

**From:** Daniel Holody *DS*  
**To:** A. Randolph Blough; Hubert J. Miller  
**Date:** 4/21/04 11:57AM  
**Subject:** Re: Fwd: Clarification of allegation

Hub

I think that Randy's call to DL on 4/19 on the 50.9 issues (See attached email from Randy), along with Ernie Wilson's call to DL today (see other attached email from Ernie), collectively responded to the timeliness issue raised in DL's 4/16 email, and I am not sure another call is needed.

dan

*DS*  
>>> Hubert J. Miller 04/21/04 11:19AM >>>  
Randy, what are we doing with this?

I think Dave is right in thinking we should have a senior manager call Dave.... explain what's going on here and why we are following our process completely.

Pls advise.

Hub

**CC:** Brian Holian; David Vito; Ernest Wilson; Glenn Meyer; James Wiggins

0-56

**From:** A. Randolph Blough  
**To:** David Vito  
**Date:** 4/19/04 11:14AM  
**Subject:** call to alleged went fine - - Caution: this email includes sensitive allegation mat'l.

I called Dave Lochbaum about our letter of acknowledgement/partial closeout. he tentatively agreed with our conclusions on 50.9, pending his detailed review of the letter. He asked for a fax, which Dave Vito is providing.

The issue of timeliness of other cases did not come up, but I took the opportunity to stress (during the discussion of PSEG's 2/27/04 response letter), that NRC is investing an extraordinary amount of effort to independently review things at Art Is.

**CC:** Daniel Holody; Eileen Neff; Glenn Meyer; Jeffrey Teator; Karl Farrar; Scott Barber