

August 21, 2006

Ms. Andrea Sterdis, Manager  
Licensing and Customer Interface  
Regulatory Affairs and Standardization  
Westinghouse Electric Company  
Nuclear Power Plants  
P.O. Box 355  
Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355

SUBJECT: WESTINGHOUSE AP1000 COMBINED LICENSE (COL) PRE-APPLICATION  
TECHNICAL REPORT 36 - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION  
(TAC NO. MD2109)

Dear Ms. Sterdis:

By letter dated June 5, 2006 (DCP/NRC1749), you submitted AP1000 Technical Report 36, "AP1000 Pressurizer Design," which summarized the design changes for the AP1000 pressurizer. The NRC staff has reviewed the application, and has determined that additional information is required. Our questions are provided in the Enclosure. We are including the questions issued by letter dated July 20, 2006, to include the question numbering scheme developed during conversations with you. Questions TR36-1 thru TR36-4 should be responded to by August 25, 2006. Questions TR36-5 thru TR36-7 were discussed with your staff on August 2, 2006 and questions TR36-8 thru TR36-11 were discussed with your staff on August 9, 2006. Your staff indicated that you would attempt to provide your response to questions TR36-5 thru TR36-11 by September 22, 2006.

Please contact me at (301) 415-1313, if you have any other questions on these issues.

Sincerely,

**/RA/**

Steven D. Bloom, Senior Project Manager  
AP1000/EPR Projects Branch  
Division of New Reactor Licensing  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 740

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

Ms. Andrea Sterdis, Manager  
Licensing and Customer Interface  
Regulatory Affairs and Standardization  
Westinghouse Electric Company  
Nuclear Power Plants  
P.O. Box 355  
Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355

SUBJECT: WESTINGHOUSE AP1000 COMBINED LICENSE (COL) PRE-APPLICATION  
TECHNICAL REPORT 36 - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (TAC  
NO. MD2109)

Dear Ms. Sterdis:

By letter dated June 5, 2006 (DCP/NRC1749), you submitted AP1000 Technical Report 36, "AP1000 Pressurizer Design," which summarized the design changes for the AP1000 pressurizer. The NRC staff has reviewed the application, and has determined that additional information is required. Our questions are provided in the Enclosure. We are including the questions issued by letter dated July 20, 2006, to include the question numbering scheme developed during conversations with you. Questions TR36-1 thru TR36-4 should be responded to by August 25, 2006. Questions TR36-5 thru TR36-7 were discussed with your staff on August 2, 2006 and questions TR36-8 thru TR36-11 were discussed with your staff on August 9, 2006. Your staff indicated that you would attempt to provide your response to questions TR36-5 thru TR36-11 by September 22, 2006.

Please contact me at (301) 415-1313, if you have any other questions on these issues.

Sincerely,

**/RA/**

Steven D. Bloom, Senior Project Manager  
AP1000/EPR Projects Branch  
Division of New Reactor Licensing  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 740

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

ADAMS ACCESSION NO.:

OFFICE	NAPB: PM	NAPB: BC
NAME	SBloom	SCoffin
DATE	08/21/06	08/21/06

**OFFICIAL RECORD COPY**

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

WESTINGHOUSE AP1000 DOCUMENT NO. APP-GW-GLR-016, Rev 0

TECHNICAL REPORT 36 - AP1000 PRESSURIZER DESIGN

PROJECT NUMBER 740

- TR36-1      Section 2.1 of APP-GW-GLR-016, Rev 0 states that the inside diameter (ID) of the pressurizer was increased from 90 inches to 100 inches. Discuss the impact of the change in diameter on pressurizer stresses and pressurizer structural integrity.
- TR 36-2      Table 5.1-2 of APP-GW-GLR-016 indicates that the pressurizer height and (ID) have changed and the volume remained the same. Figure 5.4-5 shows the original pressurizer (ID) equal to 90 inches (to clad). Revised figure 5.4-5 shows the new pressurizer (ID) equal to 100 inches (to base metal). Table 5.1-2 shows that the pressurizer (ID) changes from 90 to 100 inches. Dimensions 90 and 100 inches do not have the same reference. Explain the discrepancy and report (ID) used to calculate pressurizer volumes.
- TR36-3A      What is the pressurizer nominal base metal wall thickness and clad thickness?
- TR36-3.B      Provide the ASME code calculation used to establish the required pressurizer minimum wall thickness.
- TR36-4      Section 2.1 of APP-GW-GLR-016, Rev 0 states that the pressurizer spray capacity was incorrectly shown to be 500 gpm and it has been changed to its corrected value of 700 gpm. Indicate whether this change in spray capacity changes the design transients used to evaluate the pressurizer or the pressurizer spray line. Was the 700 gpm flow rate used in the inadvertent pressurizer spray transient?
- TR36-5      Westinghouse proposed in the topical report (TR), APP-GW-GLR-016, its design changes for the AP1000 pressurizer. Specifically, Section 2.1 of the TR proposed that the inside diameter of the pressurizer was increased, and the pressurizer vessel height was decreased while the internal volume of the pressurizer was maintained unchanged. These proposed changes would increase the cross-section of the pressurizer, and decrease the vessel height and the value (in percent of span) of the initial water level in the pressurizer assumed in the DCD chapter 15 transients and accidents analysis. Section 2.2 proposed that the vertical angular position of 14-inch ADS was changed. It is not clear whether the change will affect the ADS effective flow rate used in the DCD Chapter 15 analysis.

