

DRL

JUN 7 1968

50-263

Honorable Walter F. Mondale
United States Senate

Dear Senator Mondale:

Your memorandum of May 6, 1968 to Office of Congressional Liaison, Atomic Energy Commission, concerning a letter you received from Mrs. Henry H. Cowie, dated April 9, 1968, has been referred to me. To aid you in preparing a reply to this letter, I am enclosing a brief report which describes our regulatory review procedure and the radiological effects to the environs expected as a result of operation of the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant.

In addition to the booklet, "Licensing of Power Reactors" which is referenced in the attached report, I am also enclosing a copy of "Atomic Power Safety" which describes the operation of the typical water cooled and moderated nuclear power plants.

A similar letter from Mrs. Henry H. Cowie has been referred to me from Representative Joseph E. Karth.

Sincerely yours,

(signed) Harold E. Price

Harold L. Price
Director of Regulation

Enclosures:

1. Report
2. "Licensing of Power Reactors"
3. "Atomic Power Safety"
4. Ltr. fr. Mrs. Cowie, 4/9/68

bcc: EAGM
AGMO
PROD
OCR H ST.

L. Boggs
5/31/68

Distribution:
Suppl. *7*
AEC Pub. Doc. Rm.
Formal Docket
REG Reading
DRL Reading
RPB-1 Reading
H. L. Price
C. K. Beck
M. M. Mann
C. L. Henderson
R. Doan
H. Shapar
P. A. Morris
R. S. Boyd
D. R. Muller
D. Fischer

N. Blunt
G. Ertter (1716/
70438)
RPS

OFFICE	DRL:RPB-1	DRL:RR	DRL	OGC	REG DR	DCR
SURNAME	<i>DRM</i> DRMuller/eb	RSBoyd	PAMorris	<i>11/14</i> #14	HLPrice	<i>9/1/68</i>
DATE	5/21/68	<i>5/12/68</i>	<i>5/27/68</i>	<i>5/23/68</i>	<i>6/15/68</i>	<i>6/17/68</i>

RADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF OPERATING

THE MONTICELLO NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT

The application by Northern States Power Company for a permit to construct the Monticello plant was reviewed from the standpoint of radiological safety by four bodies in the AEC's process of licensing and regulation, as outlined in the enclosed booklet, "Licensing of Power Reactors." These review groups included the AEC regulatory staff, the Commission's statutory Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS), and an atomic safety and licensing board which conducted a public hearing in the matter on May 28, 1967, at Buffalo, Minnesota. The initial decision of the board, granting a provisional construction permit, was then reviewed by the Commission itself. The construction permit was issued on June 19, 1967. Each of these review bodies concluded that the proposed plant could be constructed and operated without undue risk to the health and safety of the public.

When the applicant completes the plant and applies for an operating license, further safety reviews will be conducted by the AEC regulatory staff and the ACRS. Thereafter, if an operating license is granted, the plant will be under AEC surveillance and undergo periodic safety inspections throughout its lifetime.

Small amounts of radioactive material are permitted by AEC regulations to be released into the environment at controlled rates and in controlled amounts from a nuclear power plant. This requires a continuous program of monitoring and control to assure that permissible limits are not exceeded. The permissible limits in AEC regulations are based on guides developed by the Federal Radiation Council, a statutory body, and issued by the President for the guidance of Federal agencies. These permissible limits are such that continuous use of air or water at the point of release from the site would not result in exposures exceeding national and international standards for radiation protection of the public. Permissible exposure limits reflected in these standards are well below the level where biological damage has been observed in humans. It is believed that any biological effects that might be produced at such low exposures would be too infrequent, in comparison with the occurrence of similar effects from natural causes, to be observed by epidemiological or other techniques presently available. Thus, the risk to individuals exposed at such levels is so low as to be negligible in comparison with observable risks from natural and other causes.

