

From: Peter Wen
To: erachp@comcast.net; James Davis
Date: Fri, May 5, 2006 8:13 AM
Subject: Re: PNPS Template for not consistent with GALL AMRs

Erach,

Your template for the subject looks fine. Thank you.

Regarding the question you asked. I believe that we should still address them. The goal is to match the subsection number with the Table number. We could use a generic statement such as:

"The project team reviewed PNPS LRA Table xxx, which summarizes the results of AMR evaluations for the yyyy component groups. The results of these evaluations are all consistent with the GALL Report."

What do you think?

Peter

>>> <erachp@comcast.net> 05/04/06 8:19 PM >>>
Peter/Jim,

The attached reflects our discussion this morning regarding a template for AMR audit report section 3.X.2.3 - AMRs not consistent with GALL Report or not included in the GALL Report. The format reflects what we discussed. There are no subsections assigned to those paragraphs that address "not applicable" for AEs in Table 1, and for those paragraphs that address "none-none" for AE/AMP in Table 2's.

Subsections start with section 3.X.2.3.1 for Table 3.X.2-1, 3.X.2.3.2 for Table 3.X.2-2, and so on. There may be some Table 2's where all line items may be consistent with GALL (footnotes A-E). Should we still address these Tables with its associated sub-section number? If we do not, then the subsection number and Table number will not match.

Let me know what you think or call me tomorrow and we can discuss any changes you may want.

Erach

Mail Envelope Properties (445B4168.780 : 20 : 35420)

Subject: Re: PNPS Template for not consistent with GALL AMRs
Creation Date Fri, May 5, 2006 8:13 AM
From: Peter Wen

Created By: PXW@nrc.gov

Recipients

comcast.net
erachp (erachp@comcast.net)

nrc.gov
OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01
JAD (James Davis)

Post Office

OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

Route

comcast.net
nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2657	Friday, May 5, 2006 8:13 AM

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
ReplyRequested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results

Message is not eligible for Junk Mail handling
Message is from an internal sender

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk Mail handling disabled by User
Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator
Junk List is not enabled
Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled
Block List is not enabled