

From: Chawla, Mahesh
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 1:42 PM
To: Alan I Hassoun
Cc: Cheruvenki, Ganesh; Mitchell, Matthew; Pascarelli, Robert; Lingam, Siva; Boyle, Patrick
Subject: LIC-109 Acceptance Review - Fermi 2 - Request for Relief - Use of BWRVIP Guidelines in Lieu of Specific ASME Code Requirements - ME6765

By letter dated July 28, 2011(ADAMS Accession No. ML112101480), Detroit Edison Company (the licensee) submitted the above relief request for Fermi 2. The purpose of this e-mail is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this relief request. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

Pursuant to Sections 50.55a(a)(3)(i) and 50.55a(a)(3)(ii) of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10CFR), the applicant shall demonstrate that the proposed alternatives would provide an acceptable level of quality and safety, or that compliance with the specified requirements of Section 50.55a would result in hardship of unusual difficulty without a compensating increase in the level of quality of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed RR in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. If additional information is needed, you will be advised by separate correspondence.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Mahesh Chawla, Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch 3-1
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation