

DOCKETED
USNRC

August 25, 2006 (2:41pm)

Hematology & Oncology Specialists, LLC
Thomas M. Cosgriff, M.D., F.A.C.P.

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
RULEMAKINGS AND
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

I support Dr. Stein's Petition for Rulemaking (PRM-35-19), requesting that the NRC amend its regulations to recognize that an 80-hour training and experience requirement is appropriate and sufficient for medical oncologists/hematologists to attain AU status for administration of Quadramet, Bexxar, and Zevalin. He has made very good arguments for his petition and it would be difficult to see how the NRC could uphold its prior decision on oral Na 131I by denying it.

I think that it is important to point out differences between medical oncologists/hematologists and radiation oncologists that speak for this petition, as there are those that would argue that the differences should speak against it. Medical oncologists/hematologists are the primary caregivers for patients with cancer and some members of Congress have suggested making them the principal orchestrators of cancer treatment. Radiation oncologists have a very important but much more restricted role, never assuming total patient care, partly reflecting their much more limited training in general medicine, and in keeping with their very limited hospital admitting privileges.

Somewhat ironically, even patients with complications from radiation exposure will be managed by medical oncologists/hematologists. These specialists are intimately familiar with the integrated management of cancer, including conditions pertinent to this petition, metastatic bone disease and lymphomas.

It is most unfortunate that the agents covered by this petition have been underused and in some cases poorly used, because of barriers to their administration by medical oncologists/hematologists, thereby limiting their great potential to palliate and even cure malignancies. This situation could be ameliorated by allowing medical oncologist/hematologists easy access to these agents, as easy as the access to chemotherapy drugs, growth factors, immune response modifiers, and the evolving armamentarium of cell pathway modulators, the fruits of the molecular biology revolution.

Template = SECY-067

SECY-02

There is no "good enough" reason to block the renaissance effect that the approval of Dr. Stein's petition could bring about in the care of many cancer patients. I do not believe that there is a significant safety issue. The old way is failing patients. To act favorably on this petition could be one of the most significant actions that you as NRC members could take during your tenure.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Cosgriff, MD, FACP

From: "Piazza, Sharon" <Sharon.Piazza@salco.net>
To: "SECY@nrc.gov" <SECY@nrc.gov>
Date: Fri, Aug 25, 2006 12:39 PM

<<NRC Petition.doc>>

DISCLAIMER: The information in this message is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee. Access to this message by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, or distribution of the message, or any action or omission taken by you in reliance on it, is prohibited and may be unlawful. Please immediately contact the sender if you have received this message in error. We reserve the right to monitor all e-mail communications through our network. Thank you.

Mail Envelope Properties (44EF27BF.7F9 : 16 : 30713)**Subject:****Creation Date** Fri, Aug 25, 2006 12:39 PM**From:** "Piazza, Sharon" <Sharon.Piazza@salco.net>**Created By:** Sharon.Piazza@salco.net**Recipients**

nrc.gov

TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

SECY ('SECY@nrc.gov' SECY)**Post Office**

TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov

Files**Size****Date & Time**

MESSAGE

579

Friday, August 25, 2006 12:39 PM

NRC Petition.doc

22016

Mime.822

32246

Options**Expiration Date:** None**Priority:** Standard**ReplyRequested:** No**Return Notification:** None**Concealed Subject:** No**Security:** Standard**Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results**

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling

This message was not classified as Junk Mail

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk Mail handling disabled by User

Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator

Junk List is not enabled

Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled

Block List is not enabled