

Region II Key Findings for the 4th Quarter of FY 2004

Information in this record was deleted
 in accordance with the Freedom of Information
 Act, exemptions 4
 FOIA-2006-0026

| Westinghouse | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| IR # | Description | Disposition |
| IR 2004-04 | A weakness was identified. Two environmental program procedures lacked specific guidance for the collection of samples of vegetation and soil samples. | The licensee plans on reviewing the procedures and revising them as necessary. |
| | | |
| | A SL IV VIO was identified for the failure to follow a procedure that resulted in the transfer of an unanalyzed uranyl nitrate solution to a non-favorable geometry tank (team leader opened wrong set of valves). | The licensee implemented an AEC to prevent this error from occurring again (computer-valve interlock) |
| IR 2004-202 | One example of a SL IV VIO was identified for the failure to identify the limits and controls for the ash processing furnace in a CSA. | The licensee took appropriate actions. |
| | A weakness was identified for two examples of a lack of rigor in completing operator check-sheets. | An internal corrective action system was initiated for each issue, and operators were briefed on the importance of properly completing check-sheets. |
| | A program weakness and an IFI were identified for the lack of personnel assigned and trained for important emergency response functions. | |

Ex. 4

R2/23

2-1

| Westinghouse | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| IR 2004-202 (cont.) | After an inspector noted a cardboard box in a chemical process area which could meet the licensee's definition of a non-favorable geometry container, an IFI was opened to track the licensee actions to clarify control requirements for greater than 5-gallon cardboard boxes in chemical areas. | The licensee committed to clarify the control requirements for greater than 5-gallon cardboard boxes in chemical areas. |
| | The inspector identified that the Electronic Training and Procedure System (E-TAPS) was not displaying the "Last Review Date" for procedures. The licensee discovered that a programming error in E-TAPS prevented the routine procedural review feature from functioning. A URI was identified to followup on whether any procedures had missed their required review. | The licensee began investigating when the programming error occurred to more accurately pinpoint if any (or all) the procedures required a review. This issue will be dispositioned when the licensee determines when the error occurred, effectively establishing which procedures need to be reviewed. |
| | | |