The concentrations of liquid radioactive effluents released from the plant are further reduced by dilution in the body of water to which they are discharged. A recent survey of all fourteen operating nuclear power plants has shown that the concentrations of radioactivity in liquid releases during 1967 were only a small fraction of the permissible limits applicable to the radionuclides in the effluent.

In the case of the Monticello plant, the AEC's evaluations concluded that the design and operation of the radiological waste disposal system would preclude harmful effects on the water supplies of Minneapolis and St. Paul, the nearest communities using the Mississippi River for potable water. Nevertheless, during our review of the proposed Monticello plant, we considered consequences to the Minneapolis and St. Paul water supplies of accidental releases of radioactive material to the Mississippi River even though we found no evident way that such a release could occur.

It is extremely unlikely that an accidental release of large quantities of radioactive material from the Monticello plant into the river would occur. However, if such a release were to occur, the radioactive material would travel downstream with the river current and sufficient time would be available to close the intakes for the Minneapolis and St. Paul water systems before the radioactive material reached them. If such action were necessary, the reserve supplies of water available in the reservoirs of the two cities would be sufficient to maintain full water supplies to the cities until such time as the radiation contamination has passed the intakes. When the applicant applies for an operating license, the procedures to be followed, and the instruments required to monitor any radioactive release, will be reviewed in detail to further assure that the citizens of Minneapolis and St. Paul as well as other communities which use the Mississippi for potable water will not be adversely affected.

FROM

SAC, WASHINGTON F. BUREAU (MEMO.)

DATE OF DOCUMENT

5/1/68

SUSPENSE DATE

5/15

CLASSIFICATION

Series:

Copy _____ of _____

DATE RECEIVED

5/1/68

CONTROL NUMBER

70438

FILE CODE

REPLY DATES

4 3/8

Acknowledge _____

Interim _____

Final _____

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS

☐ Appropriate Handling☐

DESCRIPTION:

☒ Original☐ Copy☐ Other

TRAN. LTR TO SAC, BUREAU, RE: BUREAU, MEMO.
 REGARDING LTR CONCERNING THE PROPOSED PROJECT
 FOR THE PLANNING OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE TREATMENT
 FACILITY RE: REPLY THE NEW POWER PLANT AT MONTICELLO, N.M.

PREPARE FOR SIGNATURE OF:

☐ CHAIRMAN☐ GENERAL MANAGER☐ ASST. GENERAL MANAGER☒ DIV. / OFFICE DIRECTOR

REMARKS:

BUREAU.

ENCLOSURES

LTR TO SAC, BUREAU TO SAC, BUREAU DTD 4/9/68

REFERRED TO

DATE

RECEIVED BY

DATE

SAC, OF BUREAU.

5/8

INFORMATION COPIES SENT TO:

☐ CHAIRMAN☒ SAC☒ ASST. SAC☒ SAC☐ GENERAL MANAGER☒ SAC☒ SAC

GENERAL MANAGER'S COMMUNICATIONS CONTROL

U. S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

FORM WA-284

(5-62)

Mailed 6-7-68

FROM

Sen. J. F. Mondale (Minn)

CONTROL NUMBER

1716

ACTION COMPLETION DEADLINE

3/13/68

DATE OF DOCUMENT

3/6/68

LOCATION

TO

OGC

ACTION PROCESSING DATES

Acknowledged _____

Interim Report _____

Final _____

INFORMATIONAL COPY DISTRIBUTION

____ Chairman

____ ADNS

____ COM

____ GM

____ ADA

____ SS

____ Dep. Dir.

____ OGC

____ SLR

____ A. D.

____ RL

____ ML

DESCRIPTION

Ltr

☒ Original☐ Copy☐ Other

Ltr to Mrs. Henry Gowie re release of radioactive discharges
into the Mississippi River from the Monticello plant

CM 70438

REMARKS

Note Mondale's instructions;
Ltr to be from Mr. Price to
Mrs. Gowie and should go to
OGC for dispatch at same time
we send them ltr to Rep. Kerth
(NR-1691)

Mailed 6-7-68

REFERRED TO

DATE

Morris f/action

5/9/68

Cys: HPrice

Dean

Mann

Henderson

Boon

Shaper

DO NOT DETACH THIS COPY

DIRECTOR OF REGULATION
COMMUNICATIONS CONTROL

Form HQ-32 (7-64)

U. S. AEC

DATE: May 6, 1968

United States Senate

RE: Mrs. Henry Cowie
911 Fairmount
Saint Paul
Minnesota 55101

Respectfully referred to

Congressional Liaison
Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C.

