

**Brenda Mozafari - FW: Alloy 600 RAI documents.**

---

**From:** "Infanger, Paul E." <Paul.Infanger@pgnmail.com>  
**To:** <BLM@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** 06/05/2006 4:05 PM  
**Subject:** FW: Alloy 600 RAI documents.

---

Brenda,

Please forward these documents to the reviewer. We referenced the first two documents in our July 26, 2004 response to 1(c) and 1(d). The regulatory criteria were addressed for all RCS penetrations, including the pressurizer. The third document was sent this past February and documents completed inspections, which should address Question 2.

The attached documents are in response to Question 1 of the RAI.

1. Subject: Crystal River Unit 3 – 60-Day Response to Bulletin 2002-01, "Reactor Pressure Vessel Head Degradation and Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Integrity" dated May 15, 2002

Refer to pages 4 - 10 of the attachment.

2. Subject: Crystal River Unit 3 – Response to Request for Additional Information, Bulletin 2002-01, "Reactor Pressure Vessel Head Degradation and Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Integrity" dated January 28, 2003

Refer to pages 14 and 15 of the attachment

<<1.pdf>> <<2.pdf>>

Question 2 response is included in document 3.

3. Subject: Crystal River Unit 3 – 60-day Response to NRC Bulletin 2004-01, "Inspection of Alloy 82/182/600 Materials Used in the Fabrication of Pressurizer Penetrations and Steam Space Piping Connections at Pressurized-Water Reactors" dated July 26, 2004

Refer to Table B

<<3.pdf>>

Please let me know if you need additional information. Thank you.

Paul Infanger  
CR-3 Licensing Supervisor  
Progress Energy Florida  
352/563-4796  
voicenet 240-3166  
Cell 352/464-7936

**From:** "Infanger, Paul E." <Paul.Infanger@pgnmail.com>  
**To:** <BLM@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** 06/05/2006 4:05:38 PM  
**Subject:** FW: Alloy 600 RAI documents.

Brenda,

Please forward these documents to the reviewer. We referenced the first two documents in our July 26, 2004 response to 1(c) and 1(d). The regulatory criteria were addressed for all RCS penetrations, including the pressurizer. The third document was sent this past February and documents completed inspections, which should address Question 2.

- > The attached documents are in response to Question 1 of the RAI.
- >
- > 1. Subject: Crystal River Unit 3 - 60-Day Response to Bulletin
- > 2002-01, "Reactor Pressure Vessel Head Degradation and Reactor Coolant
- > Pressure Boundary Integrity" dated May 15, 2002
- >
- > Refer to pages 4 - 10 of the attachment.
- >
- > 2. Subject: Crystal River Unit 3 - Response to Request for Additional
- > Information, Bulletin 2002-01, "Reactor Pressure Vessel Head
- > Degradation and Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Integrity" dated
- > January 28, 2003
- >
- > Refer to pages 14 and 15 of the attachment
- >
- >
- > <<1.pdf>> <<2.pdf>>
- > Question 2 response is included in document 3.
- >
- > 3. Subject: Crystal River Unit 3 - 60-day Response to NRC Bulletin
- > 2004-01, "Inspection of Alloy 82/182/600 Materials Used in the
- > Fabrication of Pressurizer Penetrations and Steam Space Piping
- > Connections at Pressurized-Water Reactors" dated July 26, 2004
- >
- > Refer to Table B
- >
- > <<3.pdf>>

Please let me know if you need additional information. Thank you.

Paul Infanger  
CR-3 Licensing Supervisor  
Progress Energy Florida  
352/563-4796  
voicenet 240-3166  
Cell 352/464-7936

**Mail Envelope Properties** (44848E77.080 : 6 : 49280)

**Subject:** FW: Alloy 600 RAI documents.  
**Creation Date** 06/05/2006 4:02:40 PM  
**From:** "Infanger, Paul E." <Paul.Infanger@pgnmail.com>

**Created By:** Paul.Infanger@pgnmail.com

**Recipients**

nrc.gov

TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

BLM (Brenda Mozafari)

**Post Office**

TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

**Route**

nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	1508	06/05/2006 4:02:40 PM
TEXT.htm	2951	
1.pdf	247128	
2.pdf	372043	
3.pdf	249734	
Mime.822	1196444	

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**ReplyRequested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard

**Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results**

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling  
This message was not classified as Junk Mail

**Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered**

Junk Mail handling disabled by User  
Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator  
Junk List is not enabled  
Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled  
Block List is not enabled