

July 25, 2006

MEMORANDUM TO: John D. Monninger, Deputy Director
Probabilistic Risk and Applications
Division of Risk Assessment and Special Projects
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

FROM: Mary T. Drouin, Senior Program Advisor */RA/*
Probabilistic Risk and Applications
Division of Risk Assessment and Special Projects
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF JULY 20, 2006, PUBLIC MEETING WITH
INTERESTED STAKEHOLDERS REGARDING REVISION TO
REGULATORY GUIDE 1.200, "AN APPROACH FOR DETERMINING
THE ADEQUACY OF PROBABILISTIC RISK ASSESSMENT
RESULTS FOR RISK-INFORMED ACTIVITIES"

The staff held a public meeting with interested stakeholders on July 20, 2006, on Revision 1 to Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.200, "An Approach for Determining the Technical Adequacy of Probabilistic Risk Assessment Results for Risk-Informed Activities," and the associated Standard Review Plan (SRP) Chapter 19.1. The purpose of the meeting was to (1) share with the public the proposed staff changes to RG 1.200, and (2) provide the staff schedule for issuing Revision 1 of RG 1.200 for use. The meeting was well attended and included representatives from NEI, Erin Engineering, General Electric, Areva, Entergy, and Platts Publishing.

The list of attendees and the meeting handouts (which includes the agenda) are provided in Enclosures 1 and 2, respectively. The NRC staff led the discussion for the meeting, which addressed the following agenda items:

- Introduction
- History and Background
- Status
- Revisions to RG 1.200
- Schedule

There was a good interchange between the staff and attendees. The staff noted that the changes to the RG were a result of (1) the lessons learned from the pilots that had been performed testing the RG, (2) the revisions to the ASME PRA standard and the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) Self-Assessment Process, and (3) the need to support other risk-informed activities (e.g., Part 52 rulemaking). The staff further noted that ASME and NEI had successfully addressed almost all of the staff concerns, and therefore, very few staff objections remained.

CONTACT: Mary T. Drouin, RES
301-415-6675

There were three issues raised during the discussion:

- (1) A concern was expressed with regard to the staff's expectation on the implementation of Revision 1 to the RG (i.e., did the staff expect licensees, in an application, to use it immediately).
- (2) The public comment period of 30 days was too short and a period of 45 days was requested.
- (3) The staff asked NEI if they wanted the staff to provide a staff position on NEI-05-04 which is referenced in NEI's self assessment process. The staff agreed to further discuss this issue with NEI in a conference call.

The meeting concluded with the general agreement that the meeting was very productive and had provided for a good exchange of information.

Enclosures:

1. List of Attendees
2. Meeting handouts

There were three issues raised during the discussion:

- (1) A concern was expressed with regard to the staff's expectation on the implementation of Revision 1 to the RG (i.e., did the staff expect licensees, in an application, to use it immediately).
- (2) The public comment period of 30 days was too short and a period of 45 days was requested.
- (3) The staff asked NEI if they wanted the staff to provide a staff position on NEI-05-04 which is referenced in NEI's self assessment process. The staff agreed to further discuss this issue with NEI in a conference call.

The meeting concluded with the general agreement that the meeting was very productive and had provided for a good exchange of information.

Enclosures:

1. List of Attendees
2. Meeting handouts

Distribution w/encls.:

PRA R/F
 RJenkins
 CAder
 FEltawila
 LMrowca
 TKevern
 GParry
 AKuritzky
 MPatterson
 JLai
 DHarrison
 MStutzke
 ABushman
 JHopkins
 JLyons
 ASingh
 HGreenberg
 MTschlitz
 E:\Filenet\ML062060059.wpd

Package: ML062060069
 Enclosure 1: ML062060017
 Enclosure 2: ML062060184

OAD in ADAMS? (Y or N) Y ADAMS ACCESSION NO.: ML062060059 TEMPLATE NO. RES-006
 Publicly Available? (Y or N) Y DATE OF RELEASE TO PUBLIC _____ SENSITIVE? N

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

OFFICE	RES	C:PRA
NAME	MDrouin	JMonninger J. Ibarra for/
DATE	07/24/06	07/25/06