

From: James Wiggins *EW*  
To: David Vito  
Date: 10/6/03 3:36PM  
Subject: Re: Call from Salem/HC Alleger (RI-2003-A-0110)

It's obvious that she intends to shop our acknowledgement letter around to a fairly broad audience so that's an additional incentive to be meticulous in its wording. Let's pay careful attention to the "boilerplate" sections to make sure they don't leave a misimpression - we might want to consider wrapping up the boilerplate and putting it in a separate attachment to the ltr and clearly label it in such a way that it's obvious it's a collection of standard paragraphs.

Jim

P.S. - My guess is that the Lochbaum reference may be related to his 2.206 petition on sump clogging. I would think he would have some motivation to guess Salem's position on the "list" of plants.

>>> David Vito 10/06/03 01:49PM >>>

**ALLEGATION INFORMATION - PLEASE TREAT SENSITIVELY - DO NOT DISCLOSE OF FORWARD**

The alleger just called. She wanted to let me know that she appreciated the call from Hub on Friday. She informed me that all parties had been served (her civil suit), except for [REDACTED] who lives in [REDACTED] *K*  
[REDACTED] apparently, there is more red tape involved in serving the suit out of state).

She asked if I had heard Hub say that we would not be referring the matter to PSEG. I told her that I did. She then asked if she could know how we are approaching the review. I told her that I couldn't give a lot of detail but noted that the review would obviously involve talking to a number of people. I told her that Eileen Neff and others were making arrangements to begin the review and that I trusted their judgement on how to approach things. I reminded her that the fact that her civil suit is now on the public record will likely cause reaction from the licensee, and arranging discussions with people may become more difficult. She stated that she understood.

She asked if I knew that Hope Creek had scrambled this weekend (during the conversation, I learned that she had heard about the scam from [REDACTED] with whom she had spoken). I told her that I was aware of the occurrence. She didn't have any particular concern about it.....just wanted to know if we were on top of things. *7C*

She stated that she also had learned of another issue that she thought our technical guys might want to keep an eye on. She referred to a NOED, but wasn't sure of the subject. Said it may have something to do with welds.....or possibly with something Dave Lochbaum is pursuing with now. I told her I didn't have personal knowledge of a current NOED working @ Salem or HC related to what she was talking about, but since I don't know everything that's happening at all the plants, it is possible that such a review is in progress. I told her I would ask about it.

I mentioned that our acknowledgment letter would be a bit later than usual because of the amount of review we were doing related to all of the documentation she provided. She indicated that the timing of that letter was not an issue for her. I thanked her for understanding, and reminded her that the letter would give her an opportunity to see how we have articulated her concerns. She asked if we could send the letter to her via e-mail, in addition to hard copy. I told her that we could do that.

CC: Daniel Holody; Hubert Miller

Information in this record was deleted  
in accordance with the Freedom of Information  
Act, exemptions 7C  
FOIA- 2005-0194

*R-70*

From: David Vito *rt*  
To: A. Randolph Blough; Brian Holian; Daniel Orr; Eileen Neff; Ernest Wilson; Glenn Meyer; Hubert J. Miller; James Wiggins; Karl Farrar; Scott Barber; Tracy Walker  
Date: 10/23/03 3:36PM  
Subject: Phone call from Salem /HC Alleger (RI-2030-A-0110)

**SENSITIVE ALLEGATION INFORMATION**

**PROTECT ACCORDINGLY**

Alleger called this afternoon. Informed me that PSEG had requested a 30-day extension to provide their initial response to the court regarding her civil discrimination suit. The extension was granted by Morris County.

She also wanted to know if we had interviewed [REDACTED] yet, since her attorney has had difficulty contacting him. I told her that I believed we were making efforts to contact him but I did not know if we were successful.

CC: Daniel Holody; Leanne Harrison; Sharon Johnson