T. Walsh</i>	12/13/11	<i>David T. Walsh</i>	1/27/12	
5. Eric R. Schmeck	US / SRO	<i>Eric R. Schmeck</i>	1/10/12	<i>Eric R. Schmeck</i>	2/16/12	
6. Dick Sora	Instructor	<i>Dick Sora</i>	1/17/12	<i>Dick Sora</i>	4/25/12	
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES:

Pg 4 of 7

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 1/17/12 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 1/16/12. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. <u>CLIFFORD N. CRAMER</u>	<u>INSTRUCTOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/17/12</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/18/12</u>	
2. <u>Kenneth B. Hulbirt</u>	<u>INSTRUCTOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/17/12</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/5/12</u>	
3. <u>Dick Gann</u>	<u>Instructor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/17/12</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/17/12</u>	
4. <u>Michael B. Helton</u>	<u>Instructor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/17/12</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/18/12</u>	
5. <u>Gary van den Berg</u>	<u>SLO Supervisor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/17/12</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/18/12</u>	
6. <u>Jim BLEISTEIN</u>	<u>INSTRUCTOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1-17-12</u>	<u>Per telecon [Signature]</u>	<u>03-16-12</u>	
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES:

P2697

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 1/18/12 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 1/16/12. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1.	CLIFFORD A. CROSSMAN	INSTRUCTOR	<i>Clifford A. Crossman</i>	1/18/12	<i>Clifford A. Crossman</i>	1/19/12	
2.	MICHAEL R. HELTOW	INSTRUCTOR	<i>Michael R. Heltow</i>	1/18/12	<i>Michael R. Heltow</i>	1/19/12	
3.	Greg van den Berg	ILC Supervisor	<i>Greg van den Berg</i>	1/18/12	<i>Greg van den Berg</i>	1/19/12	
4.							
5.							
6.							
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							

NOTES:

Pg 6 of 7

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 1/19/12 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 1/16/12. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. CLARA M. GROSSMAN	INSTRUCTOR	Clara M. Grossman	1/19/12	Clara M. Grossman	1/19/12	
2. WILLIAM B. DYER JR.	PLANT CONTROL OPERATOR	W. B. Dyer Jr.	1-19-12	W. B. Dyer Jr.	3-14-12	
3. JAMES R. BLUESTONE	INSTRUCTOR	James R. Bluestone	1-19-12	James R. Bluestone	2-8-12	
4. Greg van den Berg	ILO Supervisor	Greg van den Berg	1/19/12	Greg van den Berg	2/8/12	
5. MICHAEL R. HELTON	INSTRUCTOR	Michael R. Helton	1/19/12	Michael R. Helton	2-8-12	
6.						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES:

pg 7 of 7

Facility: Susquehanna		Date of Examination: 1/17/12		Operating Test Number: 1	
1. General Criteria			Initials		
			a	b*	c#
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	MPP	N/A	JA	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	MPP	N/A	JA	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	MPP	N/A	JA	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	MPP	N/A	JA	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	MPP	N/A	JA	
2. Walk-Through Criteria			--	--	--
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> initial conditions initiating cues references and tools, including associated procedures reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	MPP	N/A	JA	
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	MPP	N/A	JA	
3. Simulator Criteria			--	--	--
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.		MPP	N/A	JA	
Printed Name / Signature		Date			
a. Author	Chris Laly	Manna Patel			1/5/12
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	N/A				
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	JOHN CARRO				1/6/12
d. NRC Supervisor	DONALD E. JACKSON				1/10/12
<p>NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.</p> <p># Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.</p>					

Facility: Susquehanna		Date of Exam: 1/17/12		Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3		Operating Test No.: 1	
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES				Initials			
				a	b*	c#	
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	MPP	N/A	JN			
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	MPP	N/A	JN			
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 	MPP	N/A	JN			
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	MPP	N/A	JN			
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	MPP	N/A	JN			
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	MPP	N/A	JN			
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	MPP	N/A	JN			
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	MPP	N/A	JN			
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	MPP	N/A	JN			
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	MPP	N/A	JN			
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	MPP	N/A	JN			
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	MPP	N/A	JN			
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	MPP	N/A	JN			
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)		Actual Attributes		--	--	--	
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	8 / 7 / 7		MPP	N/A	JN	
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	3 / 1 / 2		MPP	N/A	JN	
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	3 / 3 / 4		MPP	N/A	JN	
4.	Major transients (1-2)	1 / 1 / 1		MPP	N/A	JN	
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2 / 2 / 2		MPP	N/A	JN	
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	2 / 0 / 1		MPP	N/A	JN	
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	3 / 2 / 2		MPP	N/A	JN	

