

2011-0083

12

**RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF
INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY
ACT (PA) REQUEST**RESPONSE
TYPE ☐ FINAL ☒ PARTIAL

REQUESTER

Dr. Edwin Lyman

DATE

DEC 28 2011

PART I. -- INFORMATION RELEASED

- ☐ No additional agency records subject to the request have been located.
- ☐ Requested records are available through another public distribution program. See Comments section.
- ☒ APPENDICES
S Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the listed appendices are already available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room.
- ☒ APPENDICES
T, U Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the listed appendices are being made available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room.
- ☐ Enclosed is information on how you may obtain access to and the charges for copying records located at the NRC Public Document Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-2738.
- ☒ APPENDICES
T, U Agency records subject to the request are enclosed.
- ☐ Records subject to the request that contain information originated by or of interest to another Federal agency have been referred to that agency (see comments section) for a disclosure determination and direct response to you.
- ☒ We are continuing to process your request.
- ☐ See Comments.

PART I.A -- FEES

AMOUNT *

\$ * See comments
for details☐

You will be billed by NRC for the amount listed.

☐

None. Minimum fee threshold not met.

☐

You will receive a refund for the amount listed.

☐

Fees waived.

PART I.B -- INFORMATION NOT LOCATED OR WITHHELD FROM DISCLOSURE

- ☐ No agency records subject to the request have been located.
- ☒ Certain information in the requested records is being withheld from disclosure pursuant to the exemptions described in and for the reasons stated in Part II.
- ☒ This determination may be appealed within 30 days by writing to the FOIA/PA Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Clearly state on the envelope and in the letter that it is a "FOIA/PA Appeal."

PART I.C COMMENTS (Use attached Comments continuation page if required)

The incoming request is in ADAMS at ML110400354.

SIGNATURE - FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT AND PRIVACY ACT OFFICER

Donna L. Sealing

**RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION
ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY ACT (PA) REQUEST**

2011-0083

DEC 28 2011

PART II.A -- APPLICABLE EXEMPTIONSAPPENDICES
U

Records subject to the request that are described in the enclosed Appendices are being withheld in their entirety or in part under the Exemption No.(s) of the PA and/or the FOIA as indicated below (5 U.S.C. 552a and/or 5 U.S.C. 552(b)).

- ☐ Exemption 1: The withheld information is properly classified pursuant to Executive Order 12958.
- ☐ Exemption 2: The withheld information relates solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of NRC.
- ☐ Exemption 3: The withheld information is specifically exempted from public disclosure by statute indicated.
- ☐ Sections 141-145 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Restricted Data or Formerly Restricted Data (42 U.S.C. 2161-2165).
- ☐ Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Unclassified Safeguards Information (42 U.S.C. 2167).
- ☐ 41 U.S.C., Section 253b, subsection (m)(1), prohibits the disclosure of contractor proposals in the possession and control of an executive agency to any person under section 552 of Title 5, U.S.C. (the FOIA), except when incorporated into the contract between the agency and the submitter of the proposal.
- ☐ Exemption 4: The withheld information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated.
- ☐ The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information.
- ☐ The information is considered to be proprietary because it concerns a licensee's or applicant's physical protection or material control and accounting program for special nuclear material pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(1).
- ☐ The information was submitted by a foreign source and received in confidence pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(2).
- ☐ Disclosure will harm an identifiable private or governmental interest.
- ☐ Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of interagency or intraagency records that are not available through discovery during litigation. Applicable privileges:
- ☐ Deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the deliberative process. Where records are withheld in their entirety, the facts are inextricably intertwined with the predecisional information. There also are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry into the predecisional process of the agency.
- ☐ Attorney work-product privilege. (Documents prepared by an attorney in contemplation of litigation)
- ☐ Attorney-client privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client)
- ☒ Exemption 6: The withheld information is exempted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.
- ☐ Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated.
- ☐ (A) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direction, and focus of enforcement efforts, and thus could possibly allow recipients to take action to shield potential wrong doing or a violation of NRC requirements from investigators).
- ☐ (C) Disclosure could constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.
- ☐ (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to reveal identities of confidential sources.
- ☐ (E) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law.
- ☐ (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual.
- ☒ OTHER (Specify)
Outside of Scope

PART II.B -- DENYING OFFICIALS

Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for any denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO).

