

July 31, 2006

Mr. Dhiaa Jamil
Vice President
Catawba Nuclear Station
Duke Power Company LLC
4800 Concord Road
York, SC 29745

SUBJECT: CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2, ISSUANCE OF
AMENDMENTS REGARDING REPORTING REQUIREMENTS (TAC NOS.
MC5053 And MC5052)

Dear Mr. Jamil:

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 230 to Renewed Facility Operating License NPF-35 and Amendment No. 226 to Renewed Facility Operating License NPF-52 for the Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2 (Catawba 1 and 2). The amendments consist of changes to the licenses in response to your application dated October 27, 2004.

The proposed amendments would revise the facility operating licenses (FOLs) for Catawba 1 and 2 to remove license conditions (LCs) that (1) limit the maximum rod average burnup for any fuel rod to 60 Gwd/mtU, and (2) list reporting requirements with regard to maximum power level, Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR), antitrust conditions, fire protection, and additional conditions. The proposed amendments also requested approval of revisions to the UFSAR to include a new discussion of the fuel burnup limit.

These amendments address only the changes to the reporting requirements. The licensee's request to remove the LC that limits the maximum rod average burnup for any rod to 60 Gwd/mtU and approval of the changes to the UFSAR will be issued in separate license amendments.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. A Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly *Federal Register* notice.

Sincerely,

/RA/

John Stang, Senior Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch II-1
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-413 and 50-414

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 230 to NPF-35
2. Amendment No. 226 to NPF-52
3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. A Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly *Federal Register* notice.

Sincerely,

/RA/

John Stang, Senior Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch II-1
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-413 and 50-414

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 230 to NPF-35
2. Amendment No. 226 to NPF-52
3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Public

LPL2-1 R/F

RidsNrrDorLpl2-1(EMarinos)

RidsNrrPMJStang(hard copy)

RidsNrrLAMO'Brien(12 hard copies)

RidsRgn2MailCenter(MErnstes)

RidsAcrsAcnwMailCenter

RidsNrrDirsltsb(TKobetz)

GHill(4 hard copies)

RidsOgcRp

RidsNrrDorIDpr

Package No. ML061790672

Amendment No. ML061790609

Tech Spec No. ML061790684 & ML061790722

NRR-058

OFFICE	NRR/LPL2-1/PM	NRR/LPL2-1/LA	OGC	NRR/LPL2-1/BC
NAME	JStang	MO'Brien	SHamrick	EMarinos
DATE	07/20/06	07/20/06	07/25/06	07/27/06

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

cc:

Mr. Lee Keller, Manager
Regulatory Compliance
Duke Power Company LLC
4800 Concord Road
York, South Carolina 29745

Ms. Lisa F. Vaughn
Duke Power Company LLC
526 South Church Street
P. O. Box 1006
Mail Code = EC07H
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

North Carolina Municipal Power
Agency Number 1
1427 Meadowwood Boulevard
P.O. Box 29513
Raleigh, North Carolina 27626

County Manager of York County
York County Courthouse
York, South Carolina 29745

Piedmont Municipal Power Agency
121 Village Drive
Greer, South Carolina 29651

Ms. Karen E. Long
Assistant Attorney General
North Carolina Department of Justice
P.O. Box 629
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

NCEM REP Program Manager
4713 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4713

North Carolina Electric Membership Corp.
P.O. Box 27306
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611

Senior Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
4830 Concord Road
York, South Carolina 29745

Mr. Henry Porter, Assistant Director
Division of Waste Management
Bureau of Land and Waste Management
Dept. of Health and Environmental Control
2600 Bull Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201-1708

Mr. R.L. Gill, Jr., Manager
Nuclear Regulatory Issues
and Industry Affairs
Duke Power Company LLC
526 South Church Street
Mail Stop EC05P
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Saluda River Electric
P.O. Box 929
Laurens, South Carolina 29360

Mr. Peter R. Harden, IV, Vice President
Customer Relations and Sales
Westinghouse Electric Company
6000 Fairview Road
12th Floor
Charlotte, North Carolina 28210

Mr. T. Richard Puryear
Owners Group (NCEMC)
Duke Power Company LLC
4800 Concord Road
York, South Carolina 29745

cc:

Division of Radiation Protection
NC Dept. of Environment, Health,
and Natural Resources
3825 Barrett Drive
Raleigh, North Carolina 27609-7721

Mr. Henry Barron
Group Vice President, Nuclear Generation
and Chief Nuclear Officer
P.O. Box 1006-EC07H
Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

DUKE POWER COMPANY LLC
NORTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION
SALUDA RIVER ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.
DOCKET NO. 50-413
CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1
AMENDMENT TO RENEWED FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 230
Renewed License No. NPF-35

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 1 (the facility) Renewed Facility Operating License No. NPF-35 filed by the Duke Power Company LLC, acting for itself, North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation and Saluda River Electric Cooperative, Inc. (licensees), dated October 27, 2004, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is amended to delete paragraph 2.F. from Renewed Facility Operating License No. NPF-35. Paragraph 2.F. is hereby amended to read as follows:

2.F. Reporting to the Commission Deleted by Amendment No. 230

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

/RA/

Evangelos C. Marinos, Chief
Plant Licensing Branch II-1
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: 230
Changes to License No. NPF-35

Date of Issuance: July 31, 2006

DUKE POWER COMPANY LLC
NORTH CAROLINA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY NO. 1
PIEDMONT MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY
DOCKET NO. 50-414
CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 2
AMENDMENT TO RENEWED FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 226
Renewed License No. NPF-52

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 2 (the facility) Renewed Facility Operating License No. NPF-52 filed by the Duke Power Company LLC, acting for itself, North Carolina Municipal Power Agency No. 1 and Piedmont Municipal Power Agency (licensees), dated October 27, 2004, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is amended to delete paragraph 2.F. from Renewed Facility Operating License No. NPF-52. Paragraph 2.F. is hereby amended to read as follows:

2.F. Reporting to the Commission Deleted by Amendment No. 226

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

/RA/

Evangelos C. Marinos, Chief
Plant Licensing Branch II-1
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: 226
Changes to License No. NPF-52

Date of Issuance: July 31, 2006

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 230
RENEWED FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-35
DOCKET NO. 50-413
AND LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 226
RENEWED FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-52
DOCKET NO. 50-414

Replace the following pages of the Renewed Facility Operating Licenses with the attached revised pages. The revised pages are identified by amendment number and contain marginal lines indicating the areas of change.

