

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:

General Dynamics Land Systems
Amphibious Systems, Inc.
991 Annapolis Way and 1041 Worth Avenue,
Woodbridge, VA

REPORT NUMBER(S) 2007-002

2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE

REGION III
US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
801 WARRENVILLE ROAD
LISLE IL 60532-4351

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)

03019731

4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)

21-21068-01

5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION

9/5/07

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- ☒ 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- ☐ 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- ☐ 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s):

- ☐ 4. During this inspection, certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Statement of Corrective Actions

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the Inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

TITLE	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
LICENSEE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Steven R. Courtemanche	Robert H. Antone, Jr. for S.C.	9/13/07

Docket File Information
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: General Dynamics Land Systems REPORT NUMBER(S) 2007-002		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE REGION III	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 03019731	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 21-21068-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 9/5/07	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87125	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01-03.07		

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 03214	2. PRIORITY 5	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Boyd Rose, RSO	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 586-825-4503
-----------------------------	------------------	---------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

- ☐ Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: 09/05/2012
- ☒ Field Office Inspection (See Below) _____
- ☐ Temporary Job Site Inspection _____

PROGRAM SCOPE

The inspection was conducted at 991 Annapolis Way and 1041 Worth Avenue, Woodbridge, VA.

All licensed activities have been transferred from the Annapolis Way facility to the Worth Avenue facilities with records being maintained at the Annapolis Way facility. The individual responsible for overseeing day-to-day activities is Joseph Burke, Safety Officer. There has been no use of licensed material, other than storage, for four years. Only the safety officer and security have keys to the storage area for the units. Environmental, Health and Safety personnel from the corporate office in Michigan visit the sites on an annual basis to perform a safety audit of which radiation safety is a part. Physical inventories are performed every six months and the results relayed to the corporate office. When the program was active, the units were installed in the vehicles to ensure that they fit and the electronics worked. The units were not activated to see if the sealed sources in the chemical detectors worked. Leak testing was not required of the licensee since they are following the Department of the Army (DoA) protocol which only requires testing if the module containing the source is removed or replaced. The modules have never been removed from the units. There is no set time for performing leak tests while the units are kept in storage as per the DoA protocol in the Sealed Source and Device Registry.