

**DOE/ID-10742 (2011)**

**Annual Radiological Environmental Monitoring  
Program Report for the Fort St. Vrain  
Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation**

**J. R. Newkirk  
F. J. Borst, CHP**

**Published February 2012**

**Idaho National Laboratory  
Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center  
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415**

**Prepared for the  
U. S. Department of Energy  
Assistant Secretary for  
Environmental Management  
Under DOE Idaho Operations Office  
Contract DE-AC07-05ID14516**

## **ABSTRACT**

This report presents the results of the 2011 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program conducted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.44 for the Fort St. Vrain Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation. A description of the facility and the monitoring program is provided. The results of monitoring the predominant radiation exposure pathway, direct radiation exposure, indicate the facility operation has not contributed to any increase in the estimated maximum potential dose commitment to the general public.

## **SUMMARY**

The purpose of this report is to present the results of the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) conducted during 2011 for the Fort St. Vrain (FSV) Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI). The results of the thermoluminescent dosimetry network did not indicate an increase in radiation levels above post-loading ambient background attributed to the facility operation. The monitoring program results support the conclusion reached in the Safety Analysis Report that operation of the facility will not result in a significant dose commitment greater than 0.15 mrem/y to the nearest resident.

## CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	ii
SUMMARY.....	iii
INTRODUCTION .....	1
PROGRAM DESCRIPTION.....	1
RESULTS .....	2
DISCUSSION .....	2
CONCLUSION.....	3
REFERENCES .....	3
ADDENDUM .....	4

## FIGURES

1. FSV ISFSI Radiological Environmental Monitoring Locations .....	1
--	---

## TABLES

1. FSV ISFSI Exposure Rates (mR/d).....	2
---	---

# Annual Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Report for the Fort St. Vrain Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation


## INTRODUCTION

The Fort St. Vrain (FSV) Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) is a spent fuel dry storage facility located near Platteville, Colorado. The FSV ISFSI is operated by CH2M - WG Idaho, LLC (CWI) for the Department of Energy (DOE). The FSV ISFSI is licensed (SNM-2504) by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) pursuant to 10 CFR 72 for authorization to store spent nuclear fuel from the Fort St. Vrain Nuclear Generating Station.<sup>1</sup> Spent fuel from the FSV reactor was transferred to the FSV ISFSI between December 26, 1991 and June 10, 1992. The FSV ISFSI license was transferred from Public Service Company of Colorado (PSCo) to the U.S. Department of Energy, Idaho Operations Office (DOE-ID) on June 4, 1999. A Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) has been implemented for the FSV ISFSI in accordance with 10 CFR 72.44. This report presents the REMP results for 2011.

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The REMP is designed to monitor the predominant radiation exposure pathway inherent with the facility design: direct radiation. The direct radiation exposure pathway is monitored using thermoluminescent dosimetry (TLD) located along the 100 meter perimeter fence of the FSV ISFSI. Monitoring locations are identified in Figure 1. A control station is located at the Weld County Sheriff Office in Greeley, Colorado, approximately 17 miles NNE from the FSV ISFSI. Twenty TLDs are located around the 100 meter perimeter fence to monitor direct radiation from the FSV ISFSI. One third of the perimeter fence TLDs are changed out and processed each month. The control station TLD is changed out and processed each month. TLD processing services are provided by the Idaho National Laboratory (INL).

**Figure 1. FSV ISFSI Radiological Environmental Monitoring Locations**



## RESULTS

TLD results for the FSV ISFSI are presented in Table 1 in units of mR/d. The mean exposure rate of 0.39 +/- 0.03 mR/d measured at the ISFSI perimeter fence is comparable to the pre-operational background exposure rate of 0.34 +/- 0.03 mR/d and is consistent with the five-year historical operation mean exposure rate of 0.39 +/- 0.05 mR/d last reported by Colorado State University (CSU).<sup>2,3</sup> Additionally, the control station TLD responses (0.35 +/- 0.02 mR/d) are consistent with historical values associated with the control station location. Therefore, both the perimeter fence and control TLD responses are consistent with historical values.

**Table 1. FSV ISFSI Exposure Rates (mR/d)**

Location	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL <sup>1</sup>	AUG <sup>2</sup>	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	Mean
I-1	0.39			0.43						0.37			0.40
I-2		0.43			0.38			0.41			0.39		0.40
I-3			0.39			0.42			0.39			0.36	0.39
I-4	0.38			0.45						0.36			0.40
I-5		0.47			0.39			0.38			0.39		0.41
I-6			0.38			0.40			0.36			0.35	0.37
I-7	0.36			0.44						0.35			0.38
I-8		0.47			0.39			0.35			0.39		0.40
I-9			0.39			0.41			0.39			0.35	0.39
I-10	0.39			0.45						0.38			0.41
I-11		0.46			0.39			0.39			0.39		0.41
I-12			0.38			0.41			0.37			0.36	0.38
I-13	0.40			0.42						0.36			0.39
I-14		0.43			0.38			0.34			0.37		0.38
I-15			0.38			0.39			0.39			0.36	0.38
I-16	0.39			0.46						0.37			0.41
I-17		0.42			0.38			0.41			0.36		0.39
I-18			0.37			0.39			0.36			0.35	0.37
I-19	0.39			0.43						0.35			0.39
I-20		0.43			0.36			0.38			0.37		0.39
Mean	0.39	0.44	0.38	0.44	0.38	0.40		0.38	0.38	0.36	0.38	0.36	0.39
Control	0.36	0.42	0.34	0.42	0.35	0.36		0.25	0.34	0.29	0.34	0.37	0.35