Enclosure

Sections 2.4 and 2.10 proposed that the setpoints (in a percent of span) were changed for the following functions: (1) the reactor trip on high-3 pressurizer water level; (2) the PRHR actuation of high-3 pressurizer water level; (3) the CVCS isolation on high-2 pressurizer water level; and (4) the CVCS isolation on high-1 pressurizer water coincident with the S signal. These setpoint changes were to reflect the changes in dimension of the pressurizer and placement of the upper level tap on the upper head, while the water volumes of the respective setpoints were kept unchanged. Section 2.6 proposed that the elevations of ADS Stage 1, 2 and 3 were reduced, and Section 2.9 proposed that the pressurizer heater length was reduced to reflect the pressurizer dimension changes.

As discussed above, the proposed changes involve the following design parameters:

- (I) The cross-section area of the pressurizer, the pressurizer vessel height, and the analytical value of the initial water level (in a percent of span);
- (II) The effective ADS flow rate;
- (III) The setpoints (in a percent) of the pressurizer for 4 functions;
- (IV) The elevations of ADS Stage 1, 2 and 3 valves; and
- (V) The pressurizer heater length.

Please provide information to address the impact of the proposed pressurizer design changes identified above on the results of the transient and accident analysis in DCD Chapter 15. The information should include:

- i) A table that lists the values used in the DCD Chapter 15 analysis and the values for the proposed design changes for the parameters listed in items (I) through (V); and
- ii) A discussion that addresses the impact of the changes for each parameter of items (I) through (V) on the Chapter 15 analysis, and demonstrates that the effects are insignificantly small and the Chapter 15 analysis is bounding and remains valid. If it is determined that Chapter 15 analysis is invalid, provide an acceptable reanalysis to the NRC for review and approval.

RAI36-6      On page 31 of the COLA Technical Report 36, the location of the Pressurizer centerline from the steam generator wall is shown to be 113.5" on the East side and 83.0" on the West side. On page 32 ( which is the revised dwg.) the same dimensions are shown as 9'-7.39" and 7'-5.33" respectively. The applicant is requested to explain this difference in the text as is done for all the other changes.

RAI36-7      The applicant is requested to identify any modeling changes that were made to incorporate the configuration changes to the pressurizer. A comparison of the resulting spectra to the original spectra at the different locations where the desired response was expected to be lowered by this modification should also be presented.

- TR36-8      It appears from the proposed changes made to Table 3.3-1 (Definition of Wall Thicknesses for Nuclear Island Buildings and Annex Building) of the AP1000 Tier One DCD that the thickness of the concrete walls surrounding the redesigned pressurizer have not changed as a result of this proposed change in the pressurizer dimensions. Verify that the thickness of these walls is sufficient to ensure that the radiation zones outside of the pressurizer compartment will not increase as a result of any possible increase in the radiation levels from the pressurizer as a result of the proposed pressurizer dimension changes.
- TR36-9      Describe what affects, if any, the increase in the diameter of the pressurizer will have on the radiation levels (during full power, 24 hours after shutdown, and post-accident) inside the pressurizer compartment. If radiation levels from the pressurizer will increase, describe any effects this may have on exposures to personnel working in the vicinity of the pressurizer and describe any changes (e.g., extra shielding, administrative controls) that will be made to counteract this increase in radiation levels resulting from this design change.
- TR36-10     On the basis of this proposed pressurizer design change, Westinghouse has modified various plant layout drawings in Chapters 1, 3, 5, and 9 to reflect the dimension changes to the concrete walls surrounding the pressurizer. Similar changes should be made to the applicable layout drawings shown in Figures 12.3-1, 12.3-2, and 12.3-3 in Chapter 12. In addition, these revised radiation zone drawings should indicate the resulting projected radiation levels (during full power, 24 hours after shutdown, and post-accident) in the vicinity of the pressurizer as a result of the pressurizer dimension changes.
- TR36-11     Section 2.1 (Pressurizer Vessel) of the submittal states that the inside diameter of the pressurizer will be increased from 90 to 100 inches while the inside dimensions of the concrete walls enclosing the pressurizer will remain unchanged. Section 2.3 (Pressurizer Manway) states that the pressurizer manway will be relocated from the spherical head of the pressurizer to the cylindrical portion of the pressurizer. Table 12.4-6 of the AP1000 DCD, Tier 2, states that the annual dose estimate for in-service inspection work associated with the pressurizer shell is 1.20 man-rem. Discuss what affect the decrease in work area surrounding the pressurizer (due to the increase in pressurizer diameter), coupled with the relocation of the pressurizer manway, will have on personnel accessibility inside the pressurizer compartment and on the estimated annual dose for pressurizer shell in-service inspection.