DENYING OFFICIAL	TITLE/OFFICE	RECORDS DENIED	APPELLATE OFFICIAL		
			EDO	SECY	IG
Dr. Brian W. Sheron	Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research	Appendix U	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Appeal must be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of this response. Appeals should be mailed to the FOIA/Privacy Act Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, for action by the appropriate appellate official(s). You should clearly state on the envelope and letter that it is a "FOIA/PA Appeal."

APPENDIX S
RECORDS ALREADY PUBLICLY AVAILABLE

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ACCESSION NUMBER</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)</u>
1.	03/05/08	ML080640924	Minutes of the 548 th ACRS Meeting, December 6-8, 2007; Advisory committee on Reactor Safeguards. (294 pages)

**APPENDIX T
RECORDS BEING RELEASED IN THEIR ENTIRETY**

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)</u>
1.	03/26/10	E-mail from K. O'Donohue, EDO to J. Andersen, EDO; Subject: FW: Request: Public Availability of SECYs and SRMS concerning SOARCA. (4 pages)
2.	05/27/10	E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to B. Sheron, RES; Subject: Response – Periodics with Chairman Jazcko and Commissioner Apostolakis. (2 pages)
3.	09/24/10	E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to B. Sheron, RES; Subject: Response – Periodic with Commissioner Ostendorff. (1 page)
4.	Undated	Pre-Decisional – Internal Draft Document; SOARCA/Level 3 PRA CA Note. (2 pages)
5.	10/05/10	E-mail from D. Coe, RES to P. Santiago, RES, et al; Subject: RE: CA Note_SOARCA-Lvl3_BS(2). (4 pages)
6.	10/06/10	E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to A. Frazier, EDO; Subject: RESPONSE – Slides for Oct. 6 th Briefing of Commission Magwood on SOARCA. (1 page)
7.	10/07/10	E-mail from G. Bowman, EDO to D. Hudson, RES; Subject: RE: Information; SOARCA AC Note and Cmr Magwood Briefing Slides. (1 page)
8.	10/05/10	Note to Commissioners' Assistants, From N. Mamish, EDO; Subject: State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analysis Study and the Proposed New Level 3 Probabilistic Risk Analysis. (3 pages)
9.	11/08/10	E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to G. Bowman, EDO; Subject: RESPONSE – Periodic with Chairman Jazcko. (2 pages)
10.	11/24/10	E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to B. Sheron, RES; Subject: RESPONSE – QHOs and cancer study. (1 page)
11.	12/01/10	E-mail from J. Yerokun, RES to T. Gosh, RES, et al; Subject: RE: RESPONSE – SOARCA Brief Followup Question. (2 pages)
12.	12/17/10	E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to B. Sheron, RES; Subject: RESPONSE – Periodic with Commissioner Svinicki. (1 page)
13.	12/23/10	E-mail from G. Bowman, EDO to D. Hudson, RES; Subject: RE: Response: Level 3 PRA, SOARCA, and Cancer Risk Study Tracking Graphics. (1 page)

