

**RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF
INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY
ACT (PA) REQUEST**

2006-0009

6

RESPONSE
TYPE ☒ FINAL ☐ PARTIAL

REQUESTER

Edward F. Malone

DATE

AUG 15 2006

PART I. -- INFORMATION RELEASED

- ☐ No additional agency records subject to the request have been located.
- ☐ Requested records are available through another public distribution program. See Comments section.
- ☐ **APPENDICES** Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the listed appendices are already available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room.
- ☒ **APPENDICES** Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the listed appendices are being made available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room.
- ☐ Enclosed is information on how you may obtain access to and the charges for copying records located at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC.
- ☒ **APPENDICES** Agency records subject to the request are enclosed.
- ☒ Records subject to the request that contain information originated by or of interest to another Federal agency have been referred to that agency (see comments section) for a disclosure determination and direct response to you.
- ☐ We are continuing to process your request.
- ☐ See Comments.

PART I.A -- FEES

AMOUNT *

\$ 36.90

* See comments
for details

- ☐ You will be billed by NRC for the amount listed. ☐ None. Minimum fee threshold not met.
- ☒ You will receive a refund for the amount listed. ☐ Fees waived.

PART I.B -- INFORMATION NOT LOCATED OR WITHHELD FROM DISCLOSURE

- ☐ No agency records subject to the request have been located.
- ☒ Certain information in the requested records is being withheld from disclosure pursuant to the exemptions described in and for the reasons stated in Part II.
- ☒ This determination may be appealed within 30 days by writing to the FOIA/PA Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Clearly state on the envelope and in the letter that it is a "FOIA/PA Appeal."

PART I.C COMMENTS (Use attached Comments continuation page if required)

One record subject to the request was originated by the Department of Labor and has been referred to that agency for review and direct response to you.

The actual fees for processing the request are as follows:

\$293.40 - search
223.20 - review
138.40 - duplication
\$655.00 - total

Since you provided fees in advance in the amount of \$691.90, you will receive a refund in the amount of \$36.90.

(The incoming request is located in the NRC electronic reading room [ADAMS] at ML052870381)

SIGNATURE - FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT AND PRIVACY ACT OFFICER

Russell A. Nichols

**RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION
ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY ACT (PA) REQUEST**

2006-0009

AUG 15 2006

PART II.A -- APPLICABLE EXEMPTIONS**APPENDICES
H & I**

Records subject to the request that are described in the enclosed Appendices are being withheld in their entirety or in part under the Exemption No.(s) of the PA and/or the FOIA as indicated below (5 U.S.C. 552a and/or 5 U.S.C. 552(b)).

- ☐ Exemption 1: The withheld information is properly classified pursuant to Executive Order 12958.
- ☐ Exemption 2: The withheld information relates solely to the internal personnel rules and procedures of NRC.
- ☐ Exemption 3: The withheld information is specifically exempted from public disclosure by statute indicated.
- ☐ Sections 141-145 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Restricted Data or Formerly Restricted Data (42 U.S.C. 2161-2165).
- ☐ Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Unclassified Safeguards Information (42 U.S.C. 2167).
- ☐ 41 U.S.C., Section 253b, subsection (m)(1), prohibits the disclosure of contractor proposals in the possession and control of an executive agency to any person under section 552 of Title 5, U.S.C. (the FOIA), except when incorporated into the contract between the agency and the submitter of the proposal.
- ☒ Exemption 4: The withheld information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated.
- ☒ The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information.
- ☐ The information is considered to be proprietary because it concerns a licensee's or applicant's physical protection or material control and accounting program for special nuclear material pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(1).
- ☐ The information was submitted by a foreign source and received in confidence pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(2).
- ☒ Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of interagency or intraagency records that are not available through discovery during litigation. Applicable privileges:
- ☒ Deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the deliberative process. Where records are withheld in their entirety, the facts are inextricably intertwined with the predecisional information. There also are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry into the predecisional process of the agency.
- ☐ Attorney work-product privilege. (Documents prepared by an attorney in contemplation of litigation)
- ☒ Attorney-client privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client)
- ☒ Exemption 6: The withheld information is exempted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.
- ☒ Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated.
- ☐ (A) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direction, and focus of enforcement efforts, and thus could possibly allow recipients to take action to shield potential wrongdoing or a violation of NRC requirements from investigators).
- ☒ (C) Disclosure would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.
- ☐ (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to reveal identities of confidential sources.
- ☐ (E) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law.
- ☐ (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual.
- ☐ OTHER (Specify)

PART II.B -- DENYING OFFICIALS

Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for any denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO).