General Comment: To enhance the review of the proposed changes to the configuration the staff suggests that the revision block in the drawings be used to reference the appropriate section of the text where the change is discussed.

Internal E-Mail

SBloom  
SCoffin  
SSamadar  
CHinson  
SSun  
ATsirigotis

E-Mail:

tom.miller@hq.doe.gov or  
tom.miller@ nuclear.energy.gov  
mwetterhahn@winston.com  
whorin@winston.com  
gcesare@enercon.com  
sandra.sloan@areva.com  
louis.quintana@ge.com  
steven.hucik@ge.com  
david.hinds@ge.com  
chris.maslak@ge.com  
crpierce@southernco.com  
tomccall@southernco.com  
garry.miller@pgnmail.com  
gzinke@entergy.com  
rclary@scana.com  
pshastings@duke-energy.com  
james1beard@ge.com  
patriciaL.campbell@ge.com  
bob.brown@ge.com  
erg-xl@cox.net  
mark.beaumont@wsms.com  
sfrantz@morganlewis.com  
ksutton@morganlewis.com  
jgutierrez@morganlewis.com  
mgiles@entergy.com  
sterdia@westinghouse.com  
cristina.lonescu@pgnmail.com  
gwcurtis2@tva.gov  
robert.kitchen@pgnmail.com  
sterdiA@westinghouse.com  
mike\_moran@fpl.com  
amonroe@scana.com

AP 1000

cc:

Mr. W. Edward Cummins  
AP600 and AP1000 Projects  
Westinghouse Electric Company  
P.O. Box 355  
Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355

Mr. Bradley F. Maurer, Acting Manager  
Regulatory Compliance and Plant Licensing  
Westinghouse Electric Company  
P.O. Box 355  
Pittsburgh, PA 15230

Mr. Barton Z. Cowan, Esq.  
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC  
600 Grant Street 44<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Mr. Charles Brinkman, Director  
Washington Operations  
Westinghouse Electric Company  
12300 Twinbrook Parkway, Suite 330  
Rockville, MD 20852

Mr. Adrian Heymer  
Nuclear Energy Institute  
1776 I Street NW  
Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20006

Mr. David Lochbaum  
Nuclear Safety Engineer  
Union of Concerned Scientists  
1707 H Street NW, Suite 600  
Washington, DC 20006-3919

Mr. Paul Gunter  
Nuclear Information & Resource Service  
1424 16th Street, NW., Suite 404  
Washington, DC 20036

Mr. James Riccio  
Greenpeace  
702 H Street, NW, Suite 300  
Washington, DC 20001

Mr. Ed Wallace, General Manager  
Projects  
PBMR Pty LTD  
PO Box 9396  
Centurion 0046  
Republic of South Africa

Mr. Glenn H. Archinoff  
AECL Technologies  
481 North Frederick Avenue  
Suite 405  
Gaithersburg, MD. 20877

Mr. Gary Wright, Manager  
Office of Nuclear Facility Safety  
Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety  
1035 Outer Park Drive  
Springfield, IL 62704

Mr. Paul Leventhal  
Nuclear Control Institute  
1000 Connecticut Avenue, NW  
Suite 410  
Washington, DC 20036

Mr. Jay M. Gutierrez  
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP  
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20004

Mr. Brendan Hoffman  
Research Associate on Nuclear Energy  
Public Citizens Critical Mass Energy  
and Environmental Program  
215 Pennsylvania Avenue, SE  
Washington, DC 20003

Mr. Ronald P. Vijuk  
Manager of Passive Plant Engineering  
AP1000 Project  
Westinghouse Electric Company  
P. O. Box 355  
Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355

Mr. Russell Bell  
Nuclear Energy Institute  
Suite 400  
1776 I Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006-3708

Mr. Ron Simard  
6170 Masters Club Drive  
Suwanne, GA 30024

Ms. Sandra Sloan  
Areva NP, Inc.  
3315 Old Forest Road  
P.O. Box 10935  
Lynchburg, VA 24506-0935

Mr. George Alan Zinke  
Project Manager  
Nuclear Business Development  
Entergy Nuclear  
M-ECH-683  
1340 Echelon Parkway  
Jackson, MS 39213

Ms. Marilyn Kray  
Vice President, Special Projects  
Exelon Generation  
200 Exelon Way, KSA3-E  
Kennett Square, PA 19348

Dr. Regis A. Matzie  
Senior Vice President and  
Chief Technology Officer  
Westinghouse Electric Company  
2000 Day Hill Road  
Windsor, CT 06095-0500

Ms. Lynn Connor  
Doc-Search Associates  
2211 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue #1502  
Portland, OR 97201

Mr. Jerald S. Holm  
Framatome ANP, Inc.  
3315 Old Forest Road  
P.O. Box 10935  
Lynchburg, VA 24506-0935