



**Pacific Gas and  
Electric Company®**

**John S. Keenan**  
Senior Vice President  
Generation & Chief Nuclear Officer

77 Beale Street, Mailcode B32  
San Francisco, CA 94105

*Mailing Address*  
Mail Code B32, Room 3235  
P. O. Box 770000  
San Francisco, CA 94177

415.973.4684  
Internal: 223.4684  
Fax: 415.973.2313

August 31, 2007

PG&E Letter HBL-07-014

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
ATTN: Document Control Desk  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Docket No. 50-133, OL-DPR-7  
Humboldt Bay Power Plant, Unit 3  
Post-Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report, Revision 1

Dear Commissioners and Staff:

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.82(a)(7), PG&E is submitting the enclosed Revision 1 to the Post-Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report (PSDAR) for Humboldt Bay Power Plant, Unit 3 (Unit 3). PSDAR, Revision 1 reflects the changes in decommissioning activity status since the initial PSDAR was submitted to the NRC on February 27, 1998. In accordance with 10 CFR 50.82(a)(4)(i), the enclosed PSDAR describes planned Unit 3 decommissioning activities and associated schedule; provides an estimate of expected costs; and discusses reasons for concluding that the environmental impacts associated with site-specific decommissioning activities are bounded by appropriate, previously issued, environmental impact statements.

Sincerely,

John S. Keenan

Enclosure

cc/enc: Gary W. Butner, DHS  
Bruce S. Mallet  
John B. Hickman  
Humboldt Distribution

KIMSSOI

KIMSS

**POST-SHUTDOWN DECOMMISSIONING ACTIVITIES REPORT**

**HUMBOLDT BAY POWER PLANT, UNIT 3**

**Revision 1**

**August 2007**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b><u>Section Title</u></b>	<b><u>Page No.</u></b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. BACKGROUND</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3. DESCRIPTION &amp; SCHEDULE OF PLANNED DECOMMISSIONING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4. ESTIMATE OF EXPECTED DECOMMISSIONING COSTS</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>6. REFERENCES</b>	<b>5</b>

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) submitted the initial Humboldt Bay Power Plant (HBPP), Unit 3 (Unit 3) Post-Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report (PSDAR) to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) on February 27, 1998, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.82 (a)(4)(i). The initial PSDAR described near-term decommissioning activities, such as removal and replacement of the ventilation stack, as well as describing PG&E's intention to pursue the feasibility of constructing an Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI). Since that time, the status of Unit 3 decommissioning activities has changed considerably. For example, the ventilation stack has been removed and replaced, and PG&E has received a license to construct and operate an ISFSI. As a result, PG&E is submitting this Revision 1 to the PSDAR in accordance with 10 CFR 50.82 (a)(7). PSDAR Revision 1 replaces the initial PSDAR in its entirety.

The current status of Unit 3 is safe storage, known as SAFSTOR. PG&E obtained an ISFSI license on November 17, 2005, and is currently in the construction phase of the ISFSI. PG&E is planning to transfer spent nuclear fuel from the spent fuel pool (SFP) into the ISFSI in 2008. After the spent nuclear fuel is transferred into the ISFSI, full scale decontamination and dismantlement of Unit 3 will begin. In accordance with 10 CFR 50.82 (a)(4)(i), this PSDAR describes the planned decommissioning activities and associated schedule for Unit 3; provides an estimate of expected costs; and discusses reasons for concluding that the environmental impacts associated with site-specific decommissioning activities are bounded by appropriate, previously issued, environmental impact statements, specifically NUREG-0586, "Final Generic Environmental Impact Statement on Decommissioning of Nuclear Facilities" (Reference 1), and NUREG-1166, "Final Environmental Statement for Decommissioning Humboldt Bay Power Plant, Unit No. 3" (Reference 2).

## **2. BACKGROUND**

Unit 3 was operated by PG&E as a 65 MWe natural circulation boiling water reactor (BWR). In addition to Unit 3, two oil and/or natural gas fueled units, Units 1 and 2, exist on the plant site and continue to be operated by PG&E. Unit 1 is rated at 52 MWe, and Unit 2 is rated at 53 MWe. Two diesel-fueled gas turbine Mobile Emergency Power Plants (MEPPs), each rated at 15 MWe, are also currently located at the plant and are operated by PG&E.

Unit 3 was granted a construction permit by the Atomic Energy Commission (AEC) on October 17, 1960, and construction began in November 1960. The AEC issued Provisional Operating License No. DPR-7 for Unit 3 in August 1962. Unit 3 achieved initial criticality on February 16, 1963, and began commercial operation in August 1963.

