

US-APWR Fukushima Evaluation

February 7, 2012

US-APWR DCWG

- Presentation Purpose and Objective
- Lessons from Fukushima
- US-APWR Hazard Design Bases
- US-APWR Fukushima Related Design Features
- US-APWR Strategy for Major Design-Related Fukushima Actions
- US-APWR DCD Licensing Strategy
- Conclusions

Presentation Purpose

To discuss US-APWR plans for addressing design-related safety enhancements in response to the Fukushima Near Term Task Force (NTTF) recommendations

Presentation Objective

Demonstrate that the US-APWR Design provides enhanced safety features and safety margin consistent with Commission policy statement for advanced reactors and NTTF recommendations

Lessons from Fukushima


Summary of Events



- Earthquake strikes Japan
 - 14:46 Japan Standard Time on March 11, 2011
 - Magnitude 9.0
 - Epicenter approximately 180 km from Fukushima Dai-ichi
- Grid is lost
 - Operating Units trip
 - EDGs start as designed (one EDG on Unit 4 was out for maintenance)
 - ESF appear to operate properly
- Approximately 40 min later, plant is struck by tsunami
 - All AC power lost – Units 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
 - All DC power lost – Units 1 and 2
 - 1 air cooled EDG on Unit 6 continued to function

Source: INPO 11-005, Special Report on the Nuclear Accident at the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station

Fukushima Flood Level



- Earthquake – Loss of Offsite Power
 - Operating plants trip as designed
 - Available EDGs start and load as designed
- Tsunami
 - Loss of Ultimate Heat Sink
 - Total loss of AC power (Units 1, 2, 3, 4, & 5*)
 - Loss of DC power (Units 1 & 2)
 - Loss of core cooling (Units 1, 2, & 3)
 - Disruption of communication systems
 - Loss of monitoring capability

* Power was restored to Unit 5 from the Unit 6 operating air-cooled EDG

US-APWR Hazard Design Bases

US-APWR Design Bases for External Hazards

	US-APWR DCD	CPNPP 3 and 4	North Anna Unit 3
Power Block Grade Elevation	2 ft- 7 in	822 ft	290 ft
Maximum Flood Level (Local probable maximum precipitant(PMP))	1 ft below grade	1.1 ft below grade	1.1 ft below grade
Maximum Groundwater Level	1 ft below grade	1 ft below grade	5.6 ft below grade
Tornado a. Max. Wind Speed b. Translational Speed c. Max. Rotational Speed d. Radius of Max. Rotation Speed e. Pressure Drop f. Rate of Pressure Drop	a. 230 mph b. 46 mph c. 184 mph d. 150 ft e. 1.2 psi f. 0.5 psi/s	a. 230 mph b. 46 mph c. 184 mph d. 150 ft e. 1.2 psi f. 0.5 psi/s	a. 200 mph b. 40 mph c. 160 mph d. 150 ft e. 0.9 psi f. 0.4 psi/s
Seismic bases	0.3 g horizontal and vertical	0.1 g horizontal and vertical	> 0.3 g horizontal and vertical

US-APWR Fukushima Related Design Features

US-APWR Current Design Features (1/4)



System/ Equipment	Specification	Design Features	Design Basis		
			Safety Class	Seismic Category	Location
Containment Protection Systems	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Containment Spray/Residual Heat Removal System 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4 independent trains 50% capacity per train Loss of any two trains will not compromise safety function Four interconnected concentric spray rings located at different elevations to ensure reliable coverage 	Safety Related	Seismic Category I	Reactor Building /PCCV (Seismic Category 1)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Combustible Gas Control 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 20 H₂ igniters distributed throughout containment Automatic or manual operation 	Non- Safety Related	Non-Seismic	PCCV (Seismic Category 1)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Containment Vent 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pre-stressed concrete containment vessel with large internal volume Venting not necessary even for severe accident 	Safety Related	Seismic Category I	PCCV (Seismic Category 1)

US-APWR Current Design Features (2/4)



System/ Equipment	Specification	Design Features	Design Basis		
			Safety Class	Seismic Category	Location
Emergency AC Power Source (EPS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Emergency Gas Turbine Generators (EPS GTG 4500 kW) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Air-cooled – UHS independent 4 independent trains 7-day supply of fuel for each GTG Located above design basis flood level Automatically started and loaded on LOOP 	Class 1E	Seismic Category I	Power Source Buildings (Seismic Category I)
Alternate AC Power Source (AAC)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alternate Power Sources (AAC GTG 4000 kW) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dual systems Air-cooled – UHS independent 7-day supply of fuel per GTG Located above design basis flood level Automatically started upon LOOP and manually loaded if EPS fails 	Non-Class 1E	Non Seismic	Power Source Buildings (Seismic Category I)

US-APWR Current Design Features (3/4)



