

EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 9, ES Forms 201-1 and 501-1

CHIEF:	Gary Johnston	FACILITY:	WC	DATE of OPERATING EXAM:	3/06/06
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes	
9/7/05	Activity Schedule Agreement (C.1.a; C.2.a & b)	9/13	DA		
9/7/05	NRC Staff & Fac. Contact Assigned (C.1.c; C.2.e)	9/13	DA		
9/7/05	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's (C.2.c)	9/13	DA		2nd 10/05
9/7/05	Corporate Notification Letter (ES-201 Att-3) Sent (C.2.d)	9/13	DA		
11/6/05	Exam Ref. Material Due (if NRC authored) (C.1.d; C.3.c)		L/A		
11/6/05	Integrated Exam Outlines Due (C.1.d & e; C.3.d)	11/4/05	REP		Call the review T. McK
11/20/05	Outlines Review & Feedback from NRC (c.2.h; C.3.e)	11/6/05	REP		
12/6/05	Expectations, Issues, & Standards Discussed w/ BC	1/31/06	DA		
1/5/06	Draft Exams w/ Doc./Ref. Due (C.1.d/e/f; C.3.d)	1/9/06	DA		1/25/06 met with that I was Chief Examiner. I K. Clayton P. H. ET. C. 3. d
1/15/06	Peer Reviewer Documents Review of Exam	1/27/06	DA		
1/15/06	NRC BC Approves Feedback to Facility (C.2.h; C.3.f)	2/1/06	DA		
1/15/06	Exams Reviewed w/ Fac. (C.1.h; C.2.f&h; C.3.g)	2/2/06	DA		
2/4/06	Preliminary Applications Reviewed (C.1.j; C.2.g; ES202)	2/2/06	DA		
2/20/06	Final Applications Reviewed (C.1.j; C.2.h; ES202)	2/21/06	DA		
2/13-17/06 2/20/06	On-Site Preparatory Week to Validate Operating Exam	2/13-17/06	DA		
2/20/06	On-Site Audit (10%) of License App's (ES-202 C.2.e)	2/15/06	DA		
2/27/06	Final Appl. OK'ed & Waivers Sent to Applicants (C.2.g)	2/27/06	DA		
2/27/06	NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exams (C.2.i; C.3.h)	2/27/06	AT		
2/27/06	Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-4) and List of Applicants (ES-201-4) Prepared (C.2.i)	2/28/06	DA		
2/27/06	Proctor Rules Review w/Fac. & Written Authorized (C.3.k)	3/3/06	DA		
2/27/06	Exam Material to Exam Team (C.3.i)	2/27/06	DA		
3/6/06	Administer Operating Exam On-Site	3/9/06	DA		
3/18/06	Facility Graded Exam & Comments Received	3/10/06	DA		
3/21/06	NRC Written Exam Grading Completed	3/14/06	DA		
3/21/06	Examiners Document Exam Grades on ES Forms	3/14/06	DA		
3/31/06	NRC Chief Examiner Grading Review Completed	3/14/06	DA		
4/1/06	NRC BC Review Completed	3/26/06	DA		
4/6/06	RPS/IP # Examinees Updated Before Report Issued	3/15/06	DA		
4/6/06	License/Denials Signed & Report Issued	3/28/06	DA		
4/27/06	Package Closed Out	3/28/06	DA		
6/4/06	Chief QA of ADAMS	4/4/06	DA		

Talked
with
DA. 9/16
13

RO ACEE
@ WC-NC, LOW

Rob Akre

X5095

Rick Super
X5088

Facility: Wolf Creek Generating Station		Date of Examination: 03/06/06		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	RA	Rm	Smb
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	RA	Rm	Smb
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	RA	Rm	Smb
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	RA	Rm	Smb
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	RA	Rm	* Smb
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	RA	Rm	Int
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	RA	Rm	Int
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	RA	Rm	** Smb
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	RA	Rm	Int
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	RA	Rm	Smb
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	RA	Rm	Int
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	RA	Rm	Smb
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	RA	Rm	Smb
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	RA	Rm	Smb
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	RA	Rm	Smb
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	RA	Rm	Smb
a. Author Robert L. Acree b. Facility Reviewer (*) Richard A. Murray c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) Gary W. Johnston d. NRC Supervisor A. J. Gody		Printed Name/Signature Robert L. Acree Richard A. Murray Gary W. Johnston A. J. Gody		Date 11/03/05 11/3/05 11/29/05 2/09/06
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.				

* None of the scenarios contain a normal evolution.

