

Unit C

System Status: ATCO NOCO NOPT
User Status: CRTD STA ACRC ENRC

SONGS

Notification: NN 201181864



Description: NRC Contact and Questions

Created on: 11/01/2010 Reported By: (b)(6)

Responsible: (b)(6)

Priority: 4 Medium Required Start: 12/20/2010 21:34 End: 04/18/2011 21:34

Order No: Code:

Task Exists? [Y]

Func.Loc.: S SONGS

Equipment:

Assembly:

Quality Class:

Location: Room: Elevation: Column:

Planner Group: Maint I&C

WorkCenter: O_OM Manager - Plant Operations

Plant: 1000 SONGS - Services

Reliability Classification:

ARC Review Status: C Completed

Feedback Req'd? [X]

M Rule: Sig Level: 4 Low Level Problem, Take Action/Trend

Breakdown [] Malfunction Start: Breakdown Duration: H
End:

Description:

11/01/2010 17:59:34 (b)(6) Phone (b)(6)

1. Problem description

Contacted by NRC resident.

The following questions / concerns were directed to the U3 CRS:

Concerning NN 20116801, what is the operability status of 3Y004.

Concerning the requirments for fuel movement, what are the requirements for 1E inverter Operability during refueling and SFP fuel movement.

How LCOs 3.8.8 and 3.8.10 interpreted during the preparation for fuel moves (how do you ensure minimum operability requirements are met (specifically for Invertors, but generically for other required equipment.

The NN 201168011 (affecting 3Y04) indicates that Y04 is INOP, an Extent of condition assignment exists, but is not scheduled to start till 11/30/, this doesnt seem to follow procedural guidance for EOC completion in a timely manner.

2. Impact or consequence

Informed NRC inspector (after research) that 3Y04 is inoperable. SRO

Print Date: 02/22/2011

Page 1 of 8

Handwritten signature/initials

SONGS

Notification: NN 201181864**Description: NRC Contact and Questions****Description Continued:**

was unaware of this status. Informed the NRC that current plant configuration would not be affected for SFP fuel moves, since FHS would have to be operated in the ISOLATE mode. Also informed NRC inspector that I was unable to quickly ascertain the configuration during Core Alts.

A reportability assignment should be assigned to assess if TS and LCS requirements were met due core alts (specifically due to equipment configuration and Inoperability).

3. Describe what happened

See above contact info and support requests, including reportability request.

4. Immediate actions taken

Provided above answers, contacted NRA and provided with Email and voice discussion with NRC concerns.

5. Extent of Condition (as applicable)

N/A

6. Cause (if known)**7. Recommended Actions**

Research and provide requested information to NRC expeditiously.

----- (b)(6) -----

Additions to -Supervisor Review Comments~:
agree

----- (b)(6) -----

Additions to -6. Cause (if known)~:
none

Additions to -Supervisor Review Comments~:
agree

Task Summary

SONGS

Notification: NN 201181864

Func.Loc.: S SONGS

Location: Room: Elevation: Column:

Task No.: 0001 Code Group:N-SPT General Support Record
Short Text: Address NRC concerns
Task Code: ST04 SPT Complete
WorkCenter: O_WW4 Work Week SRO -4
Responsible: (b)(6) ay

Task No.: 0002 Code Group:N-RPT Reportability Assessment
Short Text: Evaluate for reportability assessment
Task Code: RP65 RPT Complete Not Reportable
WorkCenter: R C Regulatory Compliance
Responsible: (b)(6)

J:18578

Trending Worksheet

SONGS

Notification: NN 201181864

Func.Loc.: S SONGS

Part: _____

Damage: _____

Cause: _____

Activity: _____

Part: _____

Damage: _____

Cause: _____

Activity: _____

Part: _____

Damage: _____

Cause: _____

Activity: _____

Part: _____

Damage: _____

Cause: _____

Activity: _____

Task Details

SONGS

Notification: NN 201181864

Func.Loc.: S SONGS

Location:

Room:

Elevation:

Column:

Task Details:

Task No.: 0001

Code Group: N-SPT General Support Record

Short Text: Address NRC concerns

Task Code: ST04 SPT Complete

WorkCenter: O WW4 Work Week SRO -4

Responsible: (b)(6)

Status: TSCO

Planned Start: 11/30/2010 11:57

Planned End: 12/01/2010

Complete: 11/30/2010 11:57

Task Long Text:

Address NRC concerns

SPT (General Support Record)

Describe the General support request:

This same issue was raised and resolved in NN 201183395. Refer to that NN.

