

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

BPA NO.

1. CONTRACT ID CODE

PAGE

OF PAGE:

1

2

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.

M001

3. EFFECTIVE DATE

See Block 16C.

4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.

OIS-06-317-61

FFS# NSR-07-512

5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)

6. ISSUED BY

CODE

3100

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Div. of Contracts
Attn: CMB3
Mail Stop T-7-I-2
Washington, DC 20555

7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)

CODE

3100

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Div. of Contracts
Mail Stop T-7-I-2

Washington, DC 20555

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)

MAR, INCORPORATED

1803 RESEARCH BLVD
SUITE 204
ROCKVILLE MD 208506106

(X)

9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.

9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)

10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
GS35F0229K DR-33-06-317-T016

10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)

09-27-2006

CODE 062021639

FACILITY CODE

X

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☐ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended, ☐ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

711-15-123-182 R1113 251A 31X0200.711
FFS# NSR-07-512 Obligate: \$64,038.00

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS,
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

(X)	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
X	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF: Mutual Agreement of the Parties
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)



E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not, ☒ is required to sign this document and return 2 (two) copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

Please see page 2 for details on this modification.

Reference: MAR Technical and Cost Proposal (Ref# 2006-096/WA971), dated August 16, 2007

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print) Linda Klages / VP, Contracts	15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR  (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED 09/10/07	15A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Robert B. Webber Contracting Officer	15B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  BY (Signature of Contracting Officer)	15C. DATE SIGNED 9/10/07
---	---	------------------------------	---	---	-----------------------------

NSN 7540-01-152-8070

PREVIOUS EDITION NOT USABLE

TEMPLATE - ADM001

SUNSI REVIEW COMPLETE

SEP 20 2007

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 10-83)
Prescribed by GSA - FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

ADM002

The purpose of this modification is as follows:

- (1) To modify the Statement of Work to revise the period of performance and to both remove and add deliverables.
- (2) To increase the ceiling amount by \$64,038.07 from \$98,622.31 to \$162,660.38.
- (3) To obligate funds in the amount of \$64,038.00, thereby increasing the obligated amount from \$98,622.31 to \$162,660.31.

Accordingly, the following changes are hereby made:

- (1) The Statement of Work is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the revised Statement of Work. The following sections of the Statement of Work have been revised:

- 1.0 OBJECTIVE
- 2.0 SCOPE OF WORK
- 3.0 PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE
- 4.0 FUNDING
- 7.0 SPECIFIC TASKS

- (2) The Schedule of Supplies or Services and Price/Cost is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the revised Schedule of Supplies or Services and Price/Cost.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED

Attachments: Revised Statement of Work
Revised Cost Schedule

**DELIVERY ORDER DR-33-06-317
TASK ORDER 16 (REV 1)**

**LISTED/HIGH SYSTEM C&A: NSIR CLASSIFIED LAPTOP SUPPORT
MAJOR/HIGH C&A: ELECTRONIC SAFE (E-SAFE)**

1.0 OBJECTIVE

The Contractor shall support the OIS in certification and accreditation of major information systems such that NRC is in compliance and maintains certification and accreditation currency with NIST and FISMA Guidance. The Contractor shall at a minimum develop associated certification and accreditation documentation consistent with the security support task referenced in SOW ENCLOSURE 6 of Delivery Order DR-33-06-317, entitled, "C&A PROCESS AND DELIVERABLES" such that an Authorization to Operate (ATO) which confers full accreditation shall be granted the system. The Contractor shall perform these security support tasks specified for a HIGH security baseline systems.

The Contractor shall develop, at a minimum, the following information system security certification documentation: a Security Categorization (SecCat), a E-Authentication Risk Assessment, a Security Risk Assessment (RA), a Systems Security Plan (SSP), a Security Test and Evaluation (ST&E) plan and associated report, a Contingency Test Plan and report, and a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) to correct any identified deficiencies

2.0 SCOPE OF WORK

The Contractor shall provide security analyst to support Nuclear Security and Incident Response (NSIR) staff technical support and develop all requisite systems certification and accreditation documentation for NSIR Classified Laptop and E-Safe.

System Name: NSIR Classified Laptop Support / Electronic Safe System (E-Safe)

Sponsor Office: Office of Nuclear Safety and Incident Response (NSIR)

System Owner: Director, NSIR

System Description: Standalone laptops that process classified data and E-Safe which is a system for storage and retrieval of Safeguards Information (SGI). The digitized SGI material is placed into an electronic repository that does not have electronic connectivity to any other system and is located in a single controlled access room at Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Headquarters.