** 3.a. (3) is unknown

Facility: Wolf Creek		Date of Exam: 3/3/2006		Exam Level:	RO/SRO		
Item Description				Initial			
				a	b*	c#	
1. Questions and answers technically accurate and applicable to facility.				JGA	Rm	0	
2. a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions.				JGA	Rm	0	
b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available.				JGA	Rm	0	
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401				JGA	Rm	0	
4. The sampling process was random and systematic. (If more than 4 RO and 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL Program Office)				JGA	Rm	0	
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: _____ the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed, or _____ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started, or _____ the examinations were developed independently, or XX the licensee certifies that there is no duplication, or _____ other (explain)				JGA	Rm	0	
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.		Bank	Modified	New	JGA	Rm	0
		34/40 55/44	5/20 4/12	36/13 41/44			
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the question on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right		Memory	CIA		JGA	Rm	0
		36/40 49/36	30/19 51/64				
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.				JGA	Rm	0	
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.				JGA	Rm	0	
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.				JGA	Rm	0	
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet.				JGA	Rm	15	
Printed Name / Signature				Date			
a. Author	Joseph G. Arsenault			12/19/2005			
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	RICHARD MURRAY / Richard A. Murray			1/05/06			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	JAMES F. DEAKE / James F. Deake			2/21/06			
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	A.T. GOSDY / A.T. Gosdy			2/27/06			
Note:	* The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.						
	# Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.						

Facility: Wolf Creek Generating Station		Date of Examination: 03/06/06		Operating Test Number: 1																										
1. General Criteria			Initials																											
			a	b*	c#																									
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	RA	RM	Q																										
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	RA	RM	Q																										
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	RA	RM	Q																										
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	RA	RM	Q																										
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	RA	RM	Q																										
2. Walk-Through Criteria			--	--	--																									
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> initial conditions initiating cues references and tools, including associated procedures reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	RA	RM	Q																										
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	RA	RM	Q																										
3. Simulator Criteria			--	--	--																									
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.			RA	RM	Q																									
<table border="0"> <tr> <td></td> <td colspan="2">Printed Name / Signature</td> <td colspan="2">Date</td> </tr> <tr> <td>a. Author</td> <td>Robert L. Acree</td> <td><i>Robert L. Acree</i></td> <td colspan="2">01/05/06</td> </tr> <tr> <td>b. Facility Reviewer(*)</td> <td>Richard Murray</td> <td><i>Richard A. Murray</i></td> <td colspan="2">11/5/06</td> </tr> <tr> <td>c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)</td> <td><i>James F. Drake</i></td> <td>JAMES F. DRAKE</td> <td colspan="2">2/21/06</td> </tr> <tr> <td>d. NRC Supervisor</td> <td><i>A.T. Gody</i></td> <td>AT Gody</td> <td colspan="2">2/27/06</td> </tr> </table>							Printed Name / Signature		Date		a. Author	Robert L. Acree	<i>Robert L. Acree</i>	01/05/06		b. Facility Reviewer(*)	Richard Murray	<i>Richard A. Murray</i>	11/5/06		c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<i>James F. Drake</i>	JAMES F. DRAKE	2/21/06		d. NRC Supervisor	<i>A.T. Gody</i>	AT Gody	2/27/06	
	Printed Name / Signature		Date																											
a. Author	Robert L. Acree	<i>Robert L. Acree</i>	01/05/06																											
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	Richard Murray	<i>Richard A. Murray</i>	11/5/06																											
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<i>James F. Drake</i>	JAMES F. DRAKE	2/21/06																											
d. NRC Supervisor	<i>A.T. Gody</i>	AT Gody	2/27/06																											
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.																														

Facility: Wolf Creek Generating Station Date of Exam: 03/06/2006 Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / Operating Test No.: 1				
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	RA	LM	D
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	RA	LM	D
3.	Each event description consists of . the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated . the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event . the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew . the expected operator actions (by shift position) . the event termination point (if applicable)	RA	LM	D
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	RA	LM	D
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	RA	LM	D
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	RA	LM	D
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	RA	LM	D
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	RA	LM	D
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	RA	LM	D
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	RA	LM	D
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	RA	LM	D
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	RA	LM	D
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	RA	LM	D
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)		Actual Attributes	--	--
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	6 / 6	RA	LM
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2 / 3	RA	LM
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	2 / 3	RA	LM
4.	Major transients (1-2)	1 / 1	RA	LM
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	1 / 2	RA	LM
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	0 / 1	RA	LM
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	2 / 3	RA	LM