Facility: Susquehanna				Date of Exam: 1/17/12				Operating Test No.: 1										
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M(*)			
		1			2			3			4							
		C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N							
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P					
															R	I	U	
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX	5			2				2						3	1	1	0
	NOR	1			1										2	1	1	1
	I/C	2,3, 4,6,7 8,9, 10			3,4,5 6,7, 9				1,4,8						17	4	4	2
	MAJ	7			8				6						3	2	2	1
	TS	2,3,4 6			3,4,7										7	0	2	2
RO 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX		5												1	1	1	0
	NOR														0	1	1	1
	I/C		2,3,4 6,8, 10				3,4,5, 7,9								11	4	4	2
	MAJ		7				8								2	2	2	1
	TS														0	0	2	2
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX	5			2			2							3	1	1	0
	NOR	1			1										2	1	1	1
	I/C	2,3,4 6,7, 8,9,1 0			6			1,2,3 4,5, 7,8,9							17	4	4	2
	MAJ	7			8			6							3	2	2	1
	TS	2,3,4 6						2,4							6	0	2	2
RO 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX		5												1	1	1	0
	NOR		1												1	1	1	1
	I/C		2,3,4 6,8, 10							2,3,7 8,9					11	4	4	2
	MAJ		7						6						2	2	2	1
	TS														0	0	2	2

Instructions:

1. Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an Instant SRO *additionally* serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.
2. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
3. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

Facility: Susquehanna			Date of Examination: 1/17/12			Operating Test No.: 1						
Competencies	APPLICANTS											
	RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>			RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>			RO 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>			RO 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>		
	SCENARIO			SCENARIO			SCENARIO			SCENARIO		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
	SRO	SRO	ATC	SRO	ATC	SRO	ATC	BOP		ATC		BOP
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	2-6,8-11	3-9	1,2,4,5,8	2-6,8-11	4,6,9	1-9	2,4-8,10	3-5,7-9		2,4-8,10		2,3,5-9
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	2-6,8-11	2-7,9,10	1,2,4,5,8	2-6,8-11	1,2,4,6,9	1-9	2,4-8,10	3,5,7-9		2,4-8,10		2,3,5-9
Operate Control Boards (2)			1,2,4,8,9		1,2,4,6,9		2,4-8,10	3,5,7-9		2,4-8,10		2,3,5-9
Communicate and Interact	1-11	1-10	1,2,4,8,9	1-11	1,2,4,6,9	1-9	2,4-8,10	3,5,7-9		2,4-8,10		2,3,5-9
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	2-11	2-10		2-11		1-9						
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	2,3,4,6	3,4,7		2,3,4,6		2,4						
Notes: (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.												

Instructions:

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Facility: Susquehanna		Date of Exam:		Exam Level: RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO <input type="checkbox"/>		
Item Description				Initial		
				a	b*	c#
1.	Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.			MPP	N/A	JA
2.	a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.			MPP	N/A	JA
3.	SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401			MPP	N/A	JA
4.	The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).			MPP	N/A	JA
5.	Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently; or <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)			MPP	N/A	JA
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New	MPP	N/A
		15/10	18/6	42/9		
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory	C/A		MPP	N/A
		34/5	41/20			
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.			MPP	N/A	JA
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.			MPP	N/A	JA
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.			MPP	N/A	JA
11.	The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.			MPP	N/A	JA
a. Author		Printed Name / Signature			Date	
b. Facility Reviewer (*)		Chris Lilly <i>Chris Lilly</i> Manan Patel <i>Manan Patel</i>			1/5/12	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)		N/A			1/6/12	
d. NRC Regional Supervisor		John Carruso <i>John Carruso</i> Donald E. Jackson <i>Donald E. Jackson</i>			1/10/12	
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.						

Facility: Susquehanna Units 1&2				Date of Exam: 1/23/2012		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		SRO <input type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description		Initials							
		a	b	c					
1.	Clean answer sheets copied before grading	RM	m	yl					
2.	Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	RM	m	yl					
3.	Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	RM	m	yl					
4.	Grading for all borderline cases (80 \pm 2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, \pm 4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	RM	m	yl					
5.	All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	RM	m	yl					
6.	Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	RM	m	yl					

Printed Name/Signature		Date
a. Grader	ROBERT A. THOMPSON <i>RM</i>	1/31/2012
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	M. Jacopetti <i>M. Jacopetti</i>	06 Feb 12
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	J. Caluso <i>J. Caluso</i>	2/21/12
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	DONALD JACKSON <i>Donald Jackson</i>	2/21/12

(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.

Facility: Susquehanna Units 1&2		Date of Exam: 1/23/2012		Exam Level: RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description		Initials			
		a	b	c	
2.	Clean answer sheets copied before grading	RH	MS	JH	
2.	Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	RH	MS	JH	
3.	Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	RH	MS	JH	
4.	Grading for all borderline cases (80 \pm 2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, \pm 4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	RH	MS	JH	
5.	All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	RH	MS	JH	
6.	Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	RH	MS	JH	
Printed Name/Signature		Date			
a. Grader	ROBERT A. THOMPSON RH/TH	1/31/2012			
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	M. Jacopeth mjacopeth	06 Feb 12			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	J. Crumsey JACRUMSEY	2/21/12			
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	DONALD JACKSON / DJACKSON	2/21/12			
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					