14. 01/24/11 E-mail from G. Bowman, EDO to J. Andersen, EDO; Subject: FW: Extension Request – WITS 200700117 & 200800373. (2 pages)
15. 01/24/11 E-mail from J. Uhle, RES to G. Bowman, EDO; Subject: RE: Extension Request – WITS 200700117 & 200800373. (2 pages)
16. 01/25/11 E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to B. Sheron, RES; Subject: RESPONSE – Periodic with Commissioner Apostolakis. (2 pages)
17. 01/28/11 E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to G. Bowman, EDO; Subject: Response – SOARCA. (1 page)
18. 01/29/11 E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to B. Sheron, RES; Subject: RESPONSE – Periodic with commissioner Svinicki. (1 page)
19. 01/31/11 E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to B. Sheron, RES; Subject: RESPONSE – Periodic with Commissioner Magwood. (1 page)
20. 02/07/11 E-mail from G. Bowman, EDO to R. Chang, RES; Subject: RE: SOARCA Question. (1 page)
21. 02/07/11 E-mail from G. Bowman to R. Chang, RES; Subject: RE: SOARCA Question. (2 pages)
22. 01/28/10 Letter from B. Sheron, Director, RES to USNRC Chariman Jazcko, and Commissioner's Klein and Svinicki; Subject: Revised Communication Plan and Project Schedule for State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses. (16 pages)
23. 04/02/10 Letter from B. Sheron, Director, RES to USNRC Chariman Jazcko, and Commissioner's Svinicki, Apostolakis, Magwood and Ostendorff; Subject: Update on the State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses. (2 pages)
24. 10/05/10 Note to Commissioners' Assistants from N. Mamish, OEDO; Subject: State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analysis Study and the Proposed New Level 3 Probabilistic Risk Analysis. (3 pages)
25. 09/03/10 Slide Presentation: State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analysis (SOARCA), Semi-Annual Briefing for the Commission Technical Assistants, w/handwritten notes. (6 pages)
26. 10/06/10 Slide Presentation: State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analysis (SOARCA); Briefing for commissioners Magwood and Ostendorff. (43 pages)
27. 09/15/06 Scenario Selection Summary. (7 pages)
28. 05/14/07 State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses Steering Committee Request; concerning Dose Threshold Assessment. (6 pages)

29. Undated Question and Response No. 10: How to conduct radiation impact assessment under accident condition in siting phase? How to make hypothesis of accident source term? Do we need to consider factors like spraying system failure. (2 pages)
30. 01/31/08 Letter from L. Reyes, EDO for The Commissioners; Subject: State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses – Reporting Offsite Health Consequences. (15 pages)
31. 03/04/08 Letter from L. Reyes, EDO for The Commissioners; Subject: State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses – Reporting Offsite Health Consequences. (27 pages)
32. 05/20/08 Letter from R. Borchardt, EDO to W. Shack, Chairman, ACRS; Subject: Sequence Selection Approach for the State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses Project. (2 pages)
33. 09/08/08 E-mail from J. Schaperow, RES to J. Lee, NRO; Subject: FW: Emailing: CHMN Klein response to COMPBL-08-0002 economic question. (1 page)
34. 03/09 Don Dube Comments; SOARCA SECY and Associated Enclosures. (3 pages)
35. 03/09/09 RES Response Comments; Don Dube Comments: SOARCA SECY and Associated Enclosures. (4 pages)
36. 06/29/09 E-mail from D. Helton, NRR to J. Schaperow, RES, et al; Subject: Input for a paper on international Level 2 PRA and AM activities. (7 pages)
37. 03/18/10 Briefing Slides –State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses, Semi-Annual Briefing for Commission TAs. (13 pages)
38. 01/19/11 E-mail from C. Ader, NRO to M. Johnson, NRO; Subject: FW: Action: YT-2011-0003” Request Parallel concurrence on document: “Agency Long-Term Research Activities for Fiscal Year 2013.” (12 pages)
39. Undated State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses (SOARCA); Executive Summary for the Full NUREG for Peach Bottom and Surry. (17 pages)
40. Undated Communication Plan for the State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses (DRAFT – Revision 3). (11 pages)
41. Undated Assessment of Latent Health Effects Attributable to Ionizing Radiation and Public Communication of Offsite Consequences. (7 pages)
42. Undated Options for Scenario Selection Implementation. (6 pages)
43. 12/05/06 Steering Committee Request; Subject: Threshold Below Which Physiological Effects from Radiation in a Human Population Cannot be Detected. (2 pages)
44. 02/14/07 Notes for Commissioner Merrifield Periodic. (1 page)

