

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:

CLARK COUNTY Road Commission
3900 E. MANNSIDING Rd
HARRISON, MI
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2011/001

2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE

US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region III
2443 WARRENVILLE Rd
Lisle, IL 60532

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)

030-36906

4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)

21-32565-01

5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION

8/31/11

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:



1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.



2. Previous violation(s) closed.



3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied

_____ Non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s):



4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11

Statement of Corrective Actions

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	E. L. KULZER	E. L. Kulzer	8/31/11
Branch Chief	TAMARA BLOOMER	Tamara Bloomer	8/31/11

NRC FORM 591 M PART 3
(06-2010)
10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Docket File Information
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE

Clare County Road Commission
3900 E. Mannsiding Road
Harrison, MI 48625
REPORT NUMBER(S) **2011-001**

2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE

US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region III
2443 Warrenville Rd
Lisle, IL 60532

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)

030-36906

5. LICENSE NUMBER(S)

21-32565-01

6. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION

8/31/2011

7. INSPECTION PROCEDURES

IP 87124

8. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS

03.01-03.07

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM

03121

2. PRIORITY

5

3. LICENSEE CONTACT

Ronald Bushong

4. TELEPHONE NUMBER

989-539-2151

☐ Main Office Inspection

Next Inspection Date: 8/2016

☐ Field Office Inspection _____

☐ Temporary Job Site Inspection _

PROGRAM SCOPE

This facility is branch of the Clare County Road Commission that employs 11 individuals. The licensee possesses one Troxler moisture density gauges for use daily/weekly during the construction season (May-November) for soils engineering projects. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer. Currently, the licensee employs three authorized gauge users who have completed manufacturers training. Device is stored in a locked room in the licensee's office in Harrison, Michigan.

Performance Observations

At the time of this inspection there was no temporary job site. The inspector reviewed with one operator the required shipping papers which contained all appropriate information and the operator demonstrated that the shipping papers were accessible in the transport vehicle. The licensee demonstrated the device they use to house the gauge during transport was bolted to the truck and locked with the gauge/case locked inside the device. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedures. Security during transport and at the job-site was described with no problems noted.