

September 10, 2007

Mr. John S. Clarke, Assistant Director
Georgia Water Science Center
U.S. Geological Survey
Peachtree Business Center, Suite 130
3039 Amwiler Road
Atlanta, GA 30360-2824

SUBJECT: NRC STAFF REVIEW OF "SIMULATION AND PARTICLE-TRACKING
ANALYSIS OF SELECTED GROUND-WATER PUMPING SCENARIOS
AT PLANT VOGTLE, BURKE COUNTY, GEORGIA", TASK ORDER 2,
CONTRACT Q-4109/J-3332, PLANT VOGTLE GROUNDWATER
PUMPING ANALYSIS

Dear Mr. Clarke:

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff has reviewed the draft of the report, "Simulation and Particle-Tracking Analysis of Selected Ground-Water Pumping Scenarios at Plant Vogtle, Burke County, Georgia" by G.S. Cherry and J.S. Clarke. This work was performed under Task Order 2, Contract Q-4109/J-3332, "Plant Vogtle Groundwater Pumping Analysis." Enclosed are the staff's comments on the subject draft report.

If you have any questions or comments concerning the staff's review, please contact me at 301-415-3053 or via email mdn@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Mark D. Notich
Environmental Project Manager
Division of Site and Environmental Reviews
Office of New Reactors

Docket No: 52-011

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: See next page

September 10, 2007

Mr. John S. Clarke, Assistant Director
Georgia Water Science Center
U.S. Geological Survey
Peachtree Business Center, Suite 130
3039 Amwiler Road
Atlanta, GA 30360-2824

SUBJECT: NRC STAFF REVIEW OF "SIMULATION AND PARTICLE-TRACKING
ANALYSIS OF SELECTED GROUND-WATER PUMPING SCENARIOS
AT PLANT VOGTLE, BURKE COUNTY, GEORGIA", TASK ORDER 2,
CONTRACT Q-4109/J-3332, PLANT VOGTLE GROUNDWATER
PUMPING ANALYSIS

Dear Mr. Clarke:

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff has reviewed the draft of the report, "Simulation and Particle-Tracking Analysis of Selected Ground-Water Pumping Scenarios at Plant Vogtle, Burke County, Georgia" by G.S. Cherry and J.S. Clarke. This work was performed under Task Order 2, Contract Q-4109/J-3332, "Plant Vogtle Groundwater Pumping Analysis". Enclosed are the staff's comments on the subject draft report.

If you have any questions or comments concerning the staff's review, please contact me at 301-415-3053 or via email mdn@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Mark D. Notich
Environmental Project Manager
Division of Site and Environmental Reviews
Office of New Reactors

Docket No: 52-011

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION: See Next Page

ADAMS ACCESSION No.: ML072430133

OFFICE	PM:DSER:RAP1	LA:DSER:RAP1	BC:DSER:RAP1
NAME	M. Notich	G. Hawkins	W. Burton
DATE	09/04/2007	09/06/2007	09/10/2007

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Letter to John S. Clarke from Mark D. Notich dated: September 10, 2007

SUBJECT: NRC STAFF REVIEW OF "SIMULATION AND PARTICLE-TRACKING
ANALYSIS OF SELECTED GROUND-WATER PUMPING SCENARIOS
AT PLANT VOGTLE, BURKE COUNTY, GEORGIA", TASK ORDER 2,
CONTRACT Q-4109/J-3332, PLANT VOGTLE GROUNDWATER
PUMPING ANALYSIS

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC

RidsNroDser

RidsNroDserRap1

RidsNroDserRap2

RidsNroDserRenv

RidsOgcMailCenter

RidsRgn2MailCenter

JLyons

BClayton

AKugler

NPital

SMonarque

BZalcman

RWeisman

FCameron

ECunningham

CCook

JBlake, RII

KLandis, RII

KClark, RII

RHannah, RII

JReece, RII

MParkhurst (PNNL)

BMiller (PNNL)

**NRC Staff Review Comments on
“Simulation and Particle-Tracking Analysis of Selected
Ground-Water Pumping Scenarios at Plant Vogtle, Burke County, Georgia”
By Gregory S. Cherry and John S. Clarke, USGS
Task Order 2, Contract Q-4109/J-3332, “Plant Vogtle
Groundwater Pumping Analysis.”**