.....
For your consideration of the attached
letter, and for a report.

~~_____~~ To be forwarded directly to the
constituent, with a copy to me
for my information and records.

X To me, in duplicate to accompany
return of enclosure.

 As requested below.

Additional comments:

968
5/20-- D.R.Muller telephoned Mr. Avery. It is
agreeable w/Mr. Avery that our reply be addressed
to Senator Mondale rather than the constituent.
RPB-1, DRL, AEC

Please refer response to attention of

Gary Avery, of my staff,

on the outside of the envelope only.

Thank you.

WALTER F. MONDALE
U.S. SENATE

Monticello, Minn
Henry H. Cowie

April 9

APR 11 1964

Dear Senator Mondale,

I am writing you to express my concern over the proposed permit for the flushing of radioactive waste into the Mississippi river by the Nsp power plant at Monticello, Minn.

Both Dr Charles Hurer and Dr. Maurice Visscher of the University of Minn. state that radioactive discharges from the plant will have the best of radioactivity in the drinking water of the twin cities

2
Henry H. Cowie

higher than the maximum required by the World Health Organization standards. Surely it would be inexcusable to allow this.

In case of an accident in the power plant it is possible to shut the ~~at~~ Paul water supply off at Tridley but this would not safeguard Mpls which has no alternative supply.

I believe that injurious effects from radioactive ~~dis~~ discharges into the Columbia river have begun to be noticed

Henry H. Cowie

These cumulative ecological
buildups should be
thoroughly understood before
the Mississippi is allowed
to become further polluted.

Thank you

Mrs Henry Cowie

RECEIVED

1971

NOV 10 1971

DATE, May 6, 1968

United States Senate

RE: Mrs. Henry Cowie
911 Fairmount
Saint Paul
Minnesota 55101

Respectfully referred to

Congressional Liaison
Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C.

.....
For your consideration of the attached
letter, and for a report.

 ~~To~~ To be forwarded directly to the
constituent, with a copy to me
for my information and records.

 X To me, in duplicate to accompany
return of enclosure.

 As requested below.

Additional comments: _____
968
5/20-- D.R.Muller telephoned Mr. Avery. It is
agreeable w/Mr. Avery that our reply be addressed
to Senator Mondale rather than the constituent.
RPB-1, DRL, AEC

Please refer response to attention of
Gary Avery, of my staff,
on the outside of the envelope only.

Thank you.

WALTER F. MONDALE
U.S. SENATE

APR 11 1961

Monticello Post
Henry H. Cowie

April 9

Dear Senator Mondale,

I am writing you to express my concern over the proposed permit for the flushing of radioactive waste into the Mississippi river by the MSP power plant at Monticello, Minn.

Both Dr Charles Hurer and Dr. Maurice Usscher of the University of Minn. state that radioactive discharges from the plant will have the level of radioactivity in the drinking water of the twin cities

2
Henry H. Cowie

higher than the maximum required by the World Health Organization standards. Surely it would be inexcusable to allow this.

In case of an accident in the power plant it is possible to shut the St Paul water supply off at Fridley but this would not safeguard Mpls which has no alternative supply.

I believe that injurious effects from radioactive discharges into the Columbia river have begun to be noticed

Henry H. Cowie

These cumulative ecological
buildups should be
thoroughly understood before
the Mississippi is allowed
to become further polluted.

Thank you

Mrs Henry Cowie

RECEIVED

APR 27

6 10