

01
From: Ernest Wilson
To: A. Randolph Blough; David Vito; Glenn Meyer; Hubert J. Miller
Date: 2/5/04 9:40AM
Subject: Re: Fwd: Lochbaum's reply on worker meeting

I agree with Dave's position on this. Since we have some remaining interviews to do, we could throw in a couple of questions which might cover Hub's concerns. However, after talking to Eileen and Jeff T. on this issue, we would suggest that we ask those questions only if the letter gets mentioned by the interviewee or if the door is otherwise opened to pursue that line of questioning. Bottom line is that the licensee is working on their plan to address the overall issue and there will be the face to face meeting between licensee/NRC where those issues can be fully explored in the near future.

Ernie
RT

>>> David Vito 02/05/04 08:39AM >>>

In my opinion, when one considers the context of the internal meeting of site staff with Bakken and Carlin in contrast with the licensee's press release and Anderson's initial feedback to staff, I can see where there may be mixed messages floating around the site right now. However, I'm not sure an immediate response is necessary. They're already 8 days into the 30-day response time. My suggestion is that we wait for the response, see what it says, bounce it off everything we have learned in the interim, and come up with a comprehensive list of pointed questions to ask the licensee when we have them in for the follow-up meeting.
RT

>>> Hubert J. Miller 02/05/04 08:06AM >>>

do we think Roy A's letter will have an effect on workers?

pls advise, should we do anything?

>>> Glenn Meyer 02/04/04 01:59PM >>>

Dave Lochbaum contacted the workers and his attached email suggests that the workers want a meeting soon, primarily because their numbers are dropping following the NRC letter. Also, they do not want to be transcribed.

CC: Brian Holian; Daniel Orr; Eileen Neff; James Wiggins; Jeffrey Teator; Scott Barber

0-20