Status: Classified laptops are presently in operation / E-Safe is presently under development.

The Contractor shall provide security analyst staff and the development of the associated documentation associated with the security support tasks specified below for classified and unclassified LOW, MODERATE, and HIGH security baseline systems for the system category "Major Application", as specified in SOW ENCLOSURE 6 of

Delivery Order DR-33-06-317 - C&A PROCESS AND DELIVERABLES.

The term "Major Application" (MA) means a computerized information system or application that requires special attention to security because of the risk and magnitude of harm resulting from the loss, misuse, or unauthorized access to or modification of the information in the application. Because of their impact on the agency mission and the information they contain or process, MA's require special management oversight. (See OMB Circular A-130, Appendix III.) For example, an agency wide financial management system containing NRC's official financial records would be an MA. A computer program or a spreadsheet designed to track expenditures against an office budget would not be considered an MA. Similarly, commercial off-the-shelf software products (such as word processing software, electronic mail software, utility software, or general purpose software) would not typically be considered MA's.

3.0 PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

The period of performance of this task order is September 27, 2006 through January 31, 2008.

4.0 FUNDING

- (a) The total estimated amount (ceiling) for the products/services ordered, delivered, and accepted under this task order is \$162,660.38.
- (b) The amount presently obligated with respect to this task order is \$162,660.31. The Contractor shall not be obligated to incur costs above this ceiling/obligated amount unless and until the Contracting Officer shall increase the amount obligated. When and if the amount(s) paid and payable to the Contractor hereunder shall equal the obligated amount, the Contractor shall not be obligated to continue performance of the work unless and until the Contracting Officer shall increase the amount obligated with respect to this contract. Any work undertaken by the Contractor in excess of the obligated amount specified above is done so at the Contractor's sole risk.

5.0 TRAVEL

No travel is required.

6.0 SCHEDULE

The Contractor shall provide final draft security documentation and reports for each system consistent with the NRC-approved integrated project plan (Subtask 1).

7.0 SPECIFIC TASKS

It has been determined by the NRC that NSIR Classified Laptop is not ready for C&A activity. The NRC requests the task to be modified to replace the NSIR Classified Laptop support with the C&A activity for NSIR's E-Safe system.

The Contractor shall support the NRC C&A of the E-Safe system and application service

provider facility as described below:

Subtask 1: Integrated Security Activity Project Plan.

Develop and implement a project plan to ensure completion of the E-Safe certification and accreditation tasks within the period of performance. The Contractor shall be required to develop and maintain an Integrated Security Activity Project Plan and perform Integrated Activity Scheduling for the program. These deliverables shall be developed at the individual project level (i.e., each system for which a certification and accreditation effort will be undertaken) and aggregate to the program level. The Microsoft Project Plan shall incorporate all tasks and projects such that the individual projects roll up into an Integrated Security project schedule encompassing all NRC security related activities, services, and deliverables. The Microsoft Project Plan shall identify resources for each activity and include the Work Breakdown Structure levels.

The project plan will include:

- A Level 5 **Work Breakdown Structure (WBS)**. The WBS shall include a definition of the work to be conducted decomposed into distinct discrete manageable tasks or groups of tasks (work packages) with decisive outputs and specific measurable entry and exit criteria. Each work package shall have a short duration, or can be divided into a series of milestones whose status can be objectively measured. Each work package shall be assigned a start and finish date, a budget value, and can be integrated with higher-level schedules.
- A **schedule and budget** for accomplishing the work identifying what resources are needed and how much effort will be required in what time frame to complete each of the tasks in the WBS. The Contractor shall allocate a portion of the budget for each work package that comprises the WBS, and ensure that the WBS adequately defines all work necessary to meet the requirements for the project.

Subtask 2: Security Categorization.

The Contractor shall conduct a security scoping interview to determine the proper system or applications classification and Impact consistent with NRC Management Directive 12.5, OMB Circular A-130, FIPS 199, and NIST Special Publication (SP) Series 800. Systems shall be categorized as Major Application, General Support System, Listed, or Other with a system impact of low, moderate, or high. The Contractor shall develop a systems security scoping report that identifies the system investment, system scope, inter-systems connectivity (diagram intersystem connections, data architecture, mapping, and data element definition and exchange between systems), the information sensitivity levels of data processed within the system, the privacy impact of the system and whether it contains information in identifiable form (IFF), the electronic transactions (Inquire, Create, Delete, and Modify) and requisite authentication level, and electronic records disposition.