DENYING OFFICIAL	TITLE/OFFICE	RECORDS DENIED	APPELLATE OFFICIAL		
			EDO	SECY	IG
Cynthia A. Carpenter	Director, Office of Enforcement	H/1 & H/3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Bruce S. Mallett	Regional Administrator, Region IV	H/2, H/4 - H/8	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Jack Strosnider	Director, NMSS	I/1 and I/2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Appeal must be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of this response. Appeals should be mailed to the FOIA/Privacy Act Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, for action by the appropriate appellate official(s). You should clearly state on the envelope and letter that it is a "FOIA/PA Appeal."

**APPENDIX H
RECORDS BEING WITHHELD IN PART**

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)/EXEMPTIONS</u>
1.	01/30/86	Memo from Leonard Cobb to Barbara Dalrymple, subject: Replies to January 21, 1986 - letter from Senator Edward Markey. 45 pages Portions EX. 6
2.	09/18/85	Letter from William Dircks to Senator Dale Bumpers responding to concerns expressed by constituent. 12 pages Portions EX. 7C
3.	01/04/86	<p>A Review of Federal and State Responsibilities for Regulating Health and Safety Hazards at NRC-licensed Uranium fuel Fabrication and Conversion Plants, 28 pages, released, with attachment:</p> <p>Memo from William Olmstead to Frank Gillespie, subject: Chemical Toxicity of UF6 and Emergency Preparedness. 3 pages Withheld - EX. 5 Attorney-Client privilege</p>
4.	02/15/90	Letter from Senator David Boren to John Bradburn regarding constituent's concerns about Sequoyah Fuels. 3 pages Portions EX. 7C
5.	03/16/90	<p>Letter from James Taylor to Senator Boren responding to letter of 2/15/90 about constituent's concerns over Sequoyah, 2 pages, Portions EX. 7C, with attachments:</p> <p>02/02/90 letter from Reau Graves to Robert Martin regarding incident at SFC's UF6 plant, 10 pages, released</p> <p>03/05/90 letter from A. Bill Beach to Scott Knight regarding results of special inspection. 3 pages, released</p>
6.	12/21/90	<p>Letter from James Taylor to Senator Boren responding to 11/28/90 letter regarding constituent's concerns about Sequoyah Fuels Corporation, 2 pages, release, with attachments:</p> <p>Demand for Information In the Matter of Sequoyah Fuels Corporation signed by Hugh Thompson, 29 pages, release</p>

APPENDIX H
RECORDS BEING WITHHELD IN PART
(*indicates copyrighted document)
(continued)

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)/EXEMPTIONS</u>
------------	-------------	--

09/20/90 letter from James Taylor to Reau Graves regarding
Order Modifying License - 8 pages, release,

*11/28/90 letter from Senator Boren to John Bradburne, 4
pages **Portions EX. 7C.**

***NOTE: An attachment to the above-referenced 11/28/90 letter is an article from the newspaper Phoenix entitled "Sequoyah Fuels faces outside review." Because it is copyrighted, the record is not included in this response. Please contact the FOIA office if you wish to make arrangements to review the record.**

7.	11/20/90	Letter from James Taylor to Rep. Synar regarding allegation that the environment is contaminated from Sequoyah Fuels, 1 page, Portions EX. 7C , with attachments:
----	----------	--

11/13/90 letter from Russell Wise to individual regarding concerns, 3 pages **Portions EX. 7C**

10/10/90 letter from Rep. Synar to Dennis Rathbun regarding concerns of constituent about Sequoyah Fuels Plant near Gore, Oklahoma, 3 pages. **Portions EX. 7C.**

8.	05/29/91	Letter from James Taylor to Senator Boren responding to constituent's concern about Sequoyah Fuels corporation facility in Gore, Oklahoma. 3 pages Portions Ex. 7C
----	----------	---

**APPENDIX I
RECORDS BEING WITHHELD IN ENTIRETY**

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)/EXEMPTIONS</u>
1.	05/17/89	Memo frm Robert S. Wood, NRR, to Leland Rouse, NMSS, subject: Analysis of Sequoyah Fuels Corporation's Ability to Finance the Decommissioning of ths Gore Facility. 4 pages EX. 4 and EX. 5 (Deliberative process)
2.	03/29/96	Memo from Stuart Treby to Michael Weber, subject: ICF Comments on Sequoyah Fuels Draft Escrow Agreement. 2 pages EX. 5 Attorney Client privilege