

From: Lisamarie Jarriel ⁰²
To: A. Randolph Blough
Date: 3/31/04 2:01PM
Subject: Re: Fwd: Your advice, please....March 28 speech - - allegor requests us ...

Thank you. Bases covered. I have no problem putting it in the PDR.

LLJ

^{10/1}
>>> A. Randolph Blough 03/31/04 09:39AM >>>

Lisamarie,
Also, on Monday or Tuesday, 'Unplug Salem' forwarded the speech by email to over 550 recipients. Further, see attached email; i missed you on initial distn.

^{10/1}
>>> David Vito 03/31/04 09:18AM >>>

Lisa,

The rally was attended by less than 50 people. However, it was covered by several local newspaper outlets in South Jersey.

The allegor has previously acknowledged in many discussions with several staff members that March 28th would be her "coming out," and when you read the text of her speech, it is apparent that she accepts that. The speech clearly acknowledges that she came to the NRC with her issues.

I'm not sure how widely disseminated the information has to be in order for it to tip the scale into the "widely known" arena, but I would think we are pretty close right now. If we were to get calls about the media accounts, it would be hard for us to deny knowledge.

^{10/1}
>>> Lisamarie Jarriel 03/31/04 08:35AM >>>

Once we have made the collective decision that this allegor is a "widely known allegor" on the issue discussed in the statement, I would agree we could make this correspondence publically available on ADAMS if requested. But, unless, I missed that discussion while in Canada this week, I didn't think we had yet reached that conclusion. Last we spoke, we were waiting to see the statement and learn more about the event where it was made. Was the event well attended? Was there media coverage? I'll follow-up with Dave V. and Carl M.

LLJ

^{10/1}
>>> Brent Clayton 03/30/04 11:58AM >>>

I agree with Randy's approach. If the CI has sent us a copy of the speech and requested that we post it, I'd make it publically available in ADAMS. But I wouldn't post it on the NRC web page unless we had a venue already established (or we decide to establish one later) where this would be pertinent dialogue on the issue(s).

---Brent ^{10/1}

>>> John Grobe 03/30/04 10:12AM >>>

Randy,

We had one similar situation and we simply incorporated the allegor's correspondance into ADAMS and made it publically available. Brent Clayton in Region III or Lisamarie Jarriel in HQ/OE are your best points

0-37

of contact. Handle this carefully because this is outside normal allegation practice, but it is permitted and has occurred. I would not recommend putting anything on a web site regarding an allegation.

If I can be any further assistance, please call or e-mail. Thanks.

Jack

>>> A. Randolph Blough 03/30/04 10:01AM >>>

..to post it on the website.

Jack, we have a Salem/Hope Creek allegor who went public Sunday. she has requested that we 'post' her talk on the NRC website.

We are willing to put it into ADAMS, but would tend not to post it on our website. We might reconsider her request if we ever decide to create an NRC web page for NRC review of Salem/Hope Creek work environment. Then we could include NRC correspondence and presentation slides, PSEG correspondence and slides, and, I guess maybe, public correspondence.

Have you experienced any analogous situations and what has been your posture? Who in HQ has helped advise?

thanks.

randy

CC: Brent Clayton; Carl Mohrwinkel; Christine Lipa; David Vito; Diane Screnci; Glenn Meyer; Jan Strasma; Leanne Harrison; Sharon Johnson; Tracy Walker; Viktoria Mitlyng