1. Make a global editing change by replacing “Plant Vogtle” with “the VEGP Site” throughout the document to establish consistency with the DEIS.
2. The NRC needs a copy of each reference for inclusion in the Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS).
3. Page 8, line 3; the 5147 sq mi area is described as 4455 sq mi on page 10; much later in the paper the 4455 sq mi area is noted as the grid region. Reconcile these numbers and descriptions throughout the document.
4. Page 8, end of 2nd paragraph; it would be good to note the substantial changes occurred in the vicinity of SRS wells and not the VEGP Site wells.
5. Page 9, line 3; Change “puming” to “pumping”.
6. Page 10, 2nd paragraph; Please note the origin of data (on screened intervals) that led to assigning pumping to lower Dublin, and upper and lower Midville aquifers. The best information that the staff is aware of is from the FSAR of 2003 that indicates the applicant draws water from aquifer zones below a depth of 450 ft at well TW-1. This report is more specific about the screened intervals, but the source is not mentioned.
7. Page 12, end of first paragraph; Add remarks regarding the level of contamination currently observed in the Gordon, Dublin and Midville aquifers underlying SRS, and the presence/absence of an upward hydraulic gradient which would protect the deeper confined aquifers from contamination in the Upper Three Runs aquifer.
8. Page 19, Section entitled “Simulation of Ground-Water Flow”; this section needs a paragraph describing or pointing (in references) to the geohydrologic data (hydraulic conductivity, porosity, effective porosity, etc.) employed in the aquifer model as well as the pathline/travel time predictions. If a set of general values were used for a given strata, they should be shown in a table. If a single value of effective porosity is used in the calculation of velocities and travel times, it should be noted.
9. Page 20, 2nd paragraph; the staff expected some note as to why these three layers (5, 6, and 7) were assigned a specified head boundary condition. Was it because any boundary value problem needs to have some Dirichlet boundary conditions in order to fix the solution in space, (i.e., a problem solved with all Neuman boundary conditions will have a correct shape but can float in space and

Enclosure

- present an infinite number of solutions)? Or, was it found beneficial to set these boundaries in order to match the influence of the Savannah River, the position “saddles” seen in the field data, and the groundwater flow to erosional windows into the Savannah River alluvium.
10. Page 20, last partial paragraph; were site-specific pumping data assigned to specific well locations in the model? How and/or where were “county-aggregate” pumping distributions applied in the model?
 11. Page 23, overall comment; Add plots showing the comparison of 2002 field observations (Figures 5-8) versus the results of the model in 2002? This would make more clear those areas or regions of the model that do not compare especially well, e.g., the P-19 cluster. This could also help one understand how the hypothesized channel features in Burke County could lead to an improved match.
 12. Page 25, 1st paragraph; A pointer to the “results of model simulations for 2002 are presented in Cherry (2006)...” is probably needed in the preceding section. The following comment belongs in that preceding section on the simulation of groundwater flow. The staff looked at the plots in Cherry (2006) and note that it appears that use has been made of river cells in the Gordon aquifer strata over an area including the large erosional window and extending all the way downriver to the small erosional window aligned with the Pen Branch Fault. Why was the small erosional window not modeled as an isolated window to the alluvial material of the river? The staff assumes better model results were achieved.
 13. Page 23, general; is there a metric like the match between observed and modeled approximate location of the saddle in each aquifer (below the SRS and Vogtle) that would indicate a reasonable model match? Some additional statements are needed regarding the match or calibration achieved between the 2002 observed setting and the 2002 simulated hydrologic condition.
 14. Page 26, line 4; Delete “ranging from” and insert “somewhat more than,” and insert “respectively” after “0.5 ft.”
 15. Page 26, last line; The 12 production wells at the SRS need to be shown on one or more figures. Including them on Figure 1B along with other wells drawing groundwater from the Dublin and Midville aquifer systems would be good. They could be shown on Figures 7 and 8 also.
 16. Page 27, 4th - 5th line; Delete “as much as 4-6” and insert “greater than 4 to 6.”
 17. Page 27, 5th line; Delete “6-8” and insert “greater than 6 to 8.”
 18. Page 27, 11th line; Insert “greater than” before “2 ft.”
 19. Page 28, 16th line; Insert “greater than” before “2 ft.”
 20. Page 28, 16th line; Insert “greater than” before “1 ft.”