45. 06/21/07 Slides –State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses - Commissioner TA Briefing. (17 pages)
46. 07/10/07 Slides –State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses (SOARCA) – ACRS Regulatory Policy and Practices Sub-Committee Meeting. (17 pages)
47. 07/03/07 Memorandum to L. Reyes, EDO from B. Sheron, RES; Subject: Summary of the Probabilistic Risk Assessment Steering Committee Public Meeting. (4 pages)
48. 07/27/07 State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses Planned Activities for CY 2007. (8 pages)
49. Undated State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses (SOARCA); Message outlining NEI's concerns regarding availability of information on the SOARC project. (1 page)
50. Undated State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses, Current Status and Next Steps. (2 pages)
51. Undated State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses, Staff Interface with Stakeholders. (4 pages)
52. 02/25/08 JCN N6569 Letter Report; Advanced PRA Methods R&D Plan Assessment, February 2008 Letter Report, prepared by Sandia National Laboratories for NRC. (72 pages)
53. 11/05/08 SOARCA Comparison to NUREG-1150, RES/DRA. (3 pages)
54. Undated Interagency Technical Evaluation Paper for Section 127(f) of the Bioterrorism Act of 2002. (2 pages)
55. 07/03/07 Letter from H. Nourbakhsh, ACRS to W. Shack, Chairman, USNRC Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, Subject: Status Report for the Meeting of the Subcommittee on Regulatory Policies and Practices, July 10, 2007, in Rockville, Maryland. (5 pages)
56. 11/10/07 Letter from H. Nourbakhsh, ACRS to W. Shack, Chairman, USNRC Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, Subject: Status Report for the Meeting of the Subcommittee on Regulatory Policies and Practices, November 16, 2007, in Rockville, Maryland. (10 pages)
57. 06/16/10 Letter from H. Nourbakhsh, ACRS to W. Shack, Chairman, USNRC Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, Subject: Status Report for the Meeting of the Subcommittee on Regulatory Policies and Practices, June 21, 2010, in Rockville, Maryland. (13 pages)
58. 03/06/09 E-mail from J. Vail, NRR to J. Grobe, NRR; Subject: FW: Action: SOARCA-SECY Concurrence by 3/5/09. (3 pages)

59. 01/11/08 E-mail from J. Dehmel, NRC to D. Damon, NMSS; Subject: Re: SOARCA. (1 page)
60. 08/17/10 E-mail from B. Sheron, RES to J. Giesner, RES, et al; Subject: RE: SOARCA feedback. (1 page)
61. 09/23/10 E-mail from J. Yerokun, RES to J. Lara, Region III; Subject: RE: SOARCA Briefing at Inspector Counterpart Meetings. (4 pages)
62. 01/11/08 E-mail from R. Pedersen, NRR to D. Damon, NMSS; Subject: Re: SOARCA. (1 page)
63. 08/18/10 E-mail from J. Vail, NRR to W. Schmidt, Region I; Subject: RE: SOARCA Exec Summary Draft. (1 page)
64. 03/11/09 Slides – RIC: 2009 State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analysis (SOARCA), NRR. (39 pages)
65. 12/04/07 E-mail from R. Sullivan, NSIR to D. Cool, FSME; Subject: Latest Draft of Paper. (10 pages)
66. Undated Draft paper for the Commissioners from L. Reyes, EDO; Subject: State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses- Reporting Latent Cancer Fatalities. (12 pages)
67. 01/11/08 E-mail from C. Jones, NSIR to D. Damon, NMSS, et al; Subject: Re: SOARCA. (9 pages)
68. 01/15/08 E-mail from B. Dean, NSIR to E. Leeds, NRR, et al; Subject: Re: Individual Risk Focused Approach. (2 pages)
69. 01/29/08 E-mail from P. Milligan, NSIR to D. Damon, NMSS, et al; Subject: Re: SOARCA SECY Paper. (2 pages)
70. 01/30/08 E-mail from C. Jones, NSIR to D. Damon, NMSS; Subject: Re: SOARCA SECY Paper. (2 pages)
71. 07/23/10 E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to J. Giessner, Region III; Subject: Response –Thoughts on next steps SOARCA for Briefing the Commission. (1 page)
72. 08/13/10 E-mail from J. Andersen, NRR to J. Giessner, Region III; Subject: SOARCA Paper. (1 page)
73. 09/03/10 E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to J. Giessner, Region III; Subject: Response –SOARCA TA Brief. (1 page)
74. 09/14/10 E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to J. Giessner, Region III; Subject: Response –Periodic Meeting with Commissioner Ostendorff. (1 page)
75. 09/21/10 E-mail from ExtensionRequest, EDO to K. Johnson, RES; Subject: RE: Extension Request –WITS 200700117 & WITS 200800373. (1 page)

