

DOCKETED  
USNRC

**PRM-51-11  
(71FR67072)**

January 16, 2007 (3:17pm)

**From:** <nhanson48@comcast.net>

**To:** <SECY@nrc.gov>

**Date:** Sat, Jan 13, 2007 4:51 AM

**Subject:** I strongly urge the NRC to approve a petition for rulemaking (Docket No. PRM-51-11) that would improve radiation protection standards at older reactors.

OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
RULEMAKINGS AND  
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

7

Dear Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, ATTN: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff:

I strongly urge the NRC to approve a petition for rulemaking (Docket No. PRM-51-11) that would improve radiation protection standards at older reactors.

The NRC should Exercise Precaution:

1) Protect the most vulnerable: Tell the NRC to exercise precaution by accounting for more vulnerable populations in their standards. Since no level of radiation dose is safe (see BEIR VII quote below), the best precaution would be no exposure. However recognizing and regulating for vulnerable populations is a start.

"In BEIR VII, the cancer mortality risks for females are 37.5 percent higher. The risks for all solid tumors, like lung, breast, and kidney, liver, and other solid tumors added together are almost 50 percent greater for women than men, though there are a few specific cancers, including leukemia, for which the risk estimates for men are higher." (Summary estimates are in Table ES-1 on page 28 of the BEIR VII report prepublication copy, on the Web at <http://books.nap.edu/books/030909156X/html/28.html>.)

The BEIR VII report estimates that the differential risk for children is even greater. For instance, the same radiation in the first year of life for boys produces three to four times the cancer risk as exposure between the ages of 20 and 50. Female infants have almost double the risk as male infants. (Table 12 D-1 and D-2, on pages 550-551 of the prepublication copy of the report, on the Web starting at <http://books.nap.edu/books/030909156X/html/550.html>)." (excerpted from <http://www.ieer.org/comments/beir/beir7pressrel.html>)

2) Recognize "allowable" levels are not safe: Tell the NRC that their "allowable" levels of radionuclides are NOT conservative or protective enough. They are based only on the obsolete "standard man", a healthy, white male in the prime of life, and ignore the more vulnerable fetus, growing infant and child, the aged, those in poor health, and women who are, according to the BEIR VII report, 37- 50% more vulnerable than standard man to the harmful effects of ionizing radiation.

3) Consider radiation damage from inhaling or ingesting radionuclides: NRC does not consider the effects of internal radiation from ingested or inhaled alpha and beta emitters. The amount of polonium-210 that recently killed a former Russian intelligence officer was considered by IAEA and NRC to be of the lowest possible risk because they failed to account for internal radiation damage.

4) Recognize there is no safe dose: Further, regarding low dose radiation, the BEIR VII panel has concluded, "it is unlikely that a threshold exists for the induction of cancers... Further, there are extensive data on radiation-induced transmissible mutations in mice and other organisms. There is therefore no reason to believe that humans would be immune to this sort of harm."

Demand that the NRC protect all members of the public from all types of excess radiation exposure from nuclear power and its fuel cycle, gamma, alpha, beta, neutron, particulate, fission products, noble gases, etc. and that measurement and monitoring should include all forms and pathways, not just gamma at the fence line. Argue that their radiation limits should include accidental releases as well as planned emissions.

Sincerely,  
Natalie Hanson  
1815 Briarwood Dr.

Template=SECY-067

SECY-02

Lansing, MI 48917-1773

**Mail Envelope Properties** (45A8AB97.677 : 18 : 54903)

**Subject:** I strongly urge the NRC to approve a petition for rulemaking (Docket No. PRM-51-11) that would improve radiation protection standards at older reactors.

**Creation Date** Sat, Jan 13, 2007 4:50 AM

**From:** <nhanson48@comcast.net>

**Created By:** nhanson48@comcast.net

**Recipients**

nrc.gov

TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

SECY (SECY)

**Post Office**

TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

**Route**

nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	3434	Saturday, January 13, 2007 4:50 AM
Mime.822	4687	

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None

**Priority:** Standard

**ReplyRequested:** No

**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No

**Security:** Standard

**Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results**

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling

This message was not classified as Junk Mail

**Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered**

Junk Mail handling disabled by User

Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator

Junk List is not enabled

Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled

Block List is not enabled