

24  
**From:** David Vito  
**To:** Lisamarie Jarriel  
**Date:** 3/11/04 11:15AM  
**Subject:** Pre-PSEG meeting discussion

Lisa,

I know you're at the RIC. We are scheduling a pre-meeting with Hub next Tuesday (3/16/04) at 1:30 p.m. Please let me know if you will be available to participate. If you are, either we will call you or I will provide you with a conference number to call.

Also, need your future help on an issue we just got from (DL). As we discussed yesterday afternoon, DL filed an allegation with Region I claiming that PSEG committed a 50.9 violation by not informing the NRC about the results of the Synergy review in their 2/27/04 response to us. We ARB'd that today and concluded that there was not a 50.9 violation and that we would close that aspect of DL's letter in our acknowledgment letter to him. However, his letter went further to claim that PSEG is worse than Davis-Besse (based on DL's comparison of the conclusions of the D-B safety culture review, to his (DL's) interpretation of the PSEG Synergy results), and that (also based upon his interpretation of the PSEG Synergy results) PSEG is unacceptable in terms of safety culture compared to the industry. While our acknowledgment letter will tell him that we will be including his comments in our overall SCWE review at Salem/HC and that he will be informed of our conclusions, we felt that we needed to gain knowledge with regard to the SCWE/safety culture reviews that were done at D-B. Your name was mentioned as someone who could provide insight in this area. So, I will need to pick your brain somewhere here down the line.

Just a caution that DL's letter again directly expressed his desire that the NRC take care not to associate his name with his questions/comments about the PSEG Synergy survey. As we discussed yesterday, I believe that he is concerned that there could be some legal repercussions if PSEG were to find out that he obtained this information from other than official means.

0-22