

## SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

## 1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:

AG Processing, Inc  
900 Lower Lake Road  
St. Joseph, MO 64502

## 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE

REGION III  
US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
2443 WARRENVILLE ROAD, SUITE 210  
LISLE, ILLINOIS 60532

REPORT

2007-001

## 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)

030-18563

## 4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)

24-24383-01

## 5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION

August 30, 2007

## LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- ☒ 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- ☐ 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- ☐ 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

\_\_\_\_\_ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- ☐ 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.  
(Violations and Corrective Actions)

## Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Ed Kulzer		Sept. 5, 2007

*Docket File Information*  
**SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT  
AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION**



1. LICENSEE <b>Ag Processing, Inc.</b> REPORT 2007-001	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE <b>Region III</b>
--	---

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-18563	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 24-24383-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION August 30, 2007
----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	---

6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87124	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01 - 03.07
--	--

**SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION**

1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 03120	2. PRIORITY 5	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Scott Reese	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 816.396.2284
-----------------------------	------------------	------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Main Office Inspection	Next Inspection Date: August 2012
<input type="checkbox"/> Field	
<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary Job Site	

**PROGRAM SCOPE**

The licensee is a soy bean processor. The licensee employs 84 individuals. The licensee possesses two specifically licensed fixed gauges. Currently, one individual is involved with use and oversight of the devices. The licensee leak tests the gauges at three-year frequencies in accordance with manufacturers specifications. The licensee performs inventories and shutter checks at the required frequencies.

The licensee has the manufacturer relocate and remove gauges. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer.

**Performance Observations**

The plant facility was toured and the two fixed gauges were observed in conjunction with the licensee's current inventory. The RSO demonstrated survey techniques used when a gauge is locked out and individuals enter process vessels. Proper lock-out and leak test procedures were also adequately described. Interviews conducted with plant workers revealed that under no circumstances would a vessel be entered without RSO supervision of lock-out/tag-out procedures. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated similar results as noted in the licensee's survey records