76. 10/06/10 E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to K. Brock, EDO; Subject: Response – SOARCA brief. (1 page)
77. 04/14/06 Memorandum from K. Hart, SECY to L. Reyes, EDO; Subject: Staff Requirements –SECY-05-0233 -Plan for Developing State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses. (3 pages)
78. 04/02/07 Memorandum from E. Brenner, OPA to L. Reyes, EDO; Staff Requirements –COMSECY-06-0064 - State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analysis Communication Plan. (2 pages)
79. 03/29/10 E-mail from J. Andersen, EDO to K. O'Donohue, EDO; Subject: RE: Request: Public Availability of SECYs and SRMS concerning SOARCA. (2 pages)
80. 08/25/10 E-mail from K. O'Donohue, EDO to J. Andersen, EDO; Subject: FW: Request: Public Availability of SECYs and SRMS concerning SOARCA. (2 pages)
81. 10/14/10 E-mail from G. Bowman, EDO to P. Shea, SECY; Subject: RE: Status of Extension Request. (1 page)
82. 01/28/11 E-mail from G. Bowman, EDO to M. Franovich, OCM; Subject: RE: SOARCA (1 page)
83. 02/07/11 E-mail from M. Franovich, OCM to G. Bowman, EDO; Subject: RE: FYI – Early Fatality Definition. (1 page)
84. 01/30/08 E-mail from C. Jones, NSIR to D. Damon, NMSS; Subject: Re: SOARCA SECY Paper. (2 pages)
85. Undated Surry NUREG – 1150, Master List of Sequences (Based on LLNL Seismic Hazard Curve). (23 pages)

