NRC FORM 591M PART 1
(10-2003)
10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Patients First Health Care, LLC. 901 Patients First Drive Washington, Missouri 63090 REPORT 2006-001	REGION III US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 2443 WARRENVILLE ROAD, SUITE 210 LISLE, ILLINOIS 60532

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-35650	24-32304-01	September 19, 2006

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

☒ 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.

☐ 2. Previous violation(s) closed.

☐ 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

☐ 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Geoffrey M. Warren	Geoffrey M. Warren	9/19/06

NRC FORM 591M PART 3
(10-2003)
10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Docket File Information

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Patients First Health Care, LLC. REPORT NUMBER(S) 2006-001	**Region III**

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-35650	24-32304-01	September 19, 2006

6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS
87130	03.01 - 03.08

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTACT	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER
02201	5	Brenda S. Overschmidt, CNMT, RSO	636-239-4947

[X] Main Office Inspection — Next Inspection Date: **Sept. 2011**

[ ] Field Office _____

[ ] Temporary Job Site _____

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee was an outpatient nuclear medicine clinic located in Washington, Missouri. Licensee had authorization to use byproduct materials under Sections 35.100 and 35.200. Licensed activities were conducted only at the location indicated on the license. The nuclear medicine department was staffed with two full-time and one part-time nuclear medicine technologists. The technologist typically administered 300 diagnostic doses monthly, primarily technetium-99m for cardiac, bone, hepatobiliary, and other studies. In addition, the licensee performed studies using thallium-201. All doses were received as unit doses from a licensed radiopharmacy. All waste was held for decay-in-storage or returned to the radiopharmacy.

Performance Observations

The inspector was unable to observe any diagnostic administration of licensed material. Licensee personnel demonstrated dose calibrator constancy tests; dose preparation, administration, and disposal; survey meter QC; package receipt and return surveys; and daily contamination surveys. In addition, licensee staff explained weekly contamination surveys. The inspector found no concerns with these activities. Interviews with licensee staff indicated sufficient knowledge of radiation safety procedures. Radiation surveys indicated radiation levels consistent with licensee survey records and postings.