

FROM Minnesota Pollution Control Agency Peter L. Gove, Exec. Dir. Roseville, Minn.	CONTROL NUMBER <div style="font-size: 2em; text-align: center;">9930</div> DATE OF DOCUMENT <div style="text-align: center;">3/2/76</div>	FILE LOCATION ACTION COMPLETION DEADLINE <div style="text-align: center;">3/25/76</div>
TO Chairman Anders	ACTION PROCESSING DATES Acknowledged _____ Interim Reply _____ Final _____	PREPARE FOR SIGNATURE OF: _____ Chairman _____ Director of Regulation <div style="text-align: center;">X Gossick</div>

DESCRIPTION Ltr <input type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Copy <input type="checkbox"/> Other Concern re safety of the Monticello and Prairie Island plants in view of resignation of Pollard and 3 GE engineers - req MPCA be kept informed of reactor safety problems, NRC actions & inspections of Minnesota reactors <div style="text-align: center;">SECY 76-1354</div>			REMARKS IE to provide input LPDR? <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;">Copy sent PDR</div>
REFERRED TO Rusche f/action	DATE 3/8/76		
IS NOTIFICATION TO THE JCAE RECOMMENDED? _____ Cys: Gossick Dircks Case Davis Docket Files) 50-263 ✓ PDR 50-282 50-306			

DO NOT DETACH THIS COPY

DIRECTOR OF REGULATION
COMMUNICATIONS CONTROLForm HQ-32 (1-73)
USAEC

No. **76-1354**Logging Date **3/5/76**

NRC SECRETARIAT

TO: ☐ Commissioner _____ Date **3/5**
☒ Exec. Dir./Oper. _____ ☐ Gen. Counsel
☐ Cong. Liaison _____ ☐ Solicitor
☐ Public Affairs _____ ☐ Secretary
☐ _____

Incoming: **Peter L. Gove, Executive Director**
From: **Minnesota Pollution Control Agency**

To: **Chairman Anders** Date **3/3**
Subject: **Requesting investigation of safety**
conditions surrounding Minnesota nuclear power plants.

☒ Prepare reply for signature of:

☐ Chairman

☐ Commissioner _____

☒ EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA, SECY **SUSPENSE: March 25**

☐ Signature block omitted

☐ _____

☐ Return original of incoming with response

☐ For direct reply*

☐ For appropriate action

☐ For information

☐ For recommendation

Rec'd Off. Dir.
Date **3/8/76**
Time **2:00**

Remarks: **Copy of incoming to Chairman Anders, Comrs.,**
PE, PA.


RF


For the Commission: 

*Send three (3) copies of reply to Secy Mail Facility

NRC-62

ACTION SLIP





Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

612-296-7301

March 2, 1976

The Honorable William A. Anders
Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555


Dear Mr. Anders:

I am greatly distressed by the fact that three GE engineers and one NRC engineer have resigned in protest over nuclear reactor safety deficiencies. Their acts raise serious doubt that the NRC has diligently and objectively probed and determined the safety and reliability of currently operating reactors. I am further distressed that the NRC has not explicitly disclosed the specific nature of the reactor safety issues raised by the resignees.

Within 50 miles of Minnesota's largest metropolitan area, which encompasses nearly two million people, there are three nuclear reactors at two sites, namely Monticello and Prairie Island. I firmly believe that the safety condition of these nuclear plants must be immediately determined. Therefore, I want to know whether in light of these recent events Monticello and Prairie Island will be inspected, when the inspections will occur, and specifically what will be inspected.

The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency has a responsibility to assess the degree of hazard posed by nuclear reactors and to inform the people of Minnesota in a correspondingly apropos manner. The specific nature of unresolved reactor safety issues, the extent to which safety issues apply to Minnesota reactors, and what protective measures are most advisable for Minnesotans are questions asked of this Agency almost daily. I request as earnestly as I can that you keep the MPCA fully informed of reactor safety problems, NRC actions, and the Minnesota reactors inspections.

Sincerely,


PETER L. GOVE
Executive Director

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
 Peter J. Coye, Exec. Dir.
 Roseville, Minn.

CONTROL NUMBER

9930

10473

FILE LOCATION

DATE OF DOCUMENT

3/2/76

ACTION COMPLETION DEADLINE

3/23/76

TO

Chairman Anders

ACTION PROCESSING DATES

Acknowledged _____

Interim Reply _____

Final _____

PREPARE FOR SIGNATURE OF:

Chairman_____
Director of Regulation**X Gossick**DESCRIPTION **Ltr**☐ Original☐ Copy☐ Other

Concern re safety of the Monticello and Prairie Island plants
 in view of resignation of Pollard and 3 GE engineers -
 requests that MPCA be kept informed of reactor safety
 problems, NRC actions and inspections of Minnesota reactors

SDCT 76-1354

REMARKS

LPRM?

IS TO PROVIDE INPUT

REFERRED TO

DATE

Rusche f/action

2/9/76

IS NOTIFICATION TO THE JCAE
RECOMMENDED? _____

Cys: Gossick

Dirks

Case

Davis

Docket Files) 50-263

PDR 50-282

50-306

Copy sent PDR

DIRECTOR OF REGULATION
COMMUNICATIONS CONTROLForm HQ-32 (1-73)
USAEC

No. 76-1354Logging Date 3/5/76

NRC SECRETARIAT

TO: ☐ Commissioner _____ Date 3/5
☒ Exec. Dir./Oper. ☐ Gen. Counsel
☐ Cong. Liaison ☐ Solicitor
☐ Public Affairs ☐ Secretary
☐ _____

Incoming: Peter L. Gove, Executive Director
From: Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

To: Chairman Anders Date 3/3
Subject: Requesting investigation of safety
conditions surrounding Minnesota nuclear power plants.

☒ Prepare reply for signature of:

☐ Chairman

☐ Commissioner _____

☒ EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA, SECY SUSPENSE: March 25

☐ Signature block omitted

☐ _____

☐ Return original of incoming with response

☐ For direct reply*

☐ For appropriate action

☐ For information

☐ For recommendation


Rec'd Off. Dir.
Date 3/8/76
Time 2:00

Remarks: Copy of incoming to Chairman Anders, Cmrs.,
PE, PA.

RF

For the Commission: 

*Send three (3) copies of reply to Secy Mail Facility



Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

612-296-7301

March 2, 1976

The Honorable William A. Anders
Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555


Dear Mr. Anders:

I am greatly distressed by the fact that three GE engineers and one NRC engineer have resigned in protest over nuclear reactor safety deficiencies. Their acts raise serious doubt that the NRC has diligently and objectively probed and determined the safety and reliability of currently operating reactors. I am further distressed that the NRC has not explicitly disclosed the specific nature of the reactor safety issues raised by the resignees.

Within 50 miles of Minnesota's largest metropolitan area, which encompasses nearly two million people, there are three nuclear reactors at two sites, namely Monticello and Prairie Island. I firmly believe that the safety condition of these nuclear plants must be immediately determined. Therefore, I want to know whether in light of these recent events Monticello and Prairie Island will be inspected, when the inspections will occur, and specifically what will be inspected.

The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency has a responsibility to assess the degree of hazard posed by nuclear reactors and to inform the people of Minnesota in a correspondingly apropos manner. The specific nature of unresolved reactor safety issues, the extent to which safety issues apply to Minnesota reactors, and what protective measures are most advisable for Minnesotans are questions asked of this Agency almost daily. I request as earnestly as I can that you keep the MPCA fully informed of reactor safety problems, NRC actions, and the Minnesota reactors inspections.

Sincerely,


PETER L. GOVE
Executive Director