APPENDIX U
RECORDS BEING WITHHELD IN PART

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)/EXEMPTIONS</u>
1.	08/20/10	E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to B. Sheron, RES; Subject: Response – Strategy for Release of SOARCA report. (3 pages) / Portions Outside of Scope
2.	09/24/10	E-mail from P. Shea, SECY to S. Cianci, EDO; Subject: FW: Extension Request – WITS 200700117 & WITS 200800373. (2 pages) / Portion Outside of Scope
3.	10/07/10	E-mail from G. Bowman, EDO to P. Santiago, RES; Subject: RE: Update to SOARCA Comm Plan. (1 page) / EX 6
4.	10/13/10	E-mail from G. Bowman, EDO to J. Andersen, EDO; Subject: RE: Reply Requested (by COB 10/13/10) Items Coming to the Commission for Action/Vote). (3 pages) / Portions Outside of Scope
5.	10/25/10	E-mail from G. Bowman, EDO to P. Santiago, RES; Subject: RE: Status (1 page) / Portions Outside of Scope
6.	10/29/10	E-mail from NRC Daily Notes [EDO Group Account] to EDO Group Account; Subject: New NRC Daily Notes for October 29, 2010. (2 pages) / Portions Outside of Scope
7.	11/03/10	E-mail from P. Shea, SECY to Extension Request; EDO; Subject: RE: Extension Request WITS 201000119/SECY-2010-0166 – Provide the Commission Options for Proceeding on Future Uses for Level 3 (PRAs). (2 pages) / Portion Outside of Scope
8.	11/08/10	E-mail from G. Bowman, EDO to P. Santiago, RES; Subject: RE:. (1 page) / Portion Outside of Scope
9.	11/19/10	E-mail from G. Bowman to P. Santiago, RES; Subject: RE: FYI – Extension Requests. (1 page) / Portions Outside of Scope, EX 6
10.	11/30/10	E-mail from T. Gosh, RES to G. Bowman, EDO; Subject: RE: SOARCA. (1 page) / Portion Outside of Scope
11.	12/01/10	E-mail from P. Santiago, RES to G. Bowman, EDO, et al; Subject: Re: RESPONSE – SOARCA Brief Followup Question. (1 page) / EX 6
12.	01/28/11	E-mail from B. Sheron, RES to G. Bowman, EDO; Subject: RE: SOARCA. (2 pages) / Portion Outside of Scope
13.	10/05/10	E-mail from A. Frazier, EDO to C. Davis, RES; Subject: RE: CA Note SOARCA-Lvl 3 BS (2).docx. (3 pages) / EX 6

14. 01/06/11 E-mail from G. Bowman, EDO to T. Zaki, RES; Subject: RE: List of Items Coming to the Commission. (2 pages) / **Portions Outside of Scope**
15. 02/01/11 E-mail from P. Santiago, RES to G. Bowman, EDO; Subject: RE: SOARCA. (2 pages) / **Portions Outside of Scope**
16. 02/08/11 E-mail from G. Bowman, EDO to J. Dion, RES; Subject: RE: Reply Requested (by COB 2/10/11): Items Coming to the Commission for Action/Vote. (4 pages) / **Portion Outside of Scope**
17. 10/21/10 E-mail from One-Week Look Ahead [EDO.GroupAccount@nrc.gov] to EDO GroupAccount; Subject: One-Week Look Ahead for October 21, 2010. (3 pages). / **Portions Outside of Scope**
18. 07/09/10 E-mail from E. Brenner, OPA to E. Brenner, OPA; Subject: Tomorrow's news tonight—read and delete. (1 page) / **Portion Outside of Scope**
19. 05/27/10 E-mail from E. Leeds, NRR to C. Haney, NMSS; Subject: Periodic with Commissioner Ostendorff. (2 pages) / **Portions Outside of Scope**
20. 09/13/10 E-mail from J. Yerokun, RES to A. Valentin, Region III; Subject: RE: INFO-FW: Response –Periodic Meeting with Commissioner Ostendorff. (3 pages). / **Portions Outside of Scope**
21. 08/05/10 E-mail from R. Bavol, NRO to J. Giessner, RES; Subject: RE: Response: Possible Future Commission Meetings. (3 pages) / **Portions Outside of Scope**
22. 08/05/08 E-mail from J. Mitchell, RES to D. Cool, FSME; Subject: FW: POC Comments. (3 pages) / **Portions Outside of Scope**
23. 07/21/10 E-mail from J. Hughey, NRR to F. Bower, Region I; Subject: RE: Emailing NukeRisk.7-11-2010.pdf. (2 pages) / **EX 6**
24. 07/30/10 E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to A. Frazier, EDO; Subject: RESPONSE – List of Possible Future Commission Meetings (due 8/2). (3 pages total, 1 page released) / **Portions Outside of Scope**
25. 08/05/10 E-mail from R. Bavol, SECY to J. Giessner, Region III; Subject: RE: RESPONSE: Possible Future Commission Meetings. (3 pages) / **Portions Outside of Scope**
26. 08/12/10 E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to B. Borchardt, EDO; Subject: FYI –Weekly Periodic with the Chairman. (2 pages) / **Portions Outside of Scope**
27. 08/18/10 E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to J. Giessner, Region III; Subject: Response –Info. (1 page) / **Portion Outside of Scope**
28. 08/20/10 E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to B. Sheron, RES, et al; Subject: Response –The Wall. (2 pages) / **Portions Outside of Scope**