(b)(6)

Operations, Equipment Control SRO

11/30/10

SONGS

Notification: NN 201181864

Func.Loc.: S SONGS

Location: Room: Elevation: Column:

Task Details:

Task No.: 0002

Code Group: N-RPT Reportability Assessment
Short Text: Evaluate for reportability assessment
Task Code: RP65 RPT Complete Not Reportable
WorkCenter: R C Regulatory Compliance
Responsible: (b)(6)
Status: TSCO
Planned Start: 12/15/2010
Planned End: 12/15/2010
Complete: 12/10/2010 05:47

Task Long Text:

Evaluate for reportability assessment
TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT TEMPLATE

NOTE: ENGINEERING JUDGEMENT may be used to answer questions. However
<(>,<)>
the basis for the judgment needs to be documented to validate the
judgment.

For the purposes of determining REPORTABILITY, it is only necessary for
the individual responding to these question to identify a single
occurrence within the previous three years where the SPECIFIED (SAFETY)
FUNCTION was incapable of being fulfilled (i.e., both trains of
redundant systems were INOPERABLE OR NON-FUNCTIONAL).

When the requested questions in SECTION 2 are answered, then the
assigned individual should reassign the N-RPT to an RP25 status. ONLY
an NRA PQS 270QC7 qualified individual may reassign an N-RPT task to a
status RP30 or higher.

SECTION 1 - Initial Reportability Assessment (RP10) [To be completed by
a PQS 270QC7 Qualified Individual.]

Not immediately reportable, this is a question about plant configuration
requirements for refueling. Unit is currently defueled. See below, no
engineering support required.

Prepared By: (b)(6) Date: 11-3-10

SECTION 2 - Technical Assessment (RP20) [The REPORTABILITY ENGINEER will

SONGS

Notification: NN 201181864

Description: NRC Contact and Questions

determine which questions are to be answered.]

1. Describe the condition being evaluated for reportability:
2. Would the SSC have been able to fulfill all its intended safety function(s) as defined in the UFSAR (reference specific sections) since the failure (Operable)? Consider all plant operating Modes, mission time, and the status of other equipment. If yes, why?
3. If the conclusion of step 2 is Yes, mark steps 4, 5 and 6 as #Not Applicable, # document task performance/peer check, advance the Task to RP25 and assign to Reportability Compliance for completion of step 7. If the conclusion of step 2 is No, complete steps 4, 5 and 6.
4. When did the SSC fail or first become degraded (break, code not met <(,<)> out of SR range, etc.)? If the specific time of failure is not known <(,<)> is there any compelling evidence of prior failure?
5. What was the apparent cause of the failure or degraded condition? (use engineering judgment to determine, if necessary - but also describe the basis for your judgment). If appropriate, generate a cause evaluation assignment.
6. Did the failure or failure mode affect or potentially affect another SSC or the other unit?
7. [Additional Questions as indicated by the REPORTABILITY ENGINEER.]

Prepared By:

Date:

Peer Reviewer Comments:

Peer Reviewer:

Date:

After the peer review is completed, the Advance the RPT Task to RP25 and assign to Reportability Compliance for completion of step 7.

SONGS

Notification: NN 201181864**Description:** NRC Contact and Questions**SECTION 3 - Compliance Review and Management Approval (RP25 and RP30)**

8. Reportability assessment summary (include references as appropriate.

(To be completed by qualified individuals only - see Encode 270QC7:

Assessing Events for Reportability).

Not Reportable. This was an administrative issue raised by NRC
inspector enquiring how configuration of the inverters was established.
At the time, there were two inverters in service.

Technical Specification 3.8.8 "Inverters - Shutdown," requires operation
to support TS 3.8.10 "Distribution Systems--Shutdown." A review of the
Unit 3 control room log shows inverter Y04 was placed in service on
10/26, and Y03 was placed in service on 10/28. Two inverters were in
service and adequate to support the distribution requirements, therefore
no report is required under 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) "Any operation or
condition which was prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications,"
or 50.73(a)(2)(v) "Any event or condition that could have prevented the
fulfillment of the safety function of structures or systems that are
needed to: (A) Shut down the reactor and maintain it in a safe shutdown
condition;

(B) Remove residual heat; (C) Control the release of radioactive
material; or

(D) Mitigate the consequences of an accident."

Prepared By: (b)(6) Date: 12-9-10

Management Reviewer Comments: None

Management Reviewer: (b)(6) Date: 12/10/10