Subtask 3: E-Authentication Risk Assessment.

The Contractor shall conduct E-Authentication risk assessments and generate an E-Authentication risk assessment report consistent with OMB M04-04, NIST SP 800-30, NIST SP 800-60A, and NIST SP 800-63 as part of the security categorization.

Subtask 4: Risk Assessment.

The assessment of risk and the development of system security plans are two important activities in an agency's information security program that directly support security accreditation and are required by the Federal Information System Management Act (FISMA) and OMB Circular A-130, Appendix III. Risk assessments influence the development of the security controls for information systems and generate much of the information needed for the associated system security plans.

The risk assessment shall characterize the information processed by using Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) 199, Standards for Security Categorization of Federal Information and Information Systems and National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Special Publication (SP) 800-60, Guide for Mapping Types of Information and Information Systems to Security Categories. The risk assessment shall follow NIST SP 800-37 Guide for the Security Certification and Accreditation of Federal Information Systems, and include the following:

- Identification of user types and associated roles and responsibilities;
- Identification of risk assessment team members and their associations;
- A description of the risk assessment approach and techniques, where the techniques include documentation review, interviews, observation, and system configuration assessments, security scans and penetration tests;
- A description of the risk scale used, including at a minimum, the potential impact as defined in FIPS 199, and likelihood as defined in NIST SP 800-30, Risk Management Guide for Information Technology Systems;
- A list of potential system vulnerabilities;
- A list of potential threat-sources applicable to the system, including natural, human, and environmental threat-sources;
- A table of vulnerability and threat-source pairs and observations about each;
- Detailed findings for each vulnerability and threat-source pair discussing the possible outcome if the pair is exploited; existing controls to mitigate the pair; the likelihood determination as high, moderate, or low; the impact determination expressed as high, moderate, or low; the overall risk rating based upon the risk scale; and the recommended controls to mitigate the risk; and,
- A summary that includes the number of high, moderate, and low findings and provides a list of prioritized action items based upon the findings.

The risk assessment shall be documented in a report that follows the NRC Template for Risk Assessment Report. The report shall be delivered in draft form and then in final form after NRC comments are incorporated. The NRC IT Security staff review of the draft is required to ensure compliance. The NRC Senior IT Security Officer must approve the final to enable system accreditation.

The Contractor shall track any residual risk in the plan of action and milestones (POA&M). The Contractor shall document the results of the process. This shall include documenting the risk number, a description of each risk, the type of risk (i.e., impacting the confidentiality, integrity, or availability), the level of risk (i.e., low, moderate, or high), the associated controls, and the action(s) required or actually performed to eliminate or minimize each risk. The goal is for NRC and Contractor personnel to remediate all high

and moderate security findings, and track the remaining security findings in the POA&M.

Subtask 5: Systems Security Plan (SSP).

The security plan shall be developed in accordance with NIST SP 800-53 Recommended Security Controls for Federal Information Systems, NIST SP 800-37 Guide for the Security Certification and Accreditation of Federal Information Systems, and the NRC IT Security Plan Template. The Contractor shall identify within the SSP the necessary security controls required, citing the security controls that are in place, those that are planned, and those that are not applicable.

Where a system relies upon a control that is provided by another system (e.g. the NRC LAN/WAN), the specific control being relied upon shall be noted along with the name of the system providing that control. The Contractor shall trace the security controls to specific documented guidance, NRC policy (e.g., Management Directives), infrastructure policy or procedures.

The system security plan shall be documented in a report that follows the NRC Template for System Security Plan. The report shall be delivered in draft form and then in pre-system ST&E form after NRC comments are incorporated. The NRC IT Security staff review of the draft is required to ensure compliance. The Contractor shall update the system security plan after completion of the ST&E test report to reflect validated in-place and planned controls. The NRC SITSO must approve the final to enable system accreditation.

Subtask 6: Systems Security Controls and Security Requirements Test Plan Development Support.