29. 09/02/10 E-mail from D. Hills, Region III to J. Giessner, Region III; Subject: RE: D-B Comm Plan. (3 pages total, 2 pages released) / **Portions Outside of Scope**
30. 09/06/10 E-mail from C. Kasputys, EDO to S. Williams, EDO; Subject: Re: Request for green ticket –urgent. (2 pages) / **Portions Outside of Scope**
31. 09/08/10 E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to B. Sheron, RES; Subject: Response – SOARCA Brief for Commissioner Magwood. (3 pages) / **EX 6**
32. 09/23/10 E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to J. Giessner, Region III; Subject: Response –Friday. (1 page) / **Portion Outside of Scope**
33. 10/14/10 E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to J. Andersen, NSIR, et al; Subject: FYI – Periodic Meeting with Commissioner Ostendorff. (1 page) / **Portions Outside of Scope**
34. 08/20/10 E-mail from M. Weber, EDO to B. Sheron, RES; Subject: Response- Strategy for Release of SOARCA Report. (3 pages) / **Portions Outside of Scope**
35. 09/24/10 E-mail from P. Shea, SECY to S. Cianci, EDO; Subject: FW: Extension Request – WITS 200700117 & WITS 200800373. (2 pages) / **Portions Outside of Scope**
36. 10/13/10 E-mail from G. Bowman, EDO to J. Anderson, EDO; Subject: RE: Reply Requested (by COB 10/13/10): Items Coming to the Commission for Action/Vote. (3 pages) / **Portions Outside of Scope**
37. 11/03/10 E-mail from P. Shea, SECY to ExtensionRequest, EDO; Subject: RE: Extension Request WITS 201000119/SECY-2010-0166 –Provide the Commission Options for Proceeding on Future Uses for Level 3 (PRAs). (2 pages) / **Portion Outside of Scope**
38. 11/08/10 E-mail from G. Bowman, EDO to J. Anderson, EDO; Subject: RE: Reply Requested (by COB 11/10/10): Items Coming to the Commission for Action/Vote. (3 pages) / **Portions Outside of Scope**
39. 11/12/10 E-mail from P. Shea, SECY to G. Bowman, EDO; Subject: RE: Extension Request WITS 201000119/SECY-2010-0166 –Provide the Commission Options for Proceeding on Future Uses for Level 3 (PRAs) / **Portion Outside of Scope (2 pages)**
40. 11/19/10 E-mail from K. Brock, EDO to G. Bowman, EDO; Subject: RE: Extension Request –WITS 200700117 % WITS 200800373. (3 pages) / **Portions Outside of Scope**
41. 12/03/10 E-mail from G. Bowman, EDO to J. Anderson, EDO; Subject: RE: Reply Requested (by COB 12/3/10): Items Coming to the Commission for Action/Vote. (3 pages) / **Portions Outside of Scope**

42. 12/23/10 E-mail from G. Bowman, EDO to P. Shea, SECY; Subject: RE: Extension Request WITS 201000119/SECY-2010-0166 –Provide the Commission Options for Proceeding on Future Uses for Level 3 (PRAs) / **Portions Outside of Scope** (2 pages)
43. 01/07/11 E-mail from G. Bowman, EDO to J. Andersen, EDO; Subject: RE: Reply Requested (by COB 1/11/11): Items Coming to the commission for Action/Vote. (2 pages) / **Portions Outside of Scope**
44. 02/07/11 E-mail from G. Bowman, EDO to R. Laufer, SECY; Subject: RE: Revised date suggestions for SOARCA TA brief. (2 pages) / **Portions Outside of Scope**