

g:\alleg\panel\20030110arb8.wpd ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DISPOSITION RECORD

Allegation No.: RI-2003-A-0110  
Site/Facility: Salem/Hope Creek  
ARB Date: 01/08/2004

Branch Chief (AOC): Meyer  
Acknowledged: Yes  
Confidentiality Granted: No

Issue discussed: Current Actions on Tech Issues and SCWE

Alleger contacted prior to referral to licensee? Issue will not be referred to licensee

**ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS**

Attendees: Chair - Blough Branch Chief (AOC) - Meyer SAC - Vito  
OI Rep. - Neff, Wilson, Teator RI Counsel - Farrar  
Others - Holody, Eichenholz, Lanning, Urban, Barber, H Miller

**DISPOSITION ACTIONS:**

1)

Responsible Person: Wilson  
Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_

ECD: TBD  
Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

2) DRP to provide drafted violation(s) to OI and SAC for the file.

Responsible Person: Meyer/Barber  
Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_

ECD: 1/16/2004  
Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

3) DRP to compare depth of surveys at PSEG with those some other utilities such as Susquehanna. Provide documentation of results to SAC and OI for file.

Responsible Person: Meyer/Barber  
Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_

ECD: 1/30/2004  
Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

4) Complete the interviews of the Hope Creek shift managers and other key operations staff. Upon completion of those interviews determine whether additional licensee staff interviews are needed, make an initial assessment of the work environment, and consider whether NRC action is needed to address any environment concerns (example, SCWE inspection, management meeting, chill effect letter, demand for information, order, etc. for the purpose of obtaining information regarding licensee's actions, taken or planned, to address those environment concerns). (all 5 shift managers at Salem have been interviewed).

Responsible Person: Meyer/Barber  
Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_

ECD: 1/30/04  
Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

Information in this record was deleted  
in accordance with the Freedom of Information  
Act, exemptions 7C

1 2004-00 FOIA- 2005-194

EXHIBIT 2  
PAGE 1 OF 3 PAGE(S)

71c

T-12

**ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB**

- 5) Upon completion of the additional interviews reconvene as needed to determine need for a chilling effect letter or other action.

Responsible Person: Panel

ECD: 1/30/2004

Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_

Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

- 6) DRP will continue to update the summary of technical issues on weekly basis considering information from additional information from interviews, and information from review of transcripts of completed interviews. DRS has completed review of TARP reports and NRB documentation and will discuss at the next ARB panel. DRP/DRS to assess.

Responsible Person: Meyer/Jackson

ECD: Ongoing

Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_

Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

- 7) Repanel to review listing of attributes/behaviors developed by the SAC as being representative of a good safety culture/SCWE, to be used as a point of comparison for outcomes of the SCWE review, and possibly considering how other events/activities/inspection findings at the site feed into that comparison.

Responsible Person: SAC

ECD: TBD

Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_

Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

- 8) DRP/DRS to continue review of interview transcripts and provide summaries in terms of safety culture/SCWE and technical issues.

Responsible Person: Blough/Lanning

ECD: Ongoing

Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_

Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

- 7) Next periodic ARB

Responsible Person: SAC

ECD: 1/29/2004

Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_

Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

**SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT:** SCWE Review

**PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION:** High

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):

Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

**ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):**

What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement? \_\_\_\_\_

When did the potential violation occur? \_\_\_\_\_

(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)

Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.