System/ Equipment	Specification	Design Features	Design Basis		
			Safety Class	Seismic Category	Location
Direct Current Power Supply	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4 dc batteries • 4 battery chargers • 2 installed spare battery chargers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supported by AACs during SBO • Battery capacity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 2 hours per train 	Class 1E	Seismic Category I	Power Source Buildings (Seismic Category I)
Emergency Feedwater System	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2 M/D EFW Pumps • 2 T/D EFW Pumps • 2 EFW Pits 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redundant T/D-EFW Pumps capable of manual operation (power independent). • 2 EFW Pits (482,000 gallons total) can supply water to EFW Pumps for 24 hours to maintain hot stand-by (The Demineralized Water tank is an available back-up -- 500,000 gallons: 2-days equivalent, non-safety, non-seismic) 	Safety-related	Seismic Category I	Reactor Building (Seismic Category I)

US-APWR Current Design Features (4/4)



System/ Equipment	Specification	Design Features	Design Basis		
			Safety Class	Seismic Category	Location
Ultimate Heat Sink	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Mechanical Draft Cooling Towers• Water Supply Basins	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• [4] 50% capacity mechanical draft cooling towers• Loss of any two towers will not compromise safety function• [4] 33⅓% water basins• Minimum 30 day water supply• Not shared between units	Safety Related	Seismic Category I	Site Specific (Seismic Category 1)

US-APWR Strategy for Major Design-Related Fukushima Action Items

(1) 8-hr Coping

a. Operational Strategy

- Use a T/D EFW Pump for core cooling (water source: EFW Pit)
- Manually align AAC to reenergize essential SSCs including DC power systems within 1 hour of SBO

b. Design Enhancement

- AAC GTGs will undergo additional seismic testing to enhance safety margin
- Enhance protection of essential SSCs from beyond design basis external flood by addition of water-tight features to one floor above the DB flood level

c. RCP Seals Safety Margin

- Test RCP Seals to validate seal integrity during SBO without seal cooling capability for a minimum of 8 hrs to ensure safety margin

(2) 72-hr Coping

a. Operational Strategy

- Use a T/D EFW Pump for core cooling (two EFW Pits and a Demineralized Water Tank as water sources)
- Continue use of a diverse AAC to supply essential SSCs


b. Design Enhancement

- Same as 8-hr coping

(3) Extended Coping

- Install additional permanent connections to external portable water pump for the EFWS
- Minimum specifications will be established for required external resources (portable pumps, water sources, etc. – to be supplied by licensee)

Core Cooling Timeline for SBO



AAC start automatically.

US-APWR Strategy (Loss of UHS)



- T/D EFW Pump for core cooling through SGs (two EFW Pits and a Demineralized Water Tank as water sources)
- An AAC GTG can supply power to battery chargers
- An AAC GTG can supply power to a Charging pump (CHP) for RCP seal cooling
- Cooling water can be supplied to CHP by Fire Protection System (FPS)

7.1 SFP Instrumentation

- Intent of NTTF recommendation already met by current design
 - Two safety-related SFP level instruments and one non safety-related SFP level instrument with high accuracy
 - Two safety-related SFP water temperature instruments
 - One non safety-related SFP radiation area monitor

7.2 SFP Makeup System

- Intent of NTTF recommendation already met by current design
- SFP makeup system
 - Water source
 - Refueling Water Storage Pit (safety-related)
 - One Refueling Water Storage Auxiliary Tank (non safety-related)
 - Transfer

Two Refueling Water Pumps (safety-related) to supply water from RWSP or RWSAT to SFP
- Back-up
 - EFWP water can be fed by gravity to SFP as backup

7.4 Spray Water into SFP

- Implement as recommended by NTTF
(design enhancement)
 - Two seismic Category I spray water lines to SFP

- Current Situation
 - Awaiting criteria for “extended beyond-design-basis events”
 - Awaiting criteria for new vs. existing plants
 - Regulatory Uncertainty for resolving issues related to extended beyond-design-basis events
 - US-APWR design has design attributes that provide differing and varied capabilities

- US-APWR design attributes provide capability for coping with “extended beyond-design-basis events” of loss of AC and loss of AAC for an extended period
 - Water Inventory
 - RCP Seals
 - Steam Driven Emergency Feedwater pumps
- Additional minor design changes for FLEX coping will be evaluated to ensure NRC requirements are met

US-APWR design has robust capability for coping with Extended Beyond-Design-Basis Events

Licensing Strategy

Licensing Action Plan

- Describe Capability of US-APWR Design
- Provide Technical Report and DCD change markups describing enhancements (December 2012)
- Address RAIs and complete NRC review prior to Phase 4 (Issuance of SER with no Open Items)

Needed NRC Support

- Provide a focused review of Fukushima related issues
- Conduct review of MHI response to Fukushima Task Force Recommendations as part of ongoing DCD review
- Provide review independently of any other anticipated rulemaking in order to maintain current review schedule

Conclusions

Conclusions

- The US-APWR design provides enhanced safety features and safety margin
- Additional design enhancements will be made to the US-APWR standard design to provide assurance of maintaining reactor safety margins during “extended beyond-design-basis events”
- The US-APWR design has substantial SBO capability and can withstand “extended beyond-design-basis events” similar to Fukushima Dai-ichi
- MHI believes US-APWR “Fukushima-like” event mitigation capability can be certified as part of the DCD Rulemaking