The Contractor shall support the NRC staff in the development and documentation of a test plan within the Rational Suite Enterprise that exercises the systems security controls and security requirements and associated technical resolutions, risk mitigation, and implementations such that confirmation that the system and associated controls are operating as intended and in accordance with NIST SP 800-53A, NIST SP 800-53 Recommended Security Controls for Federal Information Systems, NIST SP 800-37 Guide for the Security Certification and Accreditation of Federal Information Systems, and the NRC System Security Test and Evaluation Plan Template. The Contractor shall provide detailed test procedures to ensure all IT security functional and assurance requirements are fully tested. The procedures shall contain sufficient detail that a technically trained individual not familiar with the system can successfully follow the procedures.

The STE Plan shall identify all testing assumptions, constraints, and dependencies and include a proposed schedule that identifies which personnel, hardware, software, and other requirements that must be met for each portion of the schedule to accomplish full system security testing of all system security functional and assurance requirements where the requirements are not stated as being fulfilled by another system. The following test methods shall be used:

Analysis

The "analysis" verification method shall be used to appraise a process, procedure, or document to ensure properly documented actions (e.g. risk

assessments, audit logs, organization level policies, etc.) are in compliance with established requirements. An example of "analysis" as an evaluation technique would be to review documented physical security policies and procedures to ensure compliance with established requirements. This verification method is often called a documentation review.

Demonstration

The Contractor will observe randomly individuals to verify that activities on the system follow the documented procedure or process as the activity is performed. (Example: Observe visitors upon computer room entry in order to verify that all visitation procedures are followed.)

Interview

The Contractor will interview personnel to verify the security policies and procedures are understood as implemented and prescribed by governing policies and regulations.

Inspection

The Contractor will review and analyze visitor logs to verify all information requested has been entered on the log. (Example: The Contractor shall verify that the visitor's name, signature, organization, reason of visit, arrival and departure date, time, and the escort's name, initials, or signature are included on the log sheets.)

Technical Test

The Technical Test verification method shall be used to verify that each implemented control is functioning as intended with the Contractor attempting to access a system by logging on to that system from his workstation (or other device) using an incorrect password to see if the system responds with an error message stating incorrect password or denies access after exceeding the maximum threshold for logon attempts and is directed to call the system administrator to gain access.

Testing requirements that are stated as being fulfilled by another system (provider) shall be accomplished by verifying that the provider system security plan in-place controls meet the requirement.

Subtask 7: Review, Verification, and Validation of Security Controls and Requirements Test Plan and Test Plan Execution.

The Contractor shall independently review, verify, and validate all systems security test plans and procedures to ensure the accuracy and adequacy of documented test procedures for all systems security controls and security requirements and associated technical resolutions, risk mitigation, and implementations contained within various NRC security and systems development documentation or the Rational Suite Enterprise such that confirmation that the system and associated controls are operating as intended. The Contractor shall update the STE Plan after completion of the system security test and evaluation plan test report to reflect validated information. The NRC Senior IT Security Officer must approve the final to enable system accreditation.

Subtask 8: Contingency Plan.

The Contractor shall support the NRC staff in the development and documentation of a contingency plan and test procedures within the Rational Suite Enterprise. The contingency plan shall be developed in accordance with NIST SP 800-34 Contingency Planning Guide for Information Technology Systems, NIST SP 800-37 Guide for the Security Certification and Accreditation of Federal Information Systems, and the NRC Contingency Plan (CP) Template. The Contractor shall provide detailed procedures for the notification and activation phase, recovery operations, and return to normal operations. The procedures shall contain sufficient detail that a technically trained individual not familiar with the system can successfully follow the procedures. The system contingency plan shall also contain sufficient personnel contact information to enable contact at all times, vendor contact information to enable contact at all times, equipment (hardware and software) and specification information to enable reconstitution of the system from scratch, all service level agreements and memoranda of understanding, the IT standard operating procedures for the system, identification of any systems that this system is dependent upon along with references for the applicable contingency plans, references to the emergency management plan and occupant evacuation plan, and references to the appropriate continuity of operations plan.

The system contingency plan shall be documented in a report that follows the NRC Template for System Contingency Plan. The report shall be delivered in draft form and then in pre-Test form after NRC comments are incorporated. The NRC IT Security staff review of the draft is required to ensure compliance. The Contractor shall update the system contingency plan after completion of the contingency plan test report to reflect validated information. The NRC Senior IT Security Officer must approve the final to enable system accreditation.

Subtask 9: Contingency Planning Test and Report.