## DISCUSSION

The FSV ISFSI REMP was successfully implemented during 2011. As a result of exposure in transit, the set of data for the May – July monitoring period was unusable in determination of the mean exposure rate (see Table 1, footnote 1 above). Exposure results for periods over-lapping the May – July period did not indicate any unusual radiation levels. There were no sampling location changes. There were no other deviations from the established sampling schedule. The radiation dosimetry results indicate there has been no measurable increase in ambient background radiation levels beyond the FSV ISFSI perimeter fence attributed to storage of the FSV fuel. There were no radioactive liquid effluents released from the facility, hence no radionuclides to report. There are no sources of radioactive material that may become airborne during normal operations, hence no radionuclides to report.

<sup>1</sup> Dosimeters were exposed in transit. Compensation using mailing control dosimeters was not possible due to directional nature of the in transit exposure.

<sup>2</sup> Dosimeters were exposed in transit. In transit exposure was compensated for by using only the exposed mailing control dosimeters. While exposure appears to be somewhat directional, the values were consistent enough to use in calculation of the mean exposure rate.

In response to an increased frequency of exposure in transit, the method of shipping dosimeters between the facility and processing laboratory has been changed from air to ground. Additionally, controls are being evaluated to provide real time indication of exposure in transit (use of electronic dosimeters with the shipment) and to evaluate the directionality of in transit exposure (shipping dosimeters in a fixed array). Since implementing these controls, no instances of exposure in transit have occurred.

## CONCLUSION

Direct radiation exposure from the facility during 2011 did not contribute to any increase in the maximum potential dose commitment (0.15 mrem/y) to the nearest resident (located 797 meters from the ISFSI) projected in the FSV ISFSI Safety Analysis Report.<sup>4</sup>

## REFERENCES

1. 10 CFR 72, "Licensing Requirements for the Independent Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste," *Code of Federal Regulations*, Office of the Federal Register, August 1988.
2. Results of ISFSI Site Background Radiation Study, Department of Radiology and Radiation Biology, Colorado State University, November 2, 1990.
3. Fort St. Vrain Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (IREMP), Summary Report for the Period January 1 to December 31, 1997, Department of Radiological Health Sciences, Colorado State University, February 26, 1998.
4. Fort St. Vrain Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation Safety Analysis Report, Section 7.5, Estimated Offsite Collective Dose Assessment.
5. NRC Inspection Report 072-009/2011-001, March 30, 2011

## ADDENDUM

### REVISED 2009 RESULTS

During NRC inspection 072-009/2011-001<sup>5</sup>, similar instances of exposure in transit were identified for calendar year 2009. Corrective actions were taken to define how mailing controls may be used to account for exposure in transit. Additionally, the following table and discussion provide updated information resulting from implementation of these corrective actions.

Updated 2009 TLD results for the FSV ISFSI are presented in Table A.1 in units of mR/d. The mean exposure rate of 0.39 +/- .02 mR/d measured at the ISFSI perimeter fence is not statistically different from the pre-operational background exposure rate of 0.34 +/- 0.03 mR/d and is consistent with the five-year historical operation mean exposure rate of 0.39 +/- 0.05 mR/d last reported by Colorado State University (CSU).<sup>2,3</sup> Additionally, the control station TLD responses (0.35 +/- 0.02 mR/d) are consistent with historical values associated with the control station location. Therefore, both the perimeter fence and control TLD responses are consistent with historical values.

**Table A.1.** Revised FSV ISFSI Exposure Rates (mR/d)

Location	JAN	FEB	MAR <sup>3</sup>	APR <sup>4</sup>	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	Mean
I-1	0.43						0.38			0.39			0.40
I-2		0.40			0.42			0.37			0.39		0.40
I-3						0.43			0.38			0.39	0.40
I-4	0.42						0.38			0.40			0.40
I-5		0.41			0.41			0.39			0.41		0.41
I-6						0.40			0.36			0.38	0.38
I-7	0.41						0.36			0.37			0.38
I-8		0.41			0.41			0.37			0.40		0.40
I-9						0.42			0.38			0.40	0.40
I-10	0.42						0.36			0.39			0.39
I-11		0.42			0.43			0.36			0.40		0.40
I-12						0.41			0.37			0.39	0.39
I-13	0.42						0.36			0.37			0.38
I-14		0.39			0.40			0.36			0.39		0.39
I-15						0.38			0.37			0.40	0.38
I-16	0.42						0.36			0.38			0.39
I-17		0.40			0.41			0.36			0.39		0.39
I-18						0.38			0.37			0.38	0.38
I-19	0.42						0.36			0.38			0.39
I-20		0.40			0.39			0.36			0.37		0.38
Mean	0.42	0.40			0.41	0.40	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.38	0.39	0.39	0.39
Control	0.38	0.38			0.38	0.34	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.34	0.36	0.36	0.35

As a result of exposure in transit, the sets of data for the January – March and February – April monitoring periods were unusable in determination of the mean exposure rate (see Table A.1, footnote 1 above). Exposure results for periods over-lapping the January – April period did not indicate any unusual radiation levels.

<sup>3</sup> Dosimeters were exposed in transit. Compensation using mailing controls was not possible due to directional nature of the in transit exposure.

<sup>4</sup> Dosimeters were exposed in transit. Compensation using mailing controls was not possible due to directional nature of the in transit exposure.