Unit 1

Remove

NPF-35 page 4
NPF-35 page 5

Insert

NPF-35 page 4
NPF-35 page 5

Unit 2

Remove

NPF-52 page 4
NPF-52 page 5

Insert

NPF-52 page 4
NPF-52 page 5

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO
AMENDMENT NO. 230 TO RENEWED FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-35
AND
AMENDMENT NO. 226 TO RENEWED FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-52
DUKE POWER COMPANY LLC
CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2
DOCKET NOS. 50-413 AND 50-414

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By application dated October 27, 2004 (Agency wide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML043030271), Duke Power Company LLC (Duke, the licensee), requested changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) for the Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2 (Catawba 1 and 2).

The proposed amendments would revise the facility operating licenses (FOLs) for Catawba 1 and 2 to remove license conditions (LCs) that (1) limit the maximum rod average burnup for any fuel rod to 60 Gwd/mtU, and (2) list reporting requirements with regard to maximum power level, Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR), antitrust conditions, fire protection, and additional conditions. The proposed amendments also requested approval of revisions to the UFSAR to include a new discussion of the fuel burnup limit.

These amendments address only the changes to the reporting requirements. The licensee's request to remove the license condition that limits the maximum rod average burnup for any rod to 60 Gwd/mtU and make changes to the UFSAR will be issued in separate license amendments.

2.0 REGULATORY EVALUATION

A condition was included in the FOLs issued to some nuclear power plants requiring the licensee to make reports to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) regarding violations of other sections of the operating license. This reporting requirement in most cases is redundant to reporting requirements contained in related the regulations (i.e. Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Part 50, Section 50.72 and 10 CFR 50.73). A typical license condition reads as follows:

Except as otherwise provided in this license and its appendices, the Licensee shall report any violations of the requirements contained in Section [LC] 2.C of this license in

the following manner: initial notification shall be made within 24 hours to the NRC Operations Center via the Emergency Notification System with written followup within thirty days in accordance with the procedures described in 10 CFR 50.73 (Licensee event report [LER] system).

The proposal to eliminate the reporting of violations of specific requirements of FOLs is applicable to any licensee that has such a provision in its facility operating license. The NRC staff notes that many operating licenses do not contain the requirement because it was never added or was removed by a license amendment.

In addition to the information provided to support licensing decisions, the NRC obtains information about plant operation, licensee programs, and other matters using a combination of inspections and reporting requirements. Routine or scheduled reports that are required to be submitted to the NRC are defined in the related regulations, specific license condition, technical specification, or an NRC-approved program document. The reporting of emergencies, unplanned events or conditions, and other special cases may also be addressed within such documents by the inclusion of reporting thresholds and are also the focus of the reporting requirements in Section 50.72, "Immediate notification requirements for operating nuclear power reactors," and Section 50.73, "Licensee event report system." Changes to the reporting regulations in Sections 50.72 and 50.73 became effective in January 2001 (see *Federal Register* notice published on October 25, 2000 (65 FR 63769) and included extending the allowable reporting times for LERs from 30 days to 60 days.

3.0 TECHNICAL EVALUATION

LC 2.F of the Catawba 1 and 2 FOLs requires the licensee to report any violations of the requirements of LC 2.C of the FOL and defines the method and allowable time periods for such reports. The reporting threshold (i.e., a violation) for some of the conditions included in LC 2.F of the FOL duplicates those defined in Sections 50.72 and 50.73. However, the requirements in the FOL have different deadlines than those defined in the regulations (following a rule change in 2001). This difference in reporting requirements has led to variations in reporting. Units 1 and 2 have a 30-day reporting requirement in the FOL, the condition has decreased the benefits of the rulemaking. For those cases where the current FOL requirement to report violations is also reportable in accordance with the regulations defined in Sections 50.72 and 50.73, the NRC staff finds that the regulations adequately address this issue and the elimination of the duplicative requirement in the FOL is acceptable.

The NRC staff also assessed violations of administrative requirements that could be reportable under the current LC, but that may not have a duplicative requirement in a regulation or other regulatory requirement. The NRC staff finds that the requirements to report such problems within 24 hours with written reports to follow using the LER process is not needed. The NRC staff is confident that the information related to such violations that is actually important to the NRC's regulatory functions would come to light in a time frame comparable to the 60-day LER requirements. The information would become available to the appropriate NRC staff through the inspection program, updates to program documents, resultant licensing actions, public announcements, or some other reliable mechanism. The NRC staff finds that the elimination of LC 2.F of the Catawba 1 and 2 FOL, will not result in a loss of information to the NRC that would adversely affect either its goal to protect public health and safety or its ability to carry out

its various other regulatory responsibilities. Therefore the NRC staff finds the proposed changes to the FOLs acceptable.

4.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the South Carolina State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendments. The State official had no comments.

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendments relate to changes in recordkeeping, reporting, or administrative procedures or requirements. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, and there have been no public comments on such finding (70 FR 38717) July 5, 2005. Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environment assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendments.

6.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: John Stang

Date: July 31, 2006