

From: Eugene Cobey *EC*
To: Douglas Tift; Joel Wiebe; Scott Barber; Theodore Wingfield
Date: 12/6/04 4:55PM
Subject: Re: 12/2 NRC-PSEG Meeting: Potential "Commitments"

Ted,

Please get a DRP Action Item. Request a due date of January 14, 2005.

Thanks

Eugene W. Cobey, Chief
Projects Branch 3
Division of Reactor Projects
(610) 337-5171

>>> Theodore Wingfield 12/06/04 01:31PM >>>

After meeting with Gene, the following items require follow-up (Bolded/Underlined/Green):

>>> Theodore Wingfield 12/03/04 11:22AM >>>

DISCLAIMER - I reviewed my notes from the meeting and pulled anything that I thought may, might, or could be construed as a "commitment."

NO ACTION REQ'D: Sam Collins - "1st of several meetings"

NO ACTION REQ'D: Sam Collins - "we will look at technical findings through the prism of SCWE"

NO ACTION REQ'D: Chris Bakken *CB* "my intent was to place in the public forum, on a quarterly basis, our operational decision-making and our technical decisions"

NO ACTION REQ'D: Chris Bakken *CB* "we will shut down both Salem units ... Unit 1 will start their shutdown at 8am and Unit 2 will begin their shutdown at 8pm" (in response to the approach of the oil spill)

NO ACTION REQ'D: Gene Cobey - NRC "oversight during the next 36 hrs for the Salem shutdowns ... continuous coverage [during the oil spill impact period]"

NO ACTION REQ'D: Mike Brothers *MB* "Synergy survey in 3rd week in January"

Salem Resident Inspector F/U: Mike Brothers *MB* (re: Dan Orr's question about anomomous notifications) "we will take action to communicate how that is done"

NO ACTION REQ'D: Mike Brothers *MB* (re: Gene Cobey's question about a need to change the goals for the CM/EM backlog reduction given the Hope Creek outage expansion) "we expect an impact ... not changing the goal ... expect continued leveling off"

NO ACTION REQ'D: Mike Brothers *MB* (re: Randy Blough's question about a need to modify reported metric numbers based on repeat failures of things that were approved by the Corrective Action Closure Board (CACB)) "no ... do not see a lot of value in adjusting the historical data ... the items will be addressed as "repeat maintenance" ... prior CACB approval is definitely an area that is and will continue to be looked at ... notifications are/will be written to document/trend/correct that"

Salem Resident Inspector F/U: Mike Brothers *MB* (re: George Malone's question of more detailed data quantifying Engineering evaluation response timeliness ... i.e. things done last-minute are probably of lower quality) "yes we have that data and can share it with you if you like"®

Salem/HC Resident Inspector F/U: Mike Brothers *MB* (re: Gene Cobey's question of whether alignment or

u-17

correlation exists between Maintenance Rule "A-1" systems and the safety system unavailability in the SCWE Metrics that were RED) "there is some correlation but it is not 1 to 1 ... we should look at that"

NO ACTION REQ'D: Mike Brothers (re: J. Persensky's question related to the first SCWE Metric/PI about "initial SCWE training" and what do they expect it to do) "we expect that PI to trend to zero ... it will become part of continuing training ... we will need to develop a replacement metric"

NO ACTION REQ'D: Mike Brothers (re: J. Persensky's question related to availability of these metrics to the entire PSEG staff) "all of the metrics are on the [PSEG Internal] web"

HC Resident Inspector F/U: Mike Gallagher (re: Gene Cobey's question about inclusion of non-Tech. Spec. items in the scope of the "operability reviews") "yes they are part of the scope"

Also, follow-up on why not reviewing backlogs for degraded equipment issues with some engineering evaluation conducted to allow continued operation or deferred work.

NO ACTION REQ'D: Mike Gallagher (re: Gene Cobey's question about the timeline for the operability reviews) "for Hope Creek all required items will be reviewed prior to start up ... Salem items will be reviewed in accordance with an appropriate timeline"

NO ACTION REQ'D: Chris Bakker (re: Sam Collins' and Randy Blough's comments about inclusion of the operability review results in future discussions) "yes, both at the next meeting, about Hope Creek, and the next SCWE meeting in 2005"

Salem/HC Resident Inspector F/U: Mike Brothers (re: Gene Cobey's question about any insights gained in looking at differences in human performance error rates among different work groups) "it is not homogeneous ... I will follow-up with on that"

NO ACTION REQ'D: Mike Brothers (re: J. Persensky's question about the existence of and PSEG's intent to use other survey tools) "there are other tools available to us ... ECP surveys, satisfaction index being developed, targeted surveys ... we do intend to use them, however, we do not want to inundate the workers with too many surveys [dilute the worth]"

Currently a B3/NRR Action Item: Gene Cobey - (re: Dave Lochbaum's request for a Salem/Hope Creek website) "that is something that has been in development and is in the review process"

Salem/HC Resident Inspectors provide BS/DBS hours: Sam Collins - (re: Norm Cohen's questions about inspector in-plant and back-shift/off-shift hours) "we can get you those numbers ... significant amount of inspection hours at these plants" [NORM - "Send me an email."]

Completed already by Diane Screnci: Sam Collins - (re: Frieda Berryhill's (resident of Wilmington) inquiry about something she saw in an email about Federal Registrar Vol. 69 No. 215, Monday, November 8, 2004) "I'm not sure what you are referencing but we will find out and get back to you"

Scott Barber - Follow-up with PSEG regarding the differences between the PIs presented at the 12/2/04 public meeting (also placed on the docket) and those submitted to the SCWE inspection team while on-site.

Salem/HC Resident Inspectors follow-up with John Carlin on QA-Group performance metrics.

CC: Daniel Orr; George Malone; Marc Ferdas; Mel Gray

Mail Envelope Properties (41B9F15A.CFA : 24 : 35262)

Subject: Fwd: Salem and Hope Creek Coordination Team Meeting 04-04 Agenda
(1:00 p.m. 12/10)
Creation Date: 12/10/04 1:56PM
From: Daniel Orr
Created By: JDO@nrc.gov

Recipients

kp1_po.KP_DO
MSF2 (Marc Ferdas)
MXG3 (Mel Gray)

nrc.gov

kp1_po.KP_DO
GJM2 (George Malone)

Post Office

kp1_po.KP_DO
kp1_po.KP_DO

Route

nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	557	12/10/04 01:56PM
Mail		

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard