

From: Blake Welling  
To: Eugene Cobey  
Date: 4/6/05 5:16PM  
Subject: Rebuttal Notes

Attached is a start at some "rebuttal notes" for the discrimination & (b)(7)C

Blake

8-70

Mail Envelope Properties (4254519B.B70 : 0 : 34958)

Subject: Rebuttal Notes  
Creation Date: 4/6/05 5:16PM  
From: Blake Welling

Created By: BDW@nrc.gov

Recipients  
nrc.gov  
kp1\_po.KP\_DO  
EWC (Eugene Cobey)

Post Office  
kp1\_po.KP\_DO

Route  
nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	499	04/06/05 05:16PM
Rebuttal Notes for Discrimination and.wpd		6507 04/06/05 05:13PM

Options  
Expiration Date: None  
Priority: Standard  
Reply Requested: No  
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No  
Security: Standard

Rebuttal Notes for Discrimination and [REDACTED] Case Results

- The fact that the NRC did not substantiate the discrimination case in no way diminishes the importance of the valuable information the individual brought to the NRC regarding the work environment at Salem and Hope Creek. The NRC also appreciated the individual's willingness to provide information about the work environment at Salem and Hope Creek.
- The NRC's investigations were thorough and objective, both in the SCWE review and in the investigation of [REDACTED] 7C
- The NRC considered a large amount of information, including what the individual brought to the attention of the NRC.
- In both cases, the NRC concluded that there was insufficient evidence to prove violations of employee protection rights or [REDACTED] occurred.
- The NRC's Office of Investigations determined that the employment action taken against the individual was not the result of raising safety concerns. The preponderance of evidence indicated that the action was taken for legitimate business reasons.