

[redacted] 82-88  
 [redacted] 92  
 BWR [redacted]  
 1998 1997-98 6 mos. - Hole Creek - procedure reviews  
 licensed [redacted] 1/99 - 11/02 [redacted] 1/03  
 JAW04- [redacted]  
 99 ↓  
 45 mos. [redacted]  
 Compliance [redacted]  
 3 yrs. [redacted]  
 7C 156 mos. [redacted]  
 [redacted] support  
 7C  
 [redacted]  
 7C

equipment  
 perf. issues - as best as can →  
 human perf. -  
 sequence of steps -  
 "Lone called" - real business needs

rough people - to do on spot reviewing  
 procedural compliance / operability calls  
 emphasis on production -

as line - recurring system operating issues -

16-24

Windows - outages - despatch  
Business decision - control rod drives

Ops - shut down - [redacted] - PSEG

addressed crew -

COG -



to apologizing for failure to scream  
- poor planning - re IPE

- normal for them to  
review perf. failure

- overall org. let sid. down  
let them,



unduly held people to scrutiny  
because not reported perf. event



political

COG + Sr. mgt.



time frame - not known

Summary impression - event  
not reported

Aware of any other instances where Ops Mgt. faced  
pressure from senior mgt. or PSEG Power regarding  
operational decisions? (akin to bypass  
value issue)

Only mention of PSEG Power

off weighing in on operational decision -  
are there others?

Why wouldn't you raise

Example -  
Ops Mgt.

March 03