Facility: Wolf Creek Generating Station				Date of Exam: 03/06/2006				Operating Test No.: 1									
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M(*)		
		1			2			N/A			N/A						
		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION						
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P				
														R	I	U	
RO-1	RX					1								1	1	1	0
	NOR			1										1	1	1	1
	I/C			3		4								7	4	4	2
	MAJ			1		1								2	2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2
RO-2	RX					1								1	1	1	0
	NOR			1										1	1	1	1
	I/C			3		4								7	4	4	2
	MAJ			1		1								2	2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2
RO-3	RX					1								1	1	1	0
	NOR			1										1	1	1	1
	I/C			3		4								7	4	4	2
	MAJ			1		1								2	2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2

Instructions:

- Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must do one scenario, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position.
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

Facility: Wolf Creek Generating Station			Date of Exam: 03/06/2006			Operating Test No.: 1												
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M(*)			
		1			2			3			4							
		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION							
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P					
		R	I	U														
SRO-I-1	RX		1											1	1	1	0	
	NOR														1	1	1	
	I/C		2		5										7	4	4	2
	MAJ		1		1										2	2	2	1
	TS				2										2	0	2	2
SRO-I-2	RX		1												1	1	1	0
	NOR															1	1	1
	I/C		2		5										7	4	4	2
	MAJ		1		1										2	2	2	1
	TS				2										2	0	2	2
SRO-I-3	RX		1												1	1	1	0
	NOR															1	1	1
	I/C		2		5										7	4	4	2
	MAJ		1		1										2	2	2	1
	TS				2										2	0	2	2
	RX															1	1	0
	NOR															1	1	1
	I/C															4	4	2
	MAJ															2	2	1
	TS															0	2	2

Instructions:

1. Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must do one scenario, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position.
2. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
3. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

[illegible]

Facility: Wolf Creek Generating Station Date of Exam: 03/06/2006 Operating Test No.: 1									
Competencies	APPLICANTS								
	RO-1		RO-2		RO-3				
	SCENARIO		SCENARIO		SCENARIO				
	1	2	1	2	1	2			
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	2,3 4,5,	All	2,3 4,5,	All	2,3 4,5,	All			
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1,3, 4,7	All	1,3, 4,7	All	1,3, 4,7	All			
Operate Control Boards (2)	1,3, 4,7	All	1,3, 4,7	All	1,3, 4,7	All			
Communicate and Interact	All	All	All	All	All	All			
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Notes: (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.									

Instructions:

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Facility: Wolf Creek Generating Station Date of Exam: 03/06/2006 Operating Test No.: 1									
Competencies	APPLICANTS								
	SRO-I1		SRO-I2		SRO-I3				
	SCENARIO		SCENARIO		SCENARIO		SCENARIO		
	1	2	1	2	1	2			
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	1,2,4,5	1,2,3,4	1,2,4,5	1,2,3,4	1,2,4,5	1,2,3,4			
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1,4,6	1,2,3,4,6	1,4,6	1,2,3,4,6	1,4,6	1,2,3,4,6			
Operate Control Boards (2)	1,4,6		1,4,6		1,4,6				
Communicate and Interact	All	1,2,3,4,6	All	1,2,3,4,6	All	1,2,3,4,6			
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)		All		All		All			
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)		1,2		1,2		1,2			
Notes: (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.									

Instructions:

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Facility: Wolf Creek Generating Station Date of Exam: 03/06/2006 Operating Test No.: 1															
Competencies	APPLICANTS														
	SRO-U1				SRO-U2										
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO										
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4							
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	2,3,5				2,3,5										
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1,2 3,4 5,7				1,2 3,4 5,7										
Operate Control Boards (2)	N/A				N/A										
Communicate and Interact	All				All										
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	1,2 3,4 5,7				1,2 3,4 5,7										
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	2,3,4				2,3,4										
Notes: (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.															

Instructions:

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Facility: Wolf Creek		Date of Exam: 03/03/06		Exam Level: RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	RA	Rm	D		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	RA	Rm	D		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	RA	Rm	D		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 \pm 2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, \pm 4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	N/A	N/A	D		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	N/A	N/A	D		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	RA	Rm	D		
Printed Name/Signature		Date			
a. Grader	ROBERT ACREE / R. Acree	3/15/06			
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	RICHARD MURRAY / R. Murray	3/15/06			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	JAMES F. ORAKE / James F. Orake	3/20/06			
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	A.T. GODY / A.T. Gody	3/20/06			
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

03/28/2006

Report 21

Region: 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 03/01/2006 To 03/13/2006

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
01/30/2006	Wolf Creek / 05000482 / 2006301 TAC #: X02293	RO - 3 SROU - 1	Prep	FFF	DRAKE, JAMES F.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. DRAKE, JAMES F. HAIRE, MARK S. MCKERNON, THOMAS O.
03/06/2006	Wolf Creek / 05000482 / 2006301 TAC #: X02293	RO - 3 SROU - 1	Admin	FFF	DRAKE, JAMES F.	DRAKE, JAMES F. HAIRE, MARK S. MCKERNON, THOMAS O.
03/13/2006	Wolf Creek / 05000482 / 2006301 TAC #: X02293		Doc	FFF	DRAKE, JAMES F.	DRAKE, JAMES F. HAIRE, MARK S. MCKERNON, THOMAS O.