21. Page 30, closing sentence of 1st full paragraph; Append to the sentence; "... because it has not been substantiated by field investigations."
22. Page 31, 16th line; Insert "less than" before "-0.5 to -2.0 ft."
23. Page 31, 16th line, Insert "less than" before "-0.5 to -8 ft."
24. Page 31, 19th line, Insert "greater than" before "0.25 to 1 ft."
25. Page 31, last line; Following the first sentence insert the following: "This scenario, while not proposed by the applicant and not viewed as plausible for long-term operation of proposed Vogtle Units 3 and 4, does illustrate the pumping rates necessary to draw groundwater from South Carolina to the Vogtle Site production wells, and does indicate the likely origin of such groundwater in South Carolina."
26. Page 32, 6th line; Delete "as much as 4-6 ft" and insert "greater than 4 to 6 ft."
27. Page 32, 6th line; Delete "6-8" and insert "greater than 6 to 8."
28. Page 32, 9th line; Insert "greater than" before "2 ft."
29. Table 2; Not clear where the footnote applies or whether it applies, i.e., "Now called MU-5."
30. Table 4; Need a footnote to the effect "Southern Nuclear Company has noted that the high pumping rates during startup of Units 1 and 2 were related to achieving water quality criteria, and not to groundwater demand by the facilities. Water treatment methods are now used to achieve the water quality criteria and have greatly reduced groundwater pumping rates."
31. Figure 1B; Show well locations drawing groundwater from the Dublin and Midville aquifer systems in the region shown; especially SRS wells and wells near Vogtle like the water supply well(s) in Waynesboro (if they are in this figure).
32. Figure 6; Under "Potentiometric contour" delete "Upper Three Runs" and insert "Gordon."
33. Figure 7; Under "Potentiometric contour" delete "Upper Three Runs" and insert "Dublin," also suggest showing the location of pumped wells in this region on this figure.
34. Figure 8; Under "Potentiometric contour" delete "Upper Three Runs" and insert "Midville"; also suggest showing the location of pumped wells in this region on this figure.
35. Figure 17; the maximum delta contour label (4) need to be better aligned.
36. Figure 19; the contour labels for "3" and "4" need to be better aligned.

37. Figure 20; the contour labels for “3” and “4” need to be better aligned (or is it “4” and “8”?).

38. Figure 21; the contour labels for “4” and perhaps “6” need to be better aligned.

39. Figure 22; the contour label for “4” needs to be better aligned.

ESP Southern Nuclear - Vogtle Mailing List

cc:

Chief,
Radiological Emergency Preparedness
Branch
Nuclear and Chemical Preparedness
and Protection Division
Dept of Homeland Security
1800 South Bell St., Room 837
Crystal City-Arlington, VA 22202-3546

Attorney General
Law Department
132 Judicial Building
Atlanta, GA 30334

Mr. Laurence Bergen
Oglethorpe Power Corporation
2100 East Exchange Place
P.O. Box 1349
Tucker, GA 30085-1349

Mr. M. Stanford Blanton, Esq.
Balch and Bingham, LLP
P.O. Box 306
Birmingham, AL 35201

Ms. Michele Boyd
Legislative Director
Energy Program
Public Citizens Critical Mass Energy
and Environmental Program
215 Pennsylvania Avenue, SE
Washington, DC 20003

County Commissioner
Office of the County Commissioner
Burke County Commission
Waynesboro, GA 30830

Mr. James Davis
ESP Project Manager
Southern Nuclear Company
P.O. Box 1295, BIN B056
Birmingham, AL 35201

Director
Consumer's Utility
Counsel Division
Governor's Office of Consumer Affairs
2 Martin Luther King, Jr.
Plaza Level East, Suite 356
Atlanta, GA 30334-4600

Mr. Arthur H. Domby, Esq.
Troutman Sanders
Nations Bank Plaza
600 Peachtree Street, NW
Suite 200
Atlanta, GA 3030-2216

Mr. Marvin Fertel
Senior Vice President and
Chief Nuclear Officer
Nuclear Energy Institute
1776 I Street, NW
Suite 400
Washington, DC 20006-3708

Mr. Jeffrey T. Gasser
Executive Vice President
Southern Nuclear Operating Company
P.O. Box 1295
Birmingham, AL 35201-1295

O. C. Harper, IV
Vice President - Resources Planning
and Nuclear Development
Georgia Power Company
241 Ralph McGill Boulevard
Atlanta, GA 30308

Mr. Steven M. Jackson
Sr. Engineer - Power Supply
Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia
1470 Riveredge Pkwy, NW
Atlanta, GA 30328-4684

Mr. Dale M. Lloyd
Vogtle Deployment Director
Southern Nuclear Operating
Company, Inc.

