

Honeywell International Metropolis Works (MTW) Facility

**October 5, 2010
NRC Presentation**

Honeywell

- **Introduction**
- **Overview of MTW Ponds and Closure Project**
- **Closure Design Concept and Criteria**
- **NRC Decommissioning/MARSSIM Strategy**
- **Pond Characterization Data**
- **Dose Modeling – Key Assumptions**
- **Preliminary Modeling Results**
- **Next Steps**
- **Discussion/Feedback**
- **Concluding Remarks**

Overview of Ponds at MTW

- **Surface impoundments permitted under RCRA**
 - Calcium Fluoride (CaF_2) ponds
 - RCRA sampling verified the materials in the ponds as non-hazardous
 - Contain some residual radioactivity

Pond ID	Volume (cubic yards)
B Pond	13,000
C Pond	13,600
D Pond	9,500
E Pond	52,000



Overview of Pond Closure Project

- **Three ponds no longer in use, fourth to be removed from use prior to closure**
- **Must be closed in timely fashion per NRC and IEPA regulations**
- **Pond closure must comply with both NRC and IEPA requirements**
 - 10 CFR Part 20, Subpart E regulations
 - RCRA Part B regulations
- **Closure approach**
 - Stabilize/solidify CaF_2 material in-situ within existing pond footprints using a pozzolanic material (e.g., Portland cement)
 - Construct RCRA cover over each pond

Agency Coordination is Essential

- **Leave existing ethylene propylene diene monomer (EPDM) liner in place**
- **Stabilize materials in-situ with pozzolanic material above existing EPDM liner**
- **Add a minimum of 4 feet of clean cover soil above stabilized material (cover subgrade slopes at 4%), from top to bottom:**
 - 2 feet of cover soil including vegetated topsoil,
 - 1-foot granular drainage layer,
 - Textured geomembrane (GM),
 - Geosynthetic clay liner (GCL) and;
 - 1-foot minimum of clean cover soil.
- **Armor berm side-slopes and drainage ditches with riprap for long-term erosion protection**

*Closure Design Based on Pertinent NRC
and RCRA Guidance*

- **Based overall design on IEPA and USEPA Guidance**
 - **475-year Earthquake (IEPA):**
 - ◆ Pseudo static slope stability factor of safety (FS) of 1.3 or greater
 - **2,475-year Earthquake (USEPA):**
 - ◆ Seismic slope deformations no greater than 6 to 12 inches
- **Considered pertinent sections of NUREGs 1620 and 1623, and RG 3.13**
 - **Slope stability, slope displacement, and settlement analytical methods**
 - **Effects of soil liquefaction**

Closure Design Criteria – Surface Water and Erosion

- **Based surface water and erosion control features on IEPA and USEPA design guidance (for landfills and surface impoundments)**
 - Top cover hydraulic conductivity less than existing bottom liner
 - Designed drainage feature sizes based on the 100-year, 24-hour storm
- **Considered pertinent sections of NUREGs 1757, 1620, 1623, and 6697 (e.g., erosion protection)**
 - Riprap on all berm sideslopes and ditches
 - Considered erosion protection performance during precipitation intensity events greater than the 100-year, 24-hour storm
 - Cover erosion rate assumed to be 0.00018 m/yr

Design Criteria Addresses Credible Natural Events

- **Partial site decommissioning**
 - Ponds cover an approximately 6-acre combined area
 - Closed area will be released from NRC license (approximately 10 acres total)
 - Necessary to ensure consistency among EPA, IEPA, and NRC
 - Consistent with 10 CFR 40.42 (the “Timeliness Rule”)
- **Selected approach is unrestricted release from license**
 - Dose is As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA)
 - Total Effective Dose Equivalent (TEDE) to average member of critical group less than 25 mrem
 - No institutional controls
 - Accounts for dose contribution from balance of site

*Consistent with License Termination Rule
and NUREG-1757*

- **License termination strategy for the ponds**
 - Document existing extensive pond characterization data
 - Develop site-specific radiological computer dose model using available site-specific parameters
 - Select appropriate end user scenario (e.g., industrial worker)
 - Verify that dose exposure criteria will be met when RCRA closure design (or more conservative) is implemented
 - Recognize that dose exposure used by partial release of the closed ponds from the license reduces the dose release criteria for the remainder of the site at eventual site decommissioning
- **Approach shows that post-closure exposure levels achieve 10 CFR Part 20 requirements**
- **Methodology is consistent with using derived concentration guideline levels (DCGLs) to demonstrate 10 CFR Part 20 compliance**

*Consistent with License Termination Rule
and NUREG-1757*

- **Pond Characterization Investigation**

- Pond characterization data collected in 2009 by Andrews Engineering
- Used to calculate mean uranium concentrations for each pond

Location	Grid Composite Mean Uranium Concentration (as is basis)		N
	(pCi/g)	(ppm)	
Pond B	160	240	26
Pond C	191	287	36
Pond D	163	245	34
Pond E	135	203	105

Uranium is Present at Levels Less Than Source Material (500 ppm)

- **Critical Group – Industrial Worker**

- Industrial use consistent with nearby land uses
- Area surrounding closed ponds (*i.e.*, balance of MTW operations area) is expected to remain an industrial facility under NRC license
- IEPA RCRA closure restrictions will proscribe certain uses/activities

- **Exposure Pathways**

- Conservatively includes drinking water pathway
- Suppresses plant, meat, milk, and aquatic food ingestion pathways
- Occupancy factors (40-hour work week; 2,000 work hours/year)

*Consistent with License Termination Rule
and NUREG-1757*

Dose Modeling – Key Assumptions

- **Size, thickness, and hydrogeologic properties of contaminated zone, cover materials, unsaturated zones, and saturated zones are defined**
- **Engineered cover required by RCRA and IEPA regulations**
 - Minimum 4' cover
 - Severely restricts water infiltration and potential migration of waste materials
 - Evaluations based on reasonably conservative average cover thickness at each pond
- **Engineered cover only credited for passive performance**
 - Assumes no maintenance or monitoring
 - Modeling will consider range of failure mechanisms
 - ◆ Erosion
 - ◆ Flood
 - ◆ Earthquake

*Consistent with License Termination Rule
and NUREG-1757*

- **Industrial worker alternative modeling evaluated a range of parameters:**
 - Impact of cover thickness and erosion
 - Impact of unsaturated zone hydraulic conductivity
 - Impact of stabilization volume increase and concentration decrease
 - Impact of saturated zone porosity
 - Impact of no sub-pond liner
- **Maintenance and monitoring of engineered cover will be required by IEPA**
- **Conservatively modeled Resident Farmer scenario as a check**

Model Yields Conservative Results

- **RESRAD dose model (probabilistic mode)**
 - Conceptual site model
 - Preliminary cover design
 - Site-specific geologic and hydrologic parameters
- **Maximum industrial worker dose values for conservative average cover thickness was approximately 2×10^{-7} mrem/year at 1,000 years**
- **Resident farmer scenario, evaluated as a conservative comparison, resulted in an estimated dose value of 6×10^{-7} mrem/year at 1,000 years**

Doses Far Below Part 20 Limits

Next Steps...

- **Submit License Amendment Request and Decommissioning Plan to NRC (November 2010)**
- **Submit Class 3 Permit Modification Request and Closure Plan to IEPA (November 2010)**
- **Begin Pond Closure (March 2013)**
- **Complete Pond Closure (May 2016)**

NRC Approval Key to Closure Plans

Questions/Comments

Honeywell