

RAS 14123

**From:** "Tom Ferguson" <tf@thinkspeak.net>  
**To:** <hearingdocket@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** Fri, Sep 14, 2007 5:18 PM  
**Subject:** comments

DOCKETED  
USNRC

September 17, 2007 (7:45am)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
RULEMAKINGS AND  
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Docket No. 70-3098-MLA

I wish to submit the following comments:

Since DOE is planning to ship tons of additional plutonium to SRS and the MOX program we, the public, are threatened in our health and well-being.

ISSUE #1: the outrageous story of the B-52 with the loose nukes ... the sleeping stowaway who woke up at San Onofre nuclear plant ... underscore the relevance of our terrorism contention. Nuclear Watch of New Mexico and Tri-Valley CAREs submitted this compelling point: following the precedent in the Ninth Circuit, DOE issued a memo (December 6, 2006) saying "Each DOE EIS and EA should explicitly consider intentional destructive acts. This applies to all DOE proposed actions, including both nuclear and non-nuclear proposals." So why can't we get an EIS analyzing terrorism or insider sabotage for the mammoth MOX plutonium project proposing to transport, store, and process 34 to 78 tons of plutonium over the next 30 years???

ISSUE #2: ... SHAMS is trying to weasel out of doing an emergency response plan producing slip-shod (or just plain shady?) analysis which finds there is no case in which an offsite member of the public would ever be exposed to more than 1 REM. ... a dose of 1 REM would trigger the requirement to develop an emergency response plan.

Well,... Former industry insider Arnie Gundersen from Vermont filed comments revealing that SHAMS entered a zero into the analysis because they simply did not KNOW the doses for krypton and xenon. When you plug that data in, the result is a 2.46 REM dose and SHAMS has to prepare an emergency plan!

tom ferguson  
372 oakland ave se  
atlanta, ga 30312  
404.522-9659  
tf@thinkspeak.net

Template = SECY-038

SECY-02

**Mail Envelope Properties** (46EAF86.5C1 : 22 : 42433)

**Subject:** comments  
**Creation Date** Fri, Sep 14, 2007 5:12 PM  
**From:** "Tom Ferguson" <tf@thinkspeak.net>

**Created By:** tf@thinkspeak.net

**Recipients**

nrc.gov

OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

HearingDocket (HearingDocket)

**Post Office**

OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

**Route**

nrc.gov

**Files**

MESSAGE

Mime.822

**Size**

1642

2950

**Date & Time**

Friday, September 14, 2007 5:12 PM

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**ReplyRequested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard

**Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results**

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling

This message was not classified as Junk Mail

**Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered**

Junk Mail handling disabled by User

Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator

Junk List is not enabled

Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled

Block List is not enabled