

Page 1

From: A. Randolph Blough *RI*
To: Lisamarie Jarriel
Date: 3/31/04 9:34AM
Subject: Re: Fwd: Your advice, please....March 28 speech - - alleged requests us ...

yes&yes

OC
>>> Lisamarie Jarriel 03/31/04 08:35AM >>>

Once we have made the collective decision that this alleged is a "widely known alleged" on the issue discussed in the statement, I would agree we could make this correspondence publically available on ADAMS if requested. But, unless, I missed that discussion while in Canada this week, I didn't think we had yet reached that conclusion. Last we spoke, we were waiting to see the statement and learn more about the event where it was made. Was the event well attended? Was there media coverage? I'll follow-up with Dave V. and Carl M.

LLJ

RII
>>> Brent Clayton 03/30/04 11:58AM >>>

I agree with Randy's approach. If the CI has sent us a copy of the speech and requested that we post it, I'd make it publically available in ADAMS. But I wouldn't post it on the NRC web page unless we had a venue already established (or we decide to establish one later) where this would be pertinent dialogue on the issue(s).

---Brent

RII
>>> John Grobe 03/30/04 10:12AM >>>
Randy,

We had one similar situation and we simply incorporated the alleged's correspondence into ADAMS and made it publically available. Brent Clayton in Region III or Lisamarie Jarriel in HQ/OE are your best points of contact. Handle this carefully because this is outside normal allegation practice, but it is permitted and has occurred. I would not recommend putting anything on a web site regarding an allegation.

If I can be any further assistance, please call or e-mail. Thanks.

Jack

JS
>>> A. Randolph Blough 03/30/04 10:01AM >>>

..to post it on the website.

Jack, we have a Salem/Hope Creek alleged who went public Sunday. she has requested that we 'post' her talk on the NRC website.

We are willing to put it into ADAMS, but would tend not to post it on our website. We might reconsider her request if we ever decide to create an NRC web page for NRC review of Salem/Hope Creek work environment. Then we could include NRC correspondence and presentation slides, PSEG correspondence and slides; and, I guess maybe, public correspondence.

Have you experienced any analogous situations and what has been your posture? Who in HQ has helped advise?

thanks.

randy

0-38

Mail Envelope Properties (406AD6F8.204 : 9 : 34932)

Subject: Re: Fwd: Your advice, please....March 28 speech - - alleged requests us

...

Creation Date: 3/31/04 9:34AM

From: A. Randolph Blough

Created By: ARB@nrc.gov

Recipients

nrc.gov

owf4_po.OWFN_DO

LLJ (Lisamarie Jarriel)

Action**Date & Time**

Delivered

03/31/04 09:35AM

Opened

03/31/04 11:56AM

Deleted

03/31/04 02:34PM

Post Office

owf4_po.OWFN_DO

Delivered

03/31/04 09:35AM

Route

nrc.gov

Files

MESSAGE

Size

3652

Date & Time

03/31/04 09:34AM

Options

Auto Delete:

No

Expiration Date:

None

Notify Recipients:

Yes

Priority:

Standard

Reply Requested:

No

Return Notification:

None

Concealed Subject:

No

Security:

Standard

To Be Delivered:

Immediate

Status Tracking:

All Information