

EDO Principal Correspondence Control

FROM: DUE: 08/31/07

EDO CONTROL: G20070571
DOC DT: 08/14/07
FINAL REPLY:

Marvin S. Fertel
Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI)

TO:

Chairman Klein

FOR SIGNATURE OF :

** GRN **

CRC NO: 07-0538

Reyes, EDO

DESC:

ROUTING:

Provide Followup to August 2 Commission Briefing
on Risk-Informed Regulation - Project Number 689

Reyes
Virgilio
Kane
Ash
Ordaz
Burns/Cyr
Fields, OEDO

DATE: 08/17/07

ASSIGNED TO:

CONTACT:

NRR

Dyer

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

Template: SEC4-017

E-REDS: SEC4-01

**OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET**

Date Printed: Aug 15, 2007 17:31

PAPER NUMBER: LTR-07-0538 **LOGGING DATE:** 08/15/2007
ACTION OFFICE: EDO

AUTHOR: Marvin Fertel
AFFILIATION: NEI
ADDRESSEE: CHRM Dale Klein
SUBJECT: Provides followup to August 2 Commission briefing on Risk-Informed Regulation - Project Number 689

ACTION: ~~Appropriate~~
DISTRIBUTION: RF

LETTER DATE: 08/14/2007
ACKNOWLEDGED No
SPECIAL HANDLING: Immediate release to the public via SECY/EDO/DPC

NOTES:
FILE LOCATION: ADAMS

DATE DUE: **DATE SIGNED:**

EDO --G20070571



NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE

Marvin S. Fertel
SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT AND
CHIEF NUCLEAR OFFICER

August 14, 2007

The Honorable Dale E. Klein
Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: August 2 Commission Briefing on Risk-Informed Regulation

Project Number: 689

Dear Chairman Klein:

We appreciated the opportunity to brief the Commission on August 2 regarding risk-informed performance based regulation. Appropriately using risk-informed approaches remains a priority for the industry and we were encouraged by the supportive comments of the commissioners. As noted during the briefing, these methods have demonstrated improvements to both safety and operations. We would like to take this opportunity to reinforce several important discussion items from the briefing.

First, we would like to correct an apparent misunderstanding regarding the overall status of plant probabilistic risk assessments (PRA). Statements were made to the effect that only a few plants (particularly those at the briefing table) have high quality PRAs that are suitable for major regulatory applications and that most of the industry lagged behind in PRA development. This is not a reflection of industrywide PRA technical adequacy. In reality, essentially all plants have PRAs that are of comparable technical adequacy to those plants represented at the briefing and ongoing efforts to address Regulatory Guide 1.200 will further enhance model capability and documentation. All plant PRAs have been peer reviewed and the significant open items from these reviews have been addressed. Plants like those at the briefing that have chosen to pilot major regulatory applications have done so because of their willingness to provide industry leadership by engaging in the pilot approval process.

Second, we concur with the tenor of the comments by the Commission and would like to reinforce our desire to pursue improvements to the reactor oversight significance determination process (SDP). We believe the Commission expressed support for consideration of reform of this activity and we have provided several proposals (e.g., use of licensee PRAs for SDP and focusing licensees


The Honorable Dale E. Klein
August 14, 2007
Page 2

on corrective action rather than further analysis of small risk impacts) that could effectively mitigate the large drain on PRA resources currently being applied to de minimus risk evaluations at the green/white threshold of the SDP. Our intent is to enhance the efficiency and risk focus of the process, not to change the outcome. We request Commission direction to NRC staff to proceed in the near-term with industry and other stakeholders towards process revisions that would achieve these goals.

Finally, we would like to re-emphasize the lessons we have learned from the eight year development period required for an NRC-endorsed internal events PRA standard. Industry's near term priorities are to develop internal events at power and fire PRAs meeting the technical adequacy requirements of consensus standards. These efforts, along with those related to addressing SDP activities, will essentially consume the existing PRA infrastructure for the next several years. Therefore, it is not realistic to impose or achieve regulatory expectations relative to complete scope PRAs in this time frame. Full scope PRAs, and the concept of an integrated PRA standard, are meaningful long term objectives requiring further technology development, pilot application, and refinement.

