

Docket, Hearing

From: George Patteson [pateson@colton.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 04, 2011 1:00 PM
To: Bollwerk, Paul
Subject: NRC No Need For Areva

Dear Board

For much of the World, the disaster at Fukushima was a wake-up call and, finally The deciding factor to stop the waste and danger of Nuclear Reactors to produce power.

Now Is the Time for the United States to join with these other countries in eliminating all Nuclear Reactors and changing our energy policy to safer and more sustainable and renewable sources of power. I hope you join in this progress by denying the proposed Areva uranium enrichment facility project license.

Thank you for letting Our Opposition be known.

George Patteson

Molalla, Oregon

(The PGE Reactor near Portand is gone and we are all safer because it is gone.)

Dear Atomic Safety and Licensing Board,

NRC regulations for the implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) require the NRC to prepare a supplemental EIS in the event of "changed circumstances bearing on environmental concerns and bearing on the proposed action or its impacts." See 10 CFR 51.92(a). Three worldwide trends have combined to create just such changed circumstances with respect to the need for the proposed Areva uranium enrichment facility: a significant depression in the uranium market following the nuclear crisis in Japan, greatly increased cost estimates for new reactors, and a markedly reduced pace of new nuclear project construction. In light of these trends, the EIS' assertion that there is a need for the proposed Areva uranium enrichment factory - i.e., that its environmental impacts are justified -- is not supportable. Therefore, as required by 10 C.F.R. 51.92(f)(1), the NRC must revise the EIS and publish it in draft for public comment. If the EIS is not revised and re-published, the application must be rejected

Thank you,

George Patteson
32553 S. Dhooghe Rd.
Molalla, OR 97038