Enclosure

ESP Southern Nuclear - Vogtle Mailing List

cc:

Mr. Reece McAllister
Executive Secretary
Georgia Public Service Commission
Atlanta, GA 30334

Mr. Thomas Moorer
ESP Project Manager
Southern Nuclear Operating
Company, Inc.
P.O. Box 1295
Birmingham, AL 35201-1295

Mr. Charles R. Pierce
ESP Project Manager
Southern Nuclear Operating
Company, Inc.
P.O. Box 1295
Birmingham, AL 35201-1295

Resident Inspector
Vogtle Plant
8805 River Road
Waynesboro, GA 30830

Resident Manager
Oglethorpe Power Corporation
Alvin W. Vogtle Nuclear Plant
8805 River Road
Waynesboro, GA 30830

Mr. Jerry Smith
Commissioner
District 8
Augusta-Richmond County Commission
1332 Brown Road
Hephzibah, GA 30815

Mr. Robert E. Sweeney
IBEX ESI
4641 Montgomery Avenue
Suite 350
Bethesda, MD 20814

ESP Southern Nuclear - Vogtle Mailing List

cc:

Email:

agaughtm@southernco.com (Amy Aughtman)
APH@NEI.org (Adrian Heymer)
arspears@southernco.com (Annie Spears)
awc@nei.org (Anne W. Cottingham)
bennettS2@bv.com (Steve A. Bennett)
BrinkmCB@westinghouse.com (Charles Brinkman)
chris.maslak@ge.com (Chris Maslak)
cwaltman@roe.com (C. Waltman)
david.lewis@pillsburylaw.com (David Lewis)
dlochbaum@UCSUSA.org (David Lochbaum)
frankq@hursttech.com (Frank Quinn)
greshaja@westinghouse.com (James Gresham)
jgutierrez@morganlewis.com (Jay M. Gutierrez)
jim.riccio@wdc.greenpeace.org (James Riccio)
JJNesrsta@cpsenergy.com (James J. Nesrsta)
john.o'neil@pillsburylaw.com (John O'Neil)
Joseph.savage@ge.com (Joseph Savage)
Joseph_Hegner@dom.com (Joseph Hegner)
jtdavis@southernco.com (Jim Davis)
KSutton@morganlewis.com (Kathryn M. Sutton)
kwaugh@impact-net.org (Kenneth O. Waugh)
lynchs@gao.gov (Sarah Lynch - Meeting Notices Only)
MaddenG@BV.com (George Madden)
maria.webb@pillsburylaw.com (Maria Webb)
mark.beaumont@wsms.com (Mark Beaumont)
matias.travieso-diaz@pillsburylaw.com (Matias Travieso-Diaz)
mcaston@southernco.com (Moanica Caston)
media@nei.org (Scott Peterson)
mike_moran@fpl.com (Mike Moran)
nirsnet@nirs.org (Michael Mariotte)
patriciaL.campbell@ge.com (Patricia L. Campbell)
Paul@beyondnuclear.org (Paul Gunter)
phinnen@entergy.com (Paul Hinnenkamp)
pshastings@duke-energy.com (Peter Hastings)
RJB@NEI.org (Russell Bell)
RKTemple@cpsenergy.com (R.K. Temple)
roberta.swain@ge.com (Roberta Swain)
ronald.hagen@eia.doe.gov (Ronald Hagen)
sandra.sloan@areva.com (Sandra Sloan)
sfrantz@morganlewis.com (Stephen P. Frantz)
tom.miller@hq.doe.gov (Tom Miller)
tomccall@southernco.com (Tom McCallum)
Vicki_Hull@dom.com (Vicki Hull)
VictorB@bv.com (Bill Victor)
waraksre@westinghouse.com (Rosemarie E. Waraks)