

From: "Larry Harmon" <lharmon@safetylight.com>
To: "Elizabeth Ullrich" <EXU@nrc.gov>
Date: Tue, Jul 17, 2007 2:51 PM
Subject: RE: your letter dated July 17, 2007

It seems correct to me. I came up with approx. \$67,000 odd dollars in the letter that I just sent to you this morning. We are trying to do everything possible to get everything done that was outlined in the original quote from Solutient for the amount of monies we will have accumulated in the escrow account or will have in the account by the end of December. That's why Envirocare may not be the one that we use. Again we are still working on that.

-----Original Message-----

From: Elizabeth Ullrich [mailto:EXU@nrc.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2007 2:58 PM
To: Larry Harmon
Cc: 'Bill Lynch'
Subject: RE: your letter dated July 17, 2007

One more question, Larry:

Based on the original Solutient estimates, you need \$148,256 to cover the additional costs of packaging/transport/disposal/analyses for waste shipments to Envirocare. Solutient originally estimated a total cost of \$444,686, and so far you have spent \$366,533 just on the shipment to Barnwell, a difference of only \$78,153 (that total includes the most recent bill submitted with the 7/17/07). So it looks as though you will be needing an additional \$70,000 or so, depending on which additional actions come in over [or under] budget.

Does this seem correct to you?

Betsy

Betsy Ullrich, Senior Health Physicist
US NRC Region I Office
Division of Nuclear Materials Safety
(610) 337-5040
exu@nrc.gov

>>> "Larry Harmon" <lharmon@safetylight.com> 07/17/2007 2:35 PM >>>
I have revised the table (see the attachment).

-----Original Message-----

From: Elizabeth Ullrich [mailto:EXU@nrc.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2007 1:43 PM
To: Larry Harmon
Cc: Bill Lynch
Subject: your letter dated July 17, 2007

Larry,

I just received your 7/17/07 request for additional funds from the trust account. I am attaching a table, based on the Solutient January 2006 proposal, of the estimated costs and actual costs, as we understand them. Before we release additional funds, I need you to review this

table and let me know of any changes/corrections/etc. In the bill submitted with the June 27, 2007, letter, Solutient indicated that waste packaging was "23%" completed. Does this 23% refer to Barnwell waste, or all tritium wastes? We would like an estimate of the percent completion for the different tasks listed in Solutient's proposal.

I used Wordperfect to develop the table, then converted it to Word, so you may have trouble manipulating it. If you do, just print out a copy and fax me a marked up/corrected copy.

Also, the letter approving the 6/27/07 request for funds was signed July 13 and mailed out yesterday. I am attaching a pdf copy of that letter.

Betsy

CC: "Bill Lynch" <blynch@isolite.com>

Mail Envelope Properties (469D204B.3B1 : 12 : 55514)

Subject: Fwd: RE: your letter dated July 17, 2007
Creation Date Tue, Jul 17, 2007 3:02 PM
From: Elizabeth Ullrich

Created By: EXU@nrc.gov

Recipients

nrc.gov
kp1_po.KP_DO
LAT (LAT)

Post Office
kp1_po.KP_DO

Route
nrc.gov

| Files | Size | Date & Time |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| MESSAGE Mail | 768 | Tuesday, July 17, 2007 3:02 PM |

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
ReplyRequested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results

Message is not eligible for Junk Mail handling
Message is from an internal sender

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk Mail handling disabled by User
Junk List is not enabled
Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled
Block List is not enabled