On July 2, 1976, PG&E shut down Unit 3 for an annual refueling, to conduct seismic studies, and implement seismic modifications. Unit 3 remained in a shutdown condition pending completion of ongoing seismic and geologic studies. In December 1980 it became apparent to PG&E that the cost of completing required backfits would likely make it uneconomical to restart the unit. Work was suspended at that time awaiting further guidance regarding backfitting requirements. In 1983, updated economic analyses indicated that restarting Unit 3 would not be economical. Therefore, in June 1983, PG&E announced its intention to decommission Unit 3.

During the 13 years of Unit 3 commercial operation, 11 core cycles of operation were completed. Unit 3 operated a total of 7.85 effective full power years. The fuel was removed from the reactor in January and February 1984 and placed in the SFP. The SFP currently contains 390 partially or totally spent nuclear fuel assemblies and 50 whole, plus three partial, fission (or ion) chambers.

The NRC issued License Amendment 19 for Unit 3 on July 16, 1985, that modified the plant status to a possess-but-not-operate status. The NRC's Decommissioning Safety Evaluation Report was issued on April 29, 1987 (Reference 3). The Unit 3 license expires in 2015.

The NRC issued the ISFSI license on November 17, 2005, and it expires in 2025. Spent nuclear fuel will remain stored in the ISFSI (starting in 2008) until a high-level waste repository has been built and the Department of Energy assumes control of the fuel.

### **3. DESCRIPTION AND SCHEDULE OF PLANNED DECOMMISSIONING ACTIVITIES**

Several major inter-related activities are either currently ongoing or planned for the near future at the HBPP site.

- An ISFSI is being constructed and will store spent fuel transferred from the Unit 3 SFP.
- Unit 3 decommissioning will begin after fuel is removed from the SFP.
- Units 1 and 2 will be replaced by a new generation facility (NewGen).
- Units 1 and 2 will be decommissioned after the NewGen is operational.

Coordinating and scheduling these activities requires a great deal of planning, and the sequence is dependent upon receipt of permits and licenses.

Unit 3 will remain in SAFSTOR throughout the construction and loading of the ISFSI. Some minor dismantlement activities may occur while Unit 3 is in SAFSTOR, if they are deemed cost-effective and will not interfere with the safe

storage of spent fuel in the SFP. PG&E will notify the NRC of any planned decommissioning activities and will provide a schedule when they will occur.

After all spent fuel has been removed from the Unit 3 SFP and loaded into the ISFSI (currently scheduled for 2008), full scale decommissioning of Unit 3 will begin. Prior to full scale decommissioning, PG&E will provide the NRC with a schedule and description of decommissioning activities. Currently, PG&E is planning to begin decommissioning Unit 3 by first decontaminating and dismantling the turbine, generator, condenser, pipe tunnel and feed pump room in order to provide space for future radwaste processing. Once these activities are completed, decommissioning activities are planned to be temporarily suspended.

PG&E plans to construct the NewGen during 2009 and commence operation in 2010. Once the NewGen is operational, PG&E plans to dismantle and decommission Units 1 and 2. After Units 1 and 2 are decommissioned, the space previously occupied by Units 1 and 2 will be used as a lay-down area for Unit 3 decommissioning, and resumption of Unit 3 decommissioning is planned to begin.

The above tentative schedule for construction and operation of the NewGen facility, and subsequent decommissioning of Units 1 and 2, followed by completion of Unit 3 decommissioning, is contingent upon PG&E receiving all necessary state and local permits and licenses for the NewGen facility. If construction and operation of the NewGen is significantly delayed, PG&E may adjust the dismantlement priorities and schedule. (Units 1 and 2 must remain operational until the NewGen facility becomes operational to provide electrical services to the local area.) PG&E will keep the NRC informed of the progress of NewGen licensing.

#### **4. ESTIMATE OF EXPECTED DECOMMISSIONING COSTS**

For the eventual complete decommissioning of Unit 3, PG&E contracted TLG Services, Inc., to prepare a site-specific decommissioning cost estimate in 2005. The TLG Services, Inc., cost estimate (1) includes all Unit 3 decommissioning activities, (2) includes construction and operation of an ISFSI, and (3) is based on some decommissioning activities starting in 1996 (Reference 4). Based on this estimate, PG&E expects the cost to complete decommissioning of Unit 3 to be \$410 million, in 2007 dollars.