The Contractor shall provide expert advice and support during the Contingency Planning Test to ensure test plan documentation is compliant with the System Contingency Plan (CP) that has been approved by the NRC Senior Information Technology Security Officer (SITSO). Testing shall follow the test procedures developed and documented by the Contractor within the Rational Suite Enterprise. The Contractor shall document the testing in a System Contingency Test Report (CP Test Report). The CP Test Report shall be developed in accordance with NIST SP 800-34 Contingency Planning Guide for Information Technology Systems, NIST SP 800-37 Guide for the Security Certification and Accreditation of Federal Information Systems, and the NRC Contingency Test Report Template.

The CP Test shall be documented in a report that follows the NRC Template for the NRC Contingency Test Report. The CP Test Report shall identify all testing assumptions, constraints, and dependencies as well as any anomalies, impromptu tests, and deviations encountered during testing. The CP Test Report shall include the actual testing schedule and detailed test results for each test procedure outlining specific errors encountered. The CP Test Report shall include a table of test findings incorporating any test issues and recommendations. The CP Test Report shall identify any problems encountered during testing and identify the resulting action items for the system. The CP Test Report shall be delivered in draft form and then in final form after NRC comments are incorporated. The NRC Senior Information Technology Security Officer

(SITSO) must approve the final CP Test Report to enable system accreditation.

GSA CONTRACT: GS-35F-0229K
DELIVERY/TASK ORDER NO: DR-33-06-317
TASK ORDER NO: DR-33-06-317-T016
TASK ORDER TITLE: LISTED/HIGH SYSTEM C&A: NSIR CLASSIFIED LAPTOP
SUPPORT
MAJOR/HIGH C&A: ELECTRONIC SAFE (E-SAFE)

SCHEDULE OF SUPPLIES OR SERVICES AND PRICE/COST

TASK ORDER 16 CEILING \$162,660.38

		From HRS/Cost		To HRS/Cost		
20	Encl 6	SYSTEM SECURITY PLAN (1 SYSTEM)				
		Project Manager	\$ 119.37	40	\$ 4,774.64	0 \$ - Task Removed
		QA Manager	\$ 115.69	40	\$ 4,627.73	0 \$ -
		Security Specialist III	\$ 124.88	160	\$ 19,980.03	0 \$ -
		Technical Writer II	\$ 59.20	40	\$ 2,327.97	0 \$ -
		Network Security Analyst	\$ 63.77	120	\$ 7,652.82	0 \$ -
		TOTALS FOR SYSTEM SECURITY PLAN (1 SYSTEM)		400	\$ 39,363.19	0 \$ -
5	8.0	SECURITY SCANNING/PEN TEST (4 QUARTERS)				
		Security Specialist II	\$ 119.37	40	\$ 4,774.64	0 \$ - Task Removed
		Network Security Analyst	\$ 63.77	300	\$ 19,132.05	0 \$ -
		TOTALS FOR SECURITY SCANNING/PEN TEST (4 QUARTERS)		340	\$ 23,906.69	0 \$ -
13	8.0	TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION (ANNUAL)				
		Security Specialist II	\$ 119.37	40	\$ 4,774.64	0 \$ - Task Removed
		Network Security Analyst	\$ 63.77	180	\$ 11,479.23	0 \$ -
		TOTALS FOR TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION (ANNUAL)		220	\$ 16,253.87	0 \$ -
16	Encl 6	SECURITY COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT (ANNUAL)				
		Security Specialist II	\$ 119.37	160	\$ 19,098.56	0 \$ - Task Removed
		TOTALS FOR SECURITY COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT (ANNUAL)		160	\$ 19,098.56	0 \$ -
26	Encl 6	FULL C&A PACKAGE (1 SYSTEM)				
		Project Manager	\$ 119.37	0	\$ -	40 \$ 4,774.64 Task Added
		QA Manager	\$ 115.69	0	\$ -	16 \$ 1,851.09
		Security Specialist IV	\$ 141.40	0	\$ -	80 \$ 11,312.22
		Security Specialist III	\$ 124.88	0	\$ -	\$ -
		Security Specialist II	\$ 119.37	0	\$ -	1000 \$ 119,366.00
		Documentation Specialist	\$ 78.85	0	\$ -	40 \$ 3,154.18
		Technical Writer II	\$ 59.20	0	\$ -	200 \$ 11,639.84
		Sr. Information Engineer	\$ 89.02	0	\$ -	120 \$ 10,562.40
		TOTALS FOR FULL C&A PACKAGE (1 SYSTEM)		0	\$ -	1496 \$ 162,660.38

From
\$ 98,622.31 To
\$ 162,660.38