Thank you again for the opportunity to brief the Commission on this subject and we look forward to demonstrating additional progress at next year's briefing. Please contact me or Tony Pietrangelo if you need further information.

Sincerely,



Marvin S. Fertel

c: The Honorable Edward McGaffigan, Jr., Commissioner, NRC
The Honorable Gregory B. Jaczko, Commissioner, NRC
The Honorable Peter B. Lyons, Commissioner, NRC
Mr. Luis A. Reyes, Executive Director for Operations, NRC
Mr. William F. Kane, Deputy Executive Director for Reactor and Preparedness Programs, NRC
Mr. James E. Dyer, Director, NRC/NRR
Mr. R. William Borchardt, Director, NRC/NRO
NRC Document Control Desk

CHAIRMAN - August 2 Commission Briefing on Risk-Informed Regulation

From: "FERTEL, Marvin" <msf@nei.org>
To: <chairman@nrc.gov>
Date: 08/14/2007 4:12 PM
Subject: August 2 Commission Briefing on Risk-Informed Regulation

August 14, 2007

The Honorable Dale E. Klein
Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: August 2 Commission Briefing on Risk-Informed Regulation

Project Number: 689

Dear Chairman Klein:

We appreciated the opportunity to brief the Commission on August 2 regarding risk-informed performance based regulation. Appropriately using risk-informed approaches remains a priority for the industry and we were encouraged by the supportive comments of the commissioners. As noted during the briefing, these methods have demonstrated improvements to both safety and operations. We would like to take this opportunity to reinforce several important discussion items from the briefing.

First, we would like to correct an apparent misunderstanding regarding the overall status of plant probabilistic risk assessments (PRA). Statements were made to the effect that only a few plants (particularly those at the briefing table) have high quality PRAs that are suitable for major regulatory applications and that most of the industry lagged behind in PRA development. This is not a reflection of industrywide PRA technical adequacy. In reality, essentially all plants have PRAs that are of comparable technical adequacy to those plants represented at the briefing and ongoing efforts to address Regulatory Guide 1.200 will further enhance model capability and documentation. All plant PRAs have been peer reviewed and the significant open items from these reviews have been addressed. Plants like those at the briefing that have chosen to pilot major regulatory applications have done so because of their willingness to provide industry leadership by engaging in the pilot approval process.

Marvin S. Fertel
Senior Vice President and Chief Nuclear Officer

Nuclear Energy Institute
1776 I Street NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20006
www.nei.org

P: 202-739-8125
F: 202-293-3451
E: msf@nei.org

nuclear. clean air energy.

This electronic message transmission contains information from the Nuclear Energy Institute, Inc. The information is intended solely for the use of the addressee and its use by any other person is not authorized. If you are not the intended recipient, you have received this communication in error, and any review, use, disclosure, copying or distribution of the contents of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone or by electronic mail and permanently delete the original message. IRS Circular 230 disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS and other taxing authorities, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to

be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties that may be imposed on any taxpayer or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

Mail Envelope Properties (46C20CBC.0C7 : 21 : 32967)

Subject: August 2 Commission Briefing on Risk-Informed Regulation
Creation Date Tue, Aug 14, 2007 4:11 PM
From: "FERTEL, Marvin" <msf@nei.org>

Created By: msf@nei.org

Recipients

nrc.gov
OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01
CHAIRMAN

Post Office

OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	3080	Tuesday, August 14, 2007 4:11 PM
TEXT.htm	11972	
08-14-07_NRC_August 2 Commission Briefing on Risk-Informed Regulation.pdf	44728	
Mime.822	80255	

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
ReplyRequested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling
This message was not classified as Junk Mail

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk Mail handling disabled by User
Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator
Junk List is not enabled
Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled
Block List is not enabled