The cost estimate of \$410 million exceeds the current market value of the HBPP Nuclear Decommissioning Trust, which was \$304.4 million as of December 31, 2006. PG&E is collecting additional revenues of \$35.745 million (nominal future dollars) over three years (2006-2008) based on the estimate in the CPUC Decision 07-01-003, and with the interest earned on the trust account, PG&E

estimates that the HBPP Nuclear Decommissioning Trust will be fully funded. PG&E submits annual decommissioning funding assurance reports to the NRC in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.75(f). Updates to decommissioning cost estimates and decommissioning trust fund balances are documented in these reports, the latest of which was submitted on March 30, 2007 (Reference 5).

## **5. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS**

10 CFR 50.82 (a)(4)(i) requires the PSDAR to include “a discussion that provides the reasons for concluding that the environmental impacts associated with the site-specific decommissioning activities will be bounded by appropriate previously issued environmental impact statements.” For the eventual complete decommissioning of Unit 3, the following discussion provides reasons for drawing the above conclusion, based on:

- NUREG-1166, “Final Environmental Statement for Decommissioning Humboldt Bay Power Plant, Unit No. 3,” and
- NUREG-0586, “Final Generic Environmental Impact Statement (FGEIS) on Decommissioning Nuclear Facilities.”

PG&E originally assessed the environmental impact of Unit 3 decommissioning in the Unit 3 Environmental Report, dated July 30, 1984 (Reference 6). The NRC response to the Environmental Report is documented in NUREG-1166, dated April 1987.

The FGEIS assesses decommissioning a typical (“referenced”) 1155 MWe BWR that operated throughout its 40-year operating life. The FGEIS concludes that (1) decommissioning of such a facility is not an imminent health and safety problem, (2) radiation dose to the public due to decommissioning activities should be very small, and (3) radiation dose to decommissioning workers should be well within the occupational exposure limits. By comparison, Unit 3 is a 65 MWe BWR that operated for only 13 years and accumulated only 7.85 effective full power years of reactor operation. Therefore, the environmental impacts of decommissioning Unit 3 are expected to be much smaller in comparison to the “referenced” plant analyzed in the FGEIS.

The total occupational dose for complete decommissioning Unit 3, following 25 years of SAFSTOR, is expected to be approximately 360 person-rem. This total occupational dose estimate was obtained by adding the following three doses: (1) occupational doses received from placing Unit 3 in SAFSTOR and maintaining Unit 3 in SAFSTOR through 2006 total 167 person-rem, (2) all occupational activities required for the actual decommissioning of Unit 3 are expected to result in an occupational dose of approximately 180 person-rem,

and (3) occupational dose due to truck shipments are expected to be 13 person-rem. The total occupational dose estimate of 360 person-rem is bounded by the appropriate FGEIS exposure estimates for the "referenced" BWR.

Total public dose from decommissioning Unit 3, following 25 years of SAFSTOR, is estimated to be approximately 2 person-rem. This estimate is bounded by the appropriate FGEIS exposure estimates for the "referenced" BWR.

PG&E concludes that Unit 3 decommissioning will be accomplished with no significant adverse environmental impacts, because:

- No Unit 3 site-specific factors would alter the conclusions of the FGEIS.
- There are no unique aspects of the plant or decommissioning techniques to be used that would invalidate the conclusions reached in the FGEIS.
- Delaying the dismantlement of Unit 3 following 25 years of SAFSTOR has resulted in considerable radioactivity decay with resultant reduced dose rates and lower occupational radiation exposure.
- Public and occupational doses are bounded by FGEIS levels.

## **6. REFERENCES**

1. NUREG-0586, "Final Generic Environmental Impact Statement on Decommissioning of Nuclear Facilities," dated August 1988
2. NUREG-1166, "Final Environmental Statement for Decommissioning Humboldt Bay Power Plant, Unit No. 3," dated April 1987
3. NRC Safety Evaluation Report, Humboldt Bay Power Plant, Unit No. 3 Decommissioning, dated April 29, 1987
4. TLG Services, Inc. Letter P01-1513-002, regarding Decommissioning Cost Study for Humboldt Bay Power Plant Unit 3, dated October 2005
5. PG&E Letter HBL-07-002, submitted to the NRC regarding Decommissioning Funding Assurance, dated March 30, 2007
6. Environmental Report, Attachment 6 to PG&E's application to decommission HBPP, dated July 30, 1984