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule
From 03/01/2006 To 03/13/2006

Page 2 of 4
03/28/2006 11:20:22
Report 21
Region: 4 Phase Code: 5 Operational

Summary By Date

01/2006	WC - Wolf Creek	RO - 3	SROI - 2	SROU - 1	LSRO - 0	Total for Wolf Creek: 6
01/2006		RO - 3	SROI - 2	SROU - 1	LSRO - 0	Total for 01/2006: 6
03/2006	WC - Wolf Creek	RO - 3	SROI - 2	SROU - 1	LSRO - 0	Total for Wolf Creek: 6

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 3/6/06 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 3/6/06. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
JOSEPH G. ALESSANDRO	Developer	<i>Joseph G. Alessandro</i>	9/29/05			①
Robert L. ADECE	Senior Instructor / Exam Director	<i>Robert L. Adece</i>	9/29/05	<i>R. Adece</i>	3/9/06	
REINHOLD A. MURPHY	EXAM SUPERVISOR	<i>Reinhold A. Murphy</i>	10-21-05	<i>Reinhold A. Murphy</i>	3/9/06	
Jelene Vannocker	Clerical	<i>Jelene Vannocker</i>	10-21-05	<i>Jelene Vannocker</i>	3/9/06	
James Knapp	Simulator Specialist	<i>James Knapp</i>	11/8/05	<i>James Knapp</i>	3/9/06	
TIMOTHY FRANK	INSTRUCTOR II	<i>Timothy Frank</i>	11/8/05	<i>Timothy Frank</i>	3/9/06	
Ralph Ewy	License Instructor	<i>Ralph Ewy</i>	11/10/05	<i>Ralph Ewy</i>	3/9/06	
ROY LOZAROWSKI	Reactor Operator	<i>ROY LOZAROWSKI</i>	11-29-05	<i>ROY LOZAROWSKI</i>	3-9-06	
Wade Camp	Shift Engineer	<i>Wade Camp</i>	11/30/05	<i>Wade Camp</i>	3/9/06	
Doug Feldhusen	Quality Assurance	<i>Doug Feldhusen</i>	12/1/05	<i>Doug Feldhusen</i>	3/9/06	
JAMES BR FURROW	Reactor Operator	<i>James Br Furrow</i>	12-7-05	<i>James Br Furrow</i>	3-15-06	
Jacob A. Storr	SIM Specialist	<i>Jacob A. Storr</i>	12-7-05	<i>Jacob A. Storr</i>	3/9/06	
John Keating	Reactor Operator	<i>John Keating</i>	12-7-05	<i>John Keating</i>	3/15/06	
JUDY TATMAN	DOCK CLERK	<i>Judy Tatman</i>	12-7-05	<i>Judy Tatman</i>		①
BRENDAN RYAN	SHEET ENGINEER	<i>Brendan Ryan</i>	9/29/05	<i>Brendan Ryan</i>	15 MAR 06	

NOTES: ① Telecon made on 3/15/06 R. Adece Exam Author

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 3/6/06 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 3/6/06. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Jacqueline Scheikly	Admin	<i>Jacqueline Scheikly</i>	9/20/05			①
2. STEPHEN LINK	RD	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/12/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/1/06	
3. Mark Jenkins	Shift Manager	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/13/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-14-06	
4. Dale Barry	Supt Ops Support	<i>[Signature]</i>	13-22-05	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-9-06	
5. MIKE KRONER	HP Supervisor - RW	<i>[Signature]</i>	12-29-05	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/15/06	
6. Mona Guyer	Supt ops Training	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/25/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/9/2006	
7. Mark Wilson	Reactor Operator	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/13/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/9/06	
8. Ed Winn	Reactor Operator	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/13/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/9/06	
9. Charles Dankbar	Chief License Instructor	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/3/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-9-06	
10. George Smith	Senior instructor	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/3/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-14-06	
11. GEORGE MATTHEWS	SR HEAVY PHYSICS TECH	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/6/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-16-06	
12. KEVIN GIBBARD	HEAVY PHYSICS TECH	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/6/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-2-06	
13. Rick Hubbard	Ops. Supt.	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/1/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/4/06	
14. J. LEE GERMAN	RD	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/2/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/9/06	

① Telecon made on 3/15/06 K. Allee Exam Author