

NRCREP - Uranium mining GEIS

From: "William Gross" <wsgross@msn.com>
To: <nrcprep@nrc.gov>
Date: 08/15/2007 7:01 PM
Subject: Uranium mining GEIS

7/24/07

72FR 40344

(15)

I've just read about there having been only two public meetings. By the very nature of mines, they must be *in situ*; so must be the Environmental Impact Statements. Logic implies that generic environmental impact studies are infeasible and do not meet the needs of those in the environmental impact regions. I believe very strongly that the NRC must be accountable for protecting the purity of aquifers in impact regions about mines. Further, NRC must be accountable to people living in *in situ* regions are safe from uranium and other toxic heavy metals.

William Gross
 Professor and Dean Emeritus, UNM

RECEIVED

2007 AUG 16 AM 11:32

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH

SUNSI Review Complete
 Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-013

File = J. Park (JRP)
 B. Vontell (RWV)

Mail Envelope Properties (46C385D7.AAB : 0 : 64171)

Subject: Uranium mining GEIS
Creation Date Wed, Aug 15, 2007 7:02 PM
From: "William Gross" <wsgross@msn.com>

Created By: wsgross@msn.com

Recipients

nrc.gov
TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01
NRCREP

Post Office

TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	602	Wednesday, August 15, 2007 7:02 PM
TEXT.htm	1407	
Mime.822	4052	

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
ReplyRequested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling
This message was not classified as Junk Mail

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk Mail handling disabled by User
Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator
Junk List is not enabled
Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled
Block List is not enabled