

From: Guardiola, Maria
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2011 4:38 PM
To: Valencia, Jennifer
Cc: Bartlett, Matthew
Subject: Docket discussion
Attachments: 665S.docx

Please docket the discussion below in 40-9086. The 665 is also attached. Please title it "Site Selection Information for International Isotopes Fluorine Products, Incorporated (IIFP) Fluorine Extraction and Depleted Uranium Deconversion Facility near Hobbs in Lea County, New Mexico".

From: Guardiola, Maria
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2011 3:32 PM
To: Malliakos, Asimios
Cc: Bartlett, Matthew
Subject: FW: Information in the EIS

John,

Information we want to make publicly available for the purpose of including it in the EIS is such as the following:

IIFP solicited site proposals from communities in the states of Texas, Idaho, and New Mexico.

Six potential sites responded to the IIFP inquiry package: one in Texas, two in Idaho, and three in New Mexico. One New Mexico site was eliminated because (1) it was distant from utilities and population centers, and (2) it had no characterization data. One of the Idaho sites was eliminated because it was located on a previous radioactive materials processing site and, thus, had legacy issues that IIFP chose to avoid.

During this initial fine screening, two sites (one in New Mexico and one in Idaho) were eliminated because of location and excessive land and/or infrastructure costs.

The New Mexico replacement site was rejected in a reiteration of first phase screening because of numerous oil wells on the site and the complexity of acquiring the land.

The Idaho replacement site survived the second iteration of screening. This left three sites to undergo the second round of IIFP's fine screening: one in Texas, one in Idaho, and one in New Mexico (the Hobbs site).

In the final site selection the sites were evaluated by IIFP using the criteria and assigning an impact value and an evaluation value to each criterion. The lowest evaluation numbers were assigned to the most favorable sites. The Hobbs, New Mexico site was ultimately selected because it has the lowest (best) score.

The Hobbs, New Mexico site was ultimately selected because it had the lowest (best) score.

Is it okay to release to the public the above information? Please respond as soon as possible as it is critical in the